The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street N.E., Room 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

RE: Standards for Business Practices of Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines (Docket Nos. RM 96-1-038)

Dear Ms. Bose:

The North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB") herewith submits this report to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC" or "Commission") regarding errata to Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 of the NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant ("WGQ") standards. This report is voluntarily submitted by NAESB in Docket No. RM96-1-038 and impacts the Version 3.0 WGQ standards currently the subject of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued by the Commission on July 16, 2015. The NAESB WGQ Version 3.0 standards were ratified by the NAESB membership and submitted to the Commission on November 14, 2014. The minor corrections included in this report, MC15003, MC15004, and MC15005, were adopted by the WGQ Executive Committee on April 9, 2015.

This report is filed electronically in Adobe Acrobat® Portable Document Format (.pdf). All of the referenced documents are available on the NAESB web site (www.naesb.org). Should you need the report in an editable format, we can provide it in Microsoft® Word® 2010. Please feel free to call the office at (713) 356-0060 or refer to the NAESB website (www.naesb.org) should you have any questions or need additional information regarding the errata to the NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 standards or any other NAESB work products.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Booe  
Mr. Jonathan Booe  
Executive Vice President & CAO, North American Energy Standards Board

cc with enclosures: Chairman Norman C. Bay, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Commissioner Tony Clark, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Commissioner Colette Honorable, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Commissioner Cheryl LaFleur, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Commissioner Philip D. Moeller, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Mr. Max Minzner, General Counsel of the Commission, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Mr. Michael Goldenberg, Senior Attorney, Office of General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Ms. Jamie L. Simler, Director, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Mr. J. Arnold Quinn, Director, Office of Energy Policy and Innovation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Mr. Cade Burks, Chairman and CEO, North American Energy Standards Board
Ms. Valerie Crockett, Vice Chairman, North American Energy Standards Board
Mr. William P. Boswell, General Counsel, North American Energy Standards Board
REPORT OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY STANDARDS BOARD

The North American Energy Standards Board (“NAESB”) is voluntarily submitting this report in accordance with the Commission’s Orders in the above referenced Docket and provides information on three minor corrections, MC15003, MC15004, and MC15005, adopted by the Wholesale Gas Quadrant (“WGQ”) Executive Committee (“EC”) on April 9, 2015. The report is organized into appendices; the first three appendices reference specific minor corrections. The last three appendices reference the WGQ EC meeting minutes approving the WGQ minor corrections, the notice to WGQ membership of the WGQ EC adoption of the minor corrections, and NAESB Operating Procedures for minor clarifications and corrections to standards, respectively.

The list of appendices shown below in tabular form includes the FERC docket number for the amended standard(s), the version(s) of standard(s) amended, and a description of the amendments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix No.</th>
<th>Minor Correction Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 1</td>
<td><strong>MC15003:</strong> [Docket Nos. RM 96-1 et al], Provides an errata correction to the NAESB WGQ Capacity Release Implementation Guide Executive Summary as published in NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant (WGQ) Business Practice Standards Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0. In Version 2.0 the Capacity Release Implementation Guide was re-designed and updated. In the section of the Executive Summary explaining the Withdrawal Download, the word ‘not’ was inadvertently left for which a phrase was changed from a negative perspective to a positive perspective:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NAESB WGQ Capacity Release Implementation Guide Executive Summary, Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 2</td>
<td><strong>MC15004:</strong> [Docket Nos. RM 96-1 et al], Provides an errata correction to the NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standard No. 2.4.1, Pre-determined Allocation data set PDA Transaction Type Matrix to correct the references by changing ‘C8’ to ‘C6’ for Transaction Type and Transaction Type Description in the body of the matrix:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standard No. 2.4.1, Pre-determined Allocation (data set) PDA Transaction Type Matrix, Versions 2.1 and 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 3

**MC15005**: [Docket Nos. RM 96-1 et al], Provides an errata correction to NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standards, Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 – the requester identified various errors and have submitted the following corrections:

