Enbridge (U.S.) Inc. offers the following comments for consideration by the Wholesale Gas Quadrant Executive Committee during its meeting to be held on October 17, 2019.

1. Recommendation Form:
2. At the bottom of page 2, the following modifications should be made so that the information on the final recommendation form matches the information reflected on the recommendation form posted as an attachment to the minutes from the September 23, 2019 conference call.
   * Under "Recommendation Attachment B", make the following modification to reflect that seven new definitions are proposed: Definitions 6.2.z1~~-6.2.z6~~-6.2.z7
   * Under "Recommendation Attachment B", make the following modifications so that the standards numbers are consistent with Attachment B posted as an attachment to the minutes from the September 23, 2019 conference call and Attachment B to the recommendation form sent out for industry comment: Standards 6.3.z100 – 6.3.z107
3. Section 3 (Recommendation Summary and Section 4 (Supporting Documentation): In these two sections, there are a number of differences between the language in the recommendation form distributed and posted for industry comment and the recommendation form posted as an attachment to the minutes from the September 23, 2019, conference call. With the exception of the language related to the motion, none of the differences appear to be substantive and some are merely the result of the formatting used in the two documents; however, we believe that the contents of the clean version of the two documents should be identical.
4. The language for the Motion should be modified as follows to reflect the correct numbers for the definitions and standards, as described above, and to correct a typographical error.

Mr. Sappenfield, seconded by Ms. Crockett, moved to adopt Definitions 6.2.z1 through ~~6.2.z6~~ 6.2.z7, Standards ~~6.3.z1 – 6.3.z9~~ 6.3.z100 through 6.3.z107; Standard 6.4.1 Contracts Dataset including Technical Implementation of Business Process, Data Dictionary, Code Values Dictionary and Paper Sample; and updates to the 6.0 Contracts Manual Executive Summary as reviewed and revised by the Joint Subcommittees on ~~september~~ September 23, 2019. Ms. Sieg abstained. Below are the results of the balanced vote:

1. Attachment B to the Recommendation:

Proposed Standard No. 6.3.z105 should be modifed as follows to correct a typographical error and to reflect the complete name of the body of standards referenced in the standard, since those bodies of standards had not been defined previously in this standard.

Absent mutual agreement between trading partners exchanging the NAESB WGQ Standard No. 6.4.1 Contract Dataset (Dataset), the Dataset should be exchanged in the following manner:

* The Dataset should be exchanged using NAESB WGQ Batch FF/EDM standards via the NAESB WGQ ~~EDM~~ Quadrant Electronic Delivery Mechanism and NAESB ~~IET~~ Internet Electronic Transport Related Standards.
* The Dataset should be provided in a comma separated value (CSV) downloadable file. The format of this file should comply with NAESB WGQ Standard No. 4.3.80. The headings and data of the data elements should be in the order in which they ~~it~~ appear in the Data Dictionary. The first row of the file should be comprised of the NAESB WGQ data element business names/abbreviations set forth in NAESB WGQ Standard No. 6.4.1.

1. Attachment C to the Recommendation. Enbridge is in agreement with all of the comments for Attachment C submitted by Cheniere Corpus Christi Liquefaction, and submits for consideration an additional correction to one of the scenarios presented in the Technical Implement of Business Process.

**Scenario A** – Step 1

Tracking Group Data completed by ~~party~~ Party A