**via posting**

**TO:** Interested Industry Parties

**FROM:** Caroline Trum, Director, Wholesale Electric Quadrant

**RE:** Draft Minutes from September 14, 2022 WEQ BPS Meeting

**DATE:** September 21, 2022

**WHOLESALE ELECTRIC QUADRANT**

**Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting**

**Conference Call**

**September 14, 2022 – 1:00 PM to 4:00 PM Central**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

1. **Welcome**

Mr. Phillips welcomed the participants to the meeting. Ms. Trum provided the antitrust and meeting policies reminder. The participants introduced themselves. Mr. Phillips reviewed the agenda. The agenda was adopted on a motion by Mr. Advena, seconded by Mr. Reischl.

1. **Review Formal Comments Submitted in Response to the Recommendation to Support 2022 WEQ Annual Plan Item 2.a and Develop Late Formal Comments as Necessary**

Mr. Phillips stated that in response to the recommendation, formal comments proposing additional modifications were submitted by SPP, Southern Company, and MISO. He noted that the WEQ Standards Review Subcommittee (SRS) also submitted formal comments indicating that no additional changes were necessary for consistency purposes.

Mr. Phillips reviewed the formal comments submitted by SPP. He stated that the proposed revisions are non-substantive and intended to provide additional clarity and for grammatical purposes. There was general support for including the proposed revisions as additional modifications as part of late formal comments from the subcommittee.

Mr. Wood reviewed the formal comments submitted by Southern Company. He explained that the revisions proposed were for consistency in phrasing with other standards as well as for clarity. There was general support for including the proposed revisions as additional modifications as part of late formal comments from the subcommittee.

Mr. Sutton and Ms. Klueber reviewed the formal comments submitted by MISO. Mr. Sutton indicated that the proposed the revisions are intended to accommodate the way in which MISO calculates its ATC. Mr. Advena stated that like MISO, PJM also uses incorporates counterflows into its ATC calculations. He explained that PJM is supportive of the standards language as included in the original recommendation but would be supportive of additional language to clarify the treatment of counterflows. Mr. Sutton suggested that that the participants consider using the term “sum” instead of “net” in WEQ-023-1.4. The participants discussed the use of the term “sum” versus “net” within the standard. Ms. Berdahl responded that using the term “sum” would better reflect BPA’s practices as well. Mr. Wood noted that the term “sum” could create confusion as it could imply totaling the ATC in one direction. He indicated that the use of the term “net” may better describe the action, which is intended to account for the flow of transmission in both directions. Ms. Mendrala asked if there was a different way the standard could be phrased to better clarify the intent. Mr. Phillips noted that WEQ-023-1.3 defines an ATCID and identifies the different components of an ATC calculation and requires that a transmission provider describe its treatment of counterflows as part of its ATCID. He asked if this standard, together with WEQ-023-1.4, addresses the issue such that WEQ-023-1.4 does not need to specify if it is the sum or net of firm transmission service transactions. Mr. Steigerwald responded, indicating that the ATCID should specify how ATC/TTC are calculated, including how the calculation accounts for counterflows.

Mr. Phillips suggested that the participants consider removing the phrase “the net of” from WEQ-023-1.4. Mr. Sutton indicated that MISO would be supportive of this revision and that the modification would fully address MISO’s formal comments. Mr. Phillips asked if there was any opposition to making this revision as part of late formal comments. None were offered.

Mr. Phillips noted that MISO also proposed an additional modification to WEQ-023-1.4 to include the phrase “to or from an adjacent Transmission Service Provider’s BAA” as part of the standard. Mr. Advena indicated that PJM would not be supportive of adding this phrase to the standard. Mr. Wood stated that the phrase may not be necessary. Mr. Sutton stated that MISO can support the recommendation without the addition of the phrase to the standard.

The participants made additional non-substantive modifications to WEQ-023-1.4 to correct a double negative phrase.

Mr. Phillips asked if there were any additional modifications that should be considered as part of late formal comments by the subcommittee. None were offered.

Mr. Wood moved, seconded by Ms. Berdahl, to adopt the revisions as discussed during the meeting as [late formal comments](https://naesb.org/member_login_check.asp?doc=weq_bps091422a1.docx) of the subcommittee. The motion passed a simple majority vote without opposition.

1. **Review Formal Comments Submitted in Response to the Recommendation to Support 2022 WEQ Annual Plan Item 2.b and Develop Late Formal Comments as Necessary**

Mr. Phillips stated that the formal comments submitted by MISO also referenced 2022 WEQ Annual Plan Item 2.b. Ms. Kleuber responded that MISO is supportive of the no action recommendation and has no further issues to address for this recommendation.

Mr. Phillips stated that there were no other comments proposing modifications received in response to the no action recommendation but noted that the WEQ SRS did submit comments in support.

1. **Other Business**

There was no other business discussed.

1. **Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 2:41 PM Central on a motion by Mr. Wood.

1. **Attendance**

| **First Name** | **Last Name** | **Organization** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Chris | Advena | PJM |
| Rebecca | Berdahl | BPA |
| Andrea | Kleuber | MISO |
| Cheryl | Mendrala | ISO-New England |
| Joshua | Phillips | SPP |
| Ken | Quimby | SPP |
| Byron | Reischl | Arizona Public Service |
| Mike | Steigerwald | BPA |
| Scott  | Stewart | BPA |
| Matt | Sutton | MISO |
| Caroline | Trum | NAESB |
| JT | Wood | Southern Company |