- **Correction #1**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 0.4.1 (Storage Information), correct the Code Value Definition for Transfer Withdrawal (data element Transaction Type);
- **Correction #2**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 (Scheduled Quantity), correct the Code Value Description Pipeline Segment Capacity Constraint - Receipt (data element Reduction Reason);
- **Correction #3**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 (Scheduled Quantity), correct the punctuation in the Code Value Definition for Backhaul (data element Transaction Type);
- **Correction #4**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1 (Pre-determined Allocation), correct the Sample Paper;
- **Correction #5**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.3 (Allocation), correct the Allocation Transaction Types 15D and 15R in the Allocation Transaction Type Matrix;
- **Correction #6**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 3.4.1 (Transportation/Sales Invoice), correct 12 Code Value Descriptions under the data element Charge Type;
- **Correction #7**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20 (Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release), correct the Data Element Definition (data element Location Zone);
- **Correction #8**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20 (Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release), correct the punctuation in the Condition for various data elements;
- **Correction #9**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 (Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation), correct the Condition for data element Rate Charged;
- **Correction #10**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 (Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation), correct the punctuation in the Condition for the data element Special Terms & Miscellaneous Notes;
- **Correction #11**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 (Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation), delete the Code Value ‘Phase 1 Expansion’ from the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Indicator and add it to the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Location Indicator;
- **Correction #12**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.22 (Transactional Reporting - Interruptible Transportation), delete the underscore in the Condition for the data element Location Purpose;
- **Correction #13**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24 (Offer), correct the Condition for the data element Minimum Acceptable Rate;
- **Correction #14**: NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24 (Offer), correct the name of the data element Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator in the Code Values Dictionary.

The errata corrections apply to Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 of the standards as follows:

- NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 0.4.1, 1.4.5, 2.4.1, 2.4.3, 5.4.20, 5.4.21 and 5.4.24, Version 2.0
- NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 0.4.1, 1.4.5, 2.4.1, 2.4.3, 5.4.20, 5.4.21, 5.4.22 and 5.4.24, Version 2.1
- NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 0.4.1, 1.4.5, 2.4.1, 2.4.3, 3.4.1, 5.4.20, 5.4.21 and 5.4.24, Version 3.0

### Appendix 4

NAESB WGQ Executive Committee notational ballot for which the NAESB WGQ minor corrections were approved.

### Appendix 5

Notice to WGQ members of Executive Committee adoption of minor corrections.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix No.</th>
<th>Minor Correction Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 6</td>
<td>NAESB operating procedures for minor clarifications and corrections to standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1 contains Minor Correction MC15003, errata correction to the NAESB WGQ Capacity Release Implementation Guide Executive Summary as published in NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant (WGQ) Business Practice Standards Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0. In Version 2.0 (Final Action WGQ 2010 Annual Plan Item 3, et al) the Capacity Release Implementation Guide was re-designed and updated. In the section of the Executive Summary explaining the Withdrawal Download, the word ‘not’ was inadvertently left for which a phrase was changed from a negative perspective to a positive perspective. The errata correction removes the word ‘not’ from the phrase as approved by the WGQ EC via notational ballot on April 9, 2015.
MC15003
Approved by the WGQ Executive Committee via notational ballot on April 9, 2015
North American Energy Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or
Electronic Transaction
or
Enhancement of an Existing NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or
Electronic Transaction

Date of Request: 02/04/15

1. Submitting Entity & Address:
   Williams
   P.O. Box 1396
   Houston, TX 77251-1396

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:
   Name: Dale Davis – Co-Chair Information Requirements Subcommittee
   Title: Industry Standards Consultant
   Phone: 713-215-2396
   Fax: 713-215-4595
   E-mail: Dale.M.Davis@Williams.com

3. Title and Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

   Title: NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 – Correction to Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0
   Capacity Release Implementation Guide Executive Summary

   Description:
   In Version 2.0 the Capacity Release Implementation Guide was re-designed and updated. In the section of the
   Executive Summary explaining the Withdrawal Download, a phrase was changed from a negative perspective
to a positive perspective. In Version 1.9 it says (subject phrase highlighted):

   Offers and bids can be withdrawn after posting and according to tariff specifications. As with all posted
   information, withdrawal data is immediately displayed to viewing users, but is not available to EDI trading
   partners unless specifically requested.

   In the recommendation form for WGQ 2010 Annual Plan Item 3, et al, it says:

   Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and
   5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB,
   and is available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request.

   Unfortunately the word 'not' was inadvertently left and in Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 it reads:

   Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and
   5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB,
   and is not available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request.

   This error may cause confusion for EDI trading partners.
Appendix 1 – Minor Correction MC15003
Errata for NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant Business Practice Standards, Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0
September 25, 2015

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

   Correction to the section on Withdrawal Download in the Capacity Release Implementation Guide
   Executive Summary

Withdrawal Download

Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and 5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB, and is not available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request. As a result, an EDI trading partner may have received data from a TSP concerning a transaction which has been subsequently withdrawn - either by the originator of the transaction or by the TSP. All types of withdrawals which are requested together are sent in a Withdrawal Download.

