RXQ1.2 Definitions

-- RXQ.0.2.43 Trading Partner Agreement. I suggest that the group may want to see if there are examples where customers must enter TPAs and if the definition could be broaden to be inclusive of different market models. The group may want to also consider the usefulness of including a definition for the term “Trading Partner.”

RXQ.1.3.1 Governing Documents

-- RXQ.1.3.1.1. Should "force majeure" be included? One suggestion is to address “force majeure” under subsection “General.”

--Should business definitions be provided for the bullets Demand Response, Energy Efficiency and Smart grid or are they provided elsewhere in NAESB Books?

--Should the bullet "Smart grid requirements" be addressed as a governing document? Smart grid is at the retail [distribution level] mostly, and will play an important role going forward, impacting and involving utilities, suppliers, a variety of service providers, and customers. As an example, there may be service providers providing grid optimization services that really don't involve meters. Also, there may be mini/micro-grids involving a locust of retail customers aggregated together, or community renewable energy cooperatives on a utility grid.

RXQ.1.3.2 Regulatory Documents

--RXQ.1.3.2.1. Should we also include a reference to any requirements by the applicable regulatory authority to obtain Licensing/Certification before commencing services? Registration, if applicable?

RXQ.1.3.3.4.2 Change "As" applicable to "If" applicable, for consistency.

RXQ.1.3.5.4

--Replace phrase "if permitted" with phrase "as permitted by the applicable regulatory authority" in second bullet, third sub-bullet to read: "Number of Rescissions, as permitted by applicable regulatory authority, requested by Retail Customers... "

--Fifth bullet "Payments." Add a sub-bullet "Interest/penalties, if specified by applicable regulatory authority (indication of problems exchanging invoice transactions between the parties)"

--Seventh bullet, add as continuation of sub-bullet "or as required by applicable regulatory authority"

--Eighth bullet. Should this not actually appear as a sub-bullet of the seventh bullet "Timing of Uniform Electronic Transaction processing?" Might we also consider including in this bullet an additional sub-bullet to address what may be a reasonable timeframe for receiving transactions prior to or after system upgrades or conversions?