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
   Minimal

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:
   Minimal

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):
   N/A

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners:
   N/A

10. Attachments (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):
    N/A

See Attached Recommendation
1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Accept as requested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Accept as modified below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Decline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Change to Existing Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Status Quo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Correction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Principle (x.1.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Definition (x.2.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Document (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Data Element (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Code Value (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>X12 Implementation Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Business Process Documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per Recommendation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Principle (x.1.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Definition (x.2.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Document (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Data Element (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Code Value (x.4.z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>X12 Implementation Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Business Process Documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: Correction for NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0 to be reflected in WGQ Version 3.1

- Correct the Executive Summary for the Capacity Release Implementation Guide
BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Capacity Release Implementation Guide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language: Executive Summary - Section on Withdrawal Download</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correct paragraph 1 as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and 5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB, and <strong>not</strong> available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request. As a result, an EDI trading partner may have received data from a TSP concerning a transaction which has been subsequently withdrawn - either by the originator of the transaction or by the TSP. All types of withdrawals which are requested together are sent in a Withdrawal Download.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Title: NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 – Correction to Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0

Capacity Release Implementation Guide Executive Summary

Description:

In Version 2.0 the Capacity Release Implementation Guide was re-designed and updated. In the section of the Executive Summary explaining the Withdrawal Download, a phrase was changed from a negative perspective to a positive perspective. In Version 1.9 it says (subject phrase highlighted):

Offers and bids can be withdrawn after posting and according to tariff specifications. As with all posted information, withdrawal data is immediately displayed to viewing users, **but is not available to EDI trading partners unless specifically requested.**

In the recommendation form for WGQ 2010 Annual Plan Item 3, et al, it says:

Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and 5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB, **and is available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request.**

Unfortunately the word ‘not’ was inadvertently left and in Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 it reads:

Offers and Bids can be withdrawn after posting, pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard Nos. 5.3.13 and 5.3.14, and according to tariff specifications. Withdrawal data is immediately displayed on the TSP’s EBB, **and is not available to EDI trading partners upon a specific request.**

b. Description of Recommendation:

**Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittee**

See minutes for the following joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees meetings:

- February 10, 2015
Motion:
Adopt the proposed implementation for MC15003 applicable to NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0, to be included in NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 as set forth in Attachment 3 to the February 10, 2015 meeting minutes of the joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
<th>Balanced Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion Passes
Appendix 2 contains **Minor Correction MC15004**, minor correction to the NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standard No. 2.4.1, Pre-determined Allocation data set PDA Transaction Type Matrix to correct the references by changing ‘C8’ to ‘C6’ for Transaction Type and Transaction Type Description in the body of the matrix as approved by the WGQ Executive Committee by notational ballot on April 9, 2015.
MC15004
Approved by the WGQ Executive Committee via notational ballot on April 9, 2015
North American Energy Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or
Electronic Transaction
or
Enhancement of an Existing NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or
Electronic Transaction

Date of Request: 02/04/15

1. Submitting Entity & Address:
   Williams
   P.O. Box 1396
   Houston, TX 77251-1396

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:
   Name : Dale Davis – Co-Chair Information Requirements Subcommittee
   Title : Industry Standards Consultant
   Phone: 713-215-2396
   Fax : 713-215-4595
   E-mail : Dale.M.Davis@Williams.com

3. Title and Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
   Title: NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 – Correction to Versions 2.1 and 3.0
   Pre-determined Allocation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1
   Correction to PDA Transaction Type Matrix

   Description:
   In Version 2.1 the PDA Transaction Type Matrix was modified, among other things, to delete the line item references to Delivery Location Proprietary Code and Receipt Location Proprietary Code. These changes were a part of the recommendation for NAESB WGQ 2012 Annual Plan Item 8 (elimination of the use of location common codes). In so doing, the Usage notes, below the PDA Transaction Type Matrix, C6 (applicable to Delivery Location Proprietary Code) and C7 (applicable to Receipt Location Proprietary Code) were deleted and the remaining notes were re-numbered. Therefore, C8 became C6, C9 became C7, etc.

   As noted in the recommendation adopted by the Executive Committee on August 23, 2012, the Usage note C8 related to data element Transaction Type. It was re-numbered to C6. Unfortunately, within the body of the matrix, the references to ‘C8’ for Transaction Type and Transaction Type Description were not changed to ‘C6’. Therefore, they incorrectly refer to Usage note C8.

   The attached shows the proposed correction.

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):
   See Attached
5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
   See Attached

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
   Minimal

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:
   Minimal

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):
   N/A

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners:
   N/A

10. Attachments (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):
    N/A

See Attached Recommendation
1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

   X Accept as requested
   _____ Accept as modified below
   _____ Decline

   EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:

   _____ Change to Existing Practice
   _____ Status Quo
   X Correction

2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:
   Initiation
   Modification
   Interpretation
   Withdrawal

   Per Recommendation:
   Initiation
   Modification
   Interpretation
   Withdrawal

   Principle (x.1.z)
   Definition (x.2.z)
   Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   Document (x.4.z)

   X Data Element (x.4.z)
   Code Value (x.4.z)
   X12 Implementation Guide
   Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

   SUMMARY:
   Correction for NAESB WGQ Versions 2.1 and 3.0 to be reflected in WGQ Version 3.1

   - Correct the PDA Transaction Type Matrix in the following data set:
     Pre-determined Allocation  NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1
# DATA DICTIONARY

Document Name and No.: Pre-determined Allocation NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1

## PDA Transaction Type Matrix

Modify as indicated. Remainder of the PDA Transaction Type Matrix is unchanged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Usage when PDA transaction type code is:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Type Data</td>
<td>C8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>C8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

n1. No dependent data elements for this PDA transaction type.

### USAGE:

C1. Mandatory when present in the nomination.
C2. Mandatory when mutually agreed to and submitted in the nomination process.
C3. Mandatory when present and processed in the original nomination.
C4. Mandatory when mutually agreed to and submitted in the nomination process and when associated contract is not used for storage balancing. Mandatory for Service Requester provided PDAs when the Associated Contract was submitted in the Nomination.
C5. For EBB, this data element is mandatory; it is not used for EDI or FF.
C6. For EDI and FF, Transaction Type is mandatory and Transaction Type Description is not used. For EBB, at least one of Transaction Type or Transaction Type Description is required.
C7. Mandatory when Downstream Identifier Code is not present.
C8. Mandatory when Service Requester ID is not present.
C9. Mandatory when Upstream Identifier Code is not present.
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. **Description of Request:**

Title: NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 – Correction to Versions 2.1 and 3.0
Pre-determined Allocation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1
Correction to PDA Transaction Type Matrix

Description:

In Version 2.1 the PDA Transaction Type Matrix was modified, among other things, to delete the line item references to Delivery Location Proprietary Code and Receipt Location Proprietary Code. These changes were a part of the recommendation for NAESB WGQ 2012 Annual Plan Item 8 (elimination of the use of location common codes). In so doing, the Usage notes, below the PDA Transaction Type Matrix, C6 (applicable to Delivery Location Proprietary Code) and C7 (applicable to Receipt Location Proprietary Code) were deleted and the remaining notes were re-numbered. Therefore, C8 became C6, C9 became C7, etc.

As noted in the recommendation adopted by the Executive Committee on August 23, 2012, the Usage note C8 related to data element Transaction Type. It was re-numbered to C6. Unfortunately, within the body of the matrix, the references to ‘C8’ for Transaction Type and Transaction Type Description were not changed to ‘C6’. Therefore, they incorrectly refer to Usage note C8.

b. **Description of Recommendation:**

**Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittee**

See minutes for the following joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees meetings:

- February 10, 2015

**Motion:**

Adopt the proposed implementation for MC15004 applicable to NAESB WGQ Versions 2.1 and 3.0, to be included in NAESB WGQ Version 3.1 as set forth in Attachment 4 to the February 10, 2015 meeting minutes of the joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
<th>Balanced Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Motion Passes**
Appendix 3 contains **Minor Correction MC15005**, various miscellaneous corrections to NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standards, Versions 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0 – the requester identified various errors and has submitted corrections to NAESB WGQ Standard No. 0.4.1 (Storage Information), correct the Code Value Definition for Transfer Withdrawal (data element Transaction Type), NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 (Scheduled Quantity), correct the Code Value Description Pipeline Segment Capacity Constraint - Receipt (data element Reduction Reason) and correct the punctuation in the Code Value Definition for Backhaul (data element Transaction Type), NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1 (Pre-determined Allocation), correct the Sample Paper, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.3 (Allocation), correct the Allocation Transaction Types 15D and 15R in the Allocation Transaction Type Matrix, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 3.4.1 (Transportation/Sales Invoice), correct 12 Code Value Descriptions under the data element Charge Type, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20 (Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release), correct the Data Element Definition (data element Location Zone) and correct the punctuation in the Condition for various data elements, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 (Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation), correct the Condition for data element Rate Charged, correct the punctuation in the Condition for the data element Special Terms & Miscellaneous Notes, and delete the Code Value ‘Phase 1 Expansion’ from the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Indicator and add it to the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Location Indicator, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.22 (Transactional Reporting - Interruptible Transportation), delete the underscore in the Condition for the data element Location Purpose, NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24 (Offer), correct the Condition for the data element Minimum Acceptable Rate and correct the name of the data element Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator in the Code Values Dictionary as approved by the WGQ EC via notational ballot on April 9, 2015.
North American Energy Standards Board

MC15005

Request for Minor Correction/Clarification of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction

Date of Request: 3/12/2015

1. Submitting Entity & Address:
   Dale Davis
   Williams
   P.O. Box 1396
   Houston, TX  77251

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:
   Name:    Dale Davis
   Title:   Industry Standards Consultant
   Phone:   713-215-2396
   Fax:     Dale.m.davis@williams.com

3. Version and Standard Number(s) suggested for correction or clarification:
   NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0

4. Description of Minor Correction/Clarification including redlined standards corrections:
   Various Miscellaneous Corrections - see attached

5. Reason for of Minor Correction/Clarification:
   Clarity and correctness to published NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0

   See Attached Recommendation
1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

X  Accept as requested
     Accept as modified below
     Decline

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT
RECOMMENDED ACTION:

     Change to Existing Practice
     Status Quo
     Correction

2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE

Per Request:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiation</th>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Withdrawal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Per Recommendation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiation</th>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Withdrawal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle (x.1.z)</th>
<th>Definition (x.2.z)</th>
<th>Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)</th>
<th>Document (x.4.z)</th>
<th>Data Element (x.4.z)</th>
<th>Code Value (x.4.z)</th>
<th>X12 Implementation Guide</th>
<th>Business Process Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: Fourteen Corrections for NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and/or 3.0 to be reflected in WGQ Version 3.1
1. Correct the Code Value Definition for Transfer Withdrawal (data element Transaction Type) in the Storage Information data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 0.4.1 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

2. Correct the Code Value Description Pipeline Segment Capacity Constraint - Receipt (data element Reduction Reason) in the Scheduled Quantity data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

3. Correct the punctuation in the Code Value Definition for Backhaul (data element TransactionType) in the Scheduled Quantity data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 - applicable to WGQ Version 3.0.

4. Correct the Sample Paper for the Pre-determined Allocation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

5. Correct the Allocation Transaction Types 15D and 15R in the Allocation Transaction Type Matrix for the Allocation data set NAESB WGQ Standard 2.4.3 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

6. Correct 12 Code Value Descriptions under the data element Charge Type in the Transportation/Sales Invoice data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 3.4.1 - applicable to WGQ Version 3.0.

7. Correct the Data Element Definition (data element Location Zone) in the Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

8. Correct the punctuation in the Condition for various data elements in the Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

9. Correct the Condition for data element Rate Charged in the Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 - applicable to WGQ Version 3.0.

10. Correct the punctuation in the Condition for the data element Special Terms & Miscellaneous Notes in the Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0 and 2.1.

11. Delete the Code Value ‘Phase 1 Expansion’ from the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Indicator and add it to the Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Location Indicator in the Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21 - applicable to WGQ Version 3.0.

12. Delete the underscore in the Condition for the data element Location Purpose in the Transactional Reporting - Interruptible Transportation data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.22 - applicable to WGQ Version 2.1.

13. Correct the Condition for the data element Minimum Acceptable Rate in the Offer data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.1 and 3.0.

14. Correct the name of the data element Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator in the Code Values Dictionary for the Offer data set - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24 - applicable to WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.
Minor Correction #1
Data Set Name / Number: Storage Information - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 0.4.1
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Transaction Type
Correction: Code Value Description: Transfer Withdrawal
Correction to the Code Value Definition as follows:
A decrease in the storage inventory as a result of a transfer of storage inventory between storage contracts or service requesters.

Minor Correction #2
Data Set Name / Number: Scheduled Quantity - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Reduction Reason
Correction: Code Value Description: Pipeline Segment Capacity Constraint - Receipt.
Remove the 'period' at the end of the name as follows:
Pipeline Segment Capacity Constraint - Receipt.

Minor Correction #3
Data Set Name / Number: Scheduled Quantity - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 3.0
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Transaction Type
Correction: Code Value Description: Backhaul
Correction to the Code Value Definition to remove the apostrophe as follows:
Movement of gas from a point on the pipeline to a point that is upstream on the pipeline. Usually used by Transportation Service Provider’s that employ the 'non-pathed model' nomination Model Type.

Minor Correction #4
Data Set Name / Number: Pre-determined Allocation - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.1
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1 and 3.0
Location of Correction: Sample Paper
Correction: For the data element Direction of Flow, based on the PDA Transaction Type 09, it should be ‘Receipt’ as follows:

Version 2.0:
Direction of Flow: Delivered to pipeline Receipt

Versions 2.1 and 3.0:
Direction of Flow: Delivery Receipt
**Minor Correction #5**

Data Set Name / Number: Allocation NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.4.3  
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0  
Location of Correction: Allocation Transaction Type Matrix  
Correction: For Allocation Transaction Types 15D and 15R, the location information is reversed as follows:

**Allocation Transaction Type Matrix**

The following matrix shows the data elements that are dependent on the allocation transaction type code. A blank cell indicates that the data element is not used for the specified allocation transaction type code.

**[NOTE: Only the fields needing correction are shown below. The rest are unchanged]**

**Version 2.0:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>15R</th>
<th>15D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location Name</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location Proprietary Code</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>C6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location Name</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location Proprietary Code</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>C6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Versions 2.1 and 3.0**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>15R</th>
<th>15D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Location Name</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Location Name</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Minor Correction #6**

Data Set Name / Number: Transportation/Sales Invoice - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 3.4.1  
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 3.0  
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Charge Type  
Correction: Correct the Code Value Descriptions to include hyphens as indicated below. These were accidentally deleted in the recommendation for WGQ 2014 Annual Plan Items 2/3 and MC12052.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gathering Charge - Overrun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gathering Charge - Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gathering Charge - Usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Charge - Injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Charge - Withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Stranded Costs - Commodity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Notice - Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Charge - Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Charge - Withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation Deliverability - Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation Deliverability - Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surcharge - Event</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minor Correction #7**

Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20  
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0  
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary for data element Location Zone  
Correction: For the data element definition, remove the underscore beneath the ‘period’ at the end of the sentence, as follows:

The Transportation Service Provider designated name, description or other identifier generally in use on the pipeline to identify the geographical zone of the location.
Minor Correction #8
Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Capacity Release - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.20
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary - In the condition for the data elements listed below, the punctuation needs to be corrected.
Correction: There are six types of corrections.

Note 1: Add closing single quotation mark after ‘All Data’ in the last bullet of each condition. Following the list of data element is an example from Version 2.0.

Note 2: At the end of the last bullet and/or the end of the last sentence in the condition, insert a ‘period’, or if there is a ‘comma’, change it to a ‘period’ so that it looks like:
- Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.

Note 3: At the end of the last bullet and/or the end of the last sentence in the condition, insert a ‘period’, or if there is a ‘comma’, change it to a ‘period’. In these cases there is no issue with the closing single quotation mark described above in Note 1.

Note 4: Remove the underlining.
Note 5: Insert a bullet before the last sentence.
Note 6: Correct the second bullet by inserting a carriage return after the word ‘or’ and make the remainder of the condition as the third bullet as follows:
- Contract Status is ‘New’, or
- Contract Status is ‘Amended’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’, or Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Vers 2.0</th>
<th>Vers 2.1</th>
<th>Vers 3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allowable Re-release Indicator / Allowable Re-release Indicator Description</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBR Index-Based Capacity Release Indicator</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Release Indicator / Permanent Release Indicator Description</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prearranged Deal Indicator / Prearranged Deal Indicator Description</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously Released Indicator / Previously Released Indicator Description</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Charged - IBR</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Charged - Non-IBR</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Identification Code Description</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Note 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Early Evening</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Evening</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Intraday 1</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Intraday 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Element</td>
<td>Vers 2.0</td>
<td>Vers 2.1</td>
<td>Vers 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Intraday 3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Note 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall Notification Period Indicator - Timely</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall/Reput Indicator / Recall/Reput Indicator Description</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall/Reput Terms</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Shipper Role Indicator</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator / Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator Description</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to Amend Primary Points Terms</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Terms &amp; Miscellaneous Notes</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Terms &amp; Miscellaneous Notes - AMA Obligations</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Terms &amp; Miscellaneous Notes– Storage Inventory Conditions</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Inventory-Conditioned Release Indicator</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>Notes 1 and 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below is an example from Version 2.0 for the correction described in Note 1 above:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI/FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Permanent Release Indicator (Perm Rel) | | CDG | C | Mandatory when present in the original Offer Upload and one of the following conditions is met:  
- Contract Status is ‘New’,  
- Contract Status is ‘Amended’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’, or  
- Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.  
For EBB, when the above conditions are met, at least one of Permanent Release Indicator or Permanent Release Indicator Description is required. |
| Permanent Release Indicator Description (Perm Rel Desc) | | CDG | C | Mandatory when present in the original Offer Upload and one of the following conditions is met:  
- Contract Status is ‘New’,  
- Contract Status is ‘Amended’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’, or  
- Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.  
For EBB, when the above conditions are met, at least one of Permanent Release Indicator or Permanent Release Indicator Description is required. |

---

1 The EDI/FF usages do not appear in the data dictionary. The column is retained and the usages will be provided when the EDI and FF implementation instructions are published.
Minor Correction #9
Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation - NAESB WGQ
Standard No. 5.4.21
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 3.0
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary - in the condition for the data element Rate Charged
Correction: In the condition, in the second bullet, remove the following editorial instruction "(note: add a space between All and Data)"

Mandatory when one of the following conditions is met:
- Contract Status is 'New', or
- Contract Status is 'Amended' and Amendment Reporting is 'All Data', or (note: add a space between All and Data)
- Contract Status is 'Corrected / Updated' and Amendment Reporting is 'All Data'.

For EBB, when one of these conditions is met, at least one of Rate Charged, Rate Charged Reference or Rate Charged Reference Description is required.
Minor Correction #10
Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.21
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0 and 2.1
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary - in the condition for the data element Special Terms & Miscellaneous Notes.
Correction: In the last bullet of the condition, add closing single quotation mark after 'All Data' and change the following 'comma' to a 'period'. Following is an example from Version 2.0:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI/FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Terms &amp; Miscellaneous Notes (Terms/Notes)</td>
<td>Other terms which are either offered or required.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mandatory when one of the following conditions is met:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Contract Status is 'New',</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Contract Status is 'Amended' and Amendment Reporting is 'All Data', or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Contract Status is 'Corrected / Updated' and Amendment Reporting is 'All Data', Data'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The EDI/FF usages do not appear in the data dictionary. The column is retained and the usages will be provided when the EDI and FF implementation instructions are published.
Minor Correction #11
Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Firm Transportation - NAESB WGQ
Standard No. 5.4.21
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 3.0
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Capacity Type Location Indicator
Correction: The code value ‘Phase 1 Expansion’ was erroneously added to the Code Values Dictionary for the data element Capacity Type Location Indicator instead of Capacity Type Indicator. It needs to be deleted from the former and added to the latter.

Capacity Type Location Indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Capacity (Base)</td>
<td>Original pipeline capacity.</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Daily Injection Quantity (Max Daily Inj Qty)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Daily Withdrawal Quantity (Max Daily W/D Qty)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>WQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Daily Withdrawal Quantity – Additional Quantity 1 (Max Daily W/D Qty Addl 1)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>WQA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Daily Withdrawal Quantity – Additional Quantity 2 (Max Daily W/D Qty Addl 2)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>WQA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 Expansion (Phase 1 Exp)</td>
<td>First expansion of pipeline capacity.</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capacity Type Indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 Expansion (Phase 1 Exp)</td>
<td>First expansion of pipeline capacity.</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary (Prim)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary thru Storage (Prim thru Stor)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (Sec)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minor Correction #12
Data Set Name / Number: Transactional Reporting - Interruptible Transportation - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.22
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.1
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary - condition for the data element Location
Purpose: Correction: Delete the underscore below the ‘period’ at the end of the 6th bullet as shown below:

For EBB, mandatory when the following three conditions are met:
- Location/Quantity Type Indicator is ‘Segment(s) quantity,’
- Location is present and
- Location Purpose Description is not present,
and additionally, one of the following conditions is met:
- Contract Status is ‘New’, or
- Contract Status is ‘Amended’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’, or
- Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.

For EDI, mandatory when Location/Quantity Type Indicator is ‘Segment(s) quantity’ and one of the following conditions is met:
- Contract Status is ‘New’, or
- Contract Status is ‘Amended’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’, or
- Contract Status is ‘Corrected / Updated’ and Amendment Reporting is ‘All Data’.

Minor Correction #13
Data Set Name / Number: Offer - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.1 and 3.0
Location of Correction: Data Dictionary - condition for the EBB/EDI Download for data element Minimum Acceptable Rate
Purpose: Correction: In the second bullet, correct the word ‘Disclosure’ to ‘Disclose’ as shown below:

Mandatory when present in the creation of the Offer and when the following conditions exist:
- The Minimum Rate Disclosure is ‘D’;
- The Disclosure-Disclose Indicator, if present in the creation of the Offer, is ‘Y’.
Otherwise not used.
Minor Correction #14  
Data Set Name / Number: Offer - NAESB WGQ Standard No. 5.4.24  
NAESB WGQ Version(s) applicable: 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0  
Location of Correction: Code Values Dictionary for data element Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator  
Correction: The data element in Versions 2.0 and 2.1 says ‘Right to Amend Primary Point Indicator’ and in Version 3.0 it says ‘Right to Amend Primary Rights Indicator’. In all three versions it should be ‘Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator’ as shown below:

### Versions 2.0 and 2.1  
Right to Amend Primary Points Indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>The primary points cannot be changed by the Replacement Shipper(s).</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, conditionally</td>
<td>The primary point can only be changed by the initial Replacement Shipper(s).</td>
<td>YC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, unconditionally</td>
<td>The primary point can be changed by the initial Replacement Shipper(s) and by any and all re-release Replacement Shippers.</td>
<td>YU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Version 3.0  
Right to Amend Primary Rights Points Indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>The primary points cannot be changed by the Replacement Shipper(s).</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, conditionally (Yes-Cond)</td>
<td>The primary point can only be changed by the initial Replacement Shipper(s).</td>
<td>YC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, unconditionally (Yes-Uncond)</td>
<td>The primary point can be changed by the initial Replacement Shipper(s) and by any and all re-release Replacement Shippers.</td>
<td>YU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:
   Title: Various Miscellaneous Corrections

   Provides clarity and correctness to published NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and 3.0.

b. Description of Recommendation:

   Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittee
   See minutes for the following joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees meetings:
   - March 24, 2015

   Motion:
   Adopt the proposed implementation for MC15005 applicable to NAESB WGQ Versions 2.0, 2.1, and/or 3.0, to be included in NAESB WGQ Version 3.1, as set forth in Attachment 1 to the March 24, 2015 meeting minutes of the joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
<th>Balanced Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4 contains the notational ballot of the NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant Executive Committee and the action taken by the Wholesale Gas Quadrant Executive Committee to approve the following minor corrections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix No.</th>
<th>NAESB WGQ Executive Committee Notational Ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 4</td>
<td>Minor Corrections MC15003, MC15004 and MC15005 as approved by the WGQ Executive Committee by notational ballot on April 9, 2015. Results: <a href="https://www.naesb.org/pdf4/wgq_ec032615_mc_action_results.docx">https://www.naesb.org/pdf4/wgq_ec032615_mc_action_results.docx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 5 contains the correspondence sent to all Wholesale Gas Quadrant members notifying them of the Executive Committee action taken on the minor corrections, requesting comments that opposed the minor corrections, and informing them of future actions and timelines related to the minor corrections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix No.</th>
<th>Correspondence/Notices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments Received</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 6 contains the excerpt from the NAESB Operating Procedures detailing the procedures to be followed for minor clarifications and corrections to existing NAESB WGQ Business Practice Standards.

**Procedures for Minor Corrections as excerpted from the NAESB Operating Procedures**

D. Minor Clarifications and Corrections to Standards

Minor clarifications and corrections to existing standards include: (a) clarifications or corrections made by a regulatory agency to standards that are of a jurisdictional nature, or by the American National Standards Institute or its successor; (b) clarifications or corrections to the format, appearance, or descriptions of standards in standards documentation; (c) clarifications or corrections to add code values to tables; and (d) clarifications and corrections that do not materially change a standard. Any request for a minor clarification or correction to an existing standard should be submitted in writing to the executive director. This request shall include a description of the minor clarification or correction and the reason the clarification or correction should be implemented.

1. Processing of Requests

The executive director shall promptly notify the EC and any appropriate subcommittee(s) of the receipt of the request. The members of the applicable quadrant’s EC shall promptly determine whether the request meets the definition of a minor clarification or correction. Through the decision of the vice chair of the applicable quadrant, this determination may be delegated to one of the quadrant’s subcommittees, with the concurrence of the subcommittee chair, in which case the subcommittee shall make a prompt decision.

If the request is determined to meet the definition of minor clarification or correction, the applicable quadrant’s EC, with input from any subcommittee(s) to which the request has been forwarded, shall act on the request within one month of its receipt. A meeting to discuss the request is not required; the decision may be made by notational vote. A simple majority of the votes received shall determine the outcome. The members of the applicable quadrant’s EC shall be given at least three working days to consider and vote on the request.

2. Public Notice

The results of the vote on the request for a minor clarification or correction shall be posted on the NAESB website and the members of the applicable quadrant shall be notified of the request by e-mail. If the request has been approved by the applicable quadrant’s EC, the notification shall include a brief description of the request, the contact name and number of the requester so that further information can be obtained, and the proposed effective date of the clarification or correction. Any interested party shall have an opportunity to comment on the request, and the comments shall be posted on the NAESB website. The comment period is two weeks.

3. Final Disposition of Approved Requests

If no comments are received on an approved request, the standard shall be clarified or corrected as specified in the approved request on the effective date proposed. If comments are received, they shall be forwarded to the members of the applicable quadrant’s EC for consideration. Each comment requires a public written response from the applicable quadrant’s EC. The applicable quadrant’s EC shall determine whether changes are necessary as a result of the comments. Members of the applicable quadrant’s EC shall be given three working days to consider the comments and determine the outcome, which shall be decided by a simple majority of the votes received. A meeting to discuss the request is not required; the decision may be made by notational vote. The standard shall be clarified or corrected in accordance with the outcome of the vote, effective with the completion of voting, and notice thereof shall be posted on the NAESB website. In the case of minor corrections which are discovered during the editorial
review process of publication of a new version and are categorized as clarifications under (b) or (c) above, the proposed effective date may be (i) two weeks from the date of public notice, following simple majority approval by the applicable Quadrant(s) EC(s) of the shortened effective date, or (ii) one month from the date of the public notice. For all others, the proposed effective date of the minor clarification or correction shall normally be one month from the date of the public notice upon simple majority approval of the applicable Quadrant(s) EC(s).

---

3 Minor clarifications and corrections to existing standards include: (a) clarifications or corrections made by a regulatory agency to standards that are of a jurisdictional nature, or by the American National Standards Institute or its successor; (b) clarifications or corrections to the format, appearance, or descriptions of standards in standards documentation; (c) clarifications or corrections to add code values to tables; and (d) clarifications and corrections that do not materially change a standard.