(i) Within the next 24 months after the effective date of this AD, rework the rudder, rudder trim-tab, elevators and elevators auto-tab surfaces by drilling additional drain holes.

(ii) Within the next 24 months after the effective date of this AD, inspect the ailerons for the existence of required drain holes.

(iii) Before further flight after the inspections required in paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this AD, if the required drain holes do not exist, drill the drain holes.


(v) Do the actions required in paragraphs (f)(3)(ii) and (f)(3)(iii) of this AD following Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions in EMBRAER Phenom Service Bulletin No. 505–57–0004, dated February 16, 2012.

(4) Group 4 airplanes specified in paragraph (c)(4) of this AD:

(i) Within the next 24 months after the effective date of this AD, inspect the ailerons, elevators, and rudder for the existence of required drain holes.

(ii) Before further flight after the inspection required in paragraph (f)(4)(i) of this AD, if the required drain holes do not exist, drill the drain holes.

(iii) Do the actions required in paragraphs (f)(4)(i) and (f)(4)(ii) of this AD following Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions in EMBRAER Phenom Service Bulletin No. 505–57–0004, dated February 16, 2012.

(g) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directive, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4165; fax: (816) 329–4096; email: jim.rutherford@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES–200.

(h) Related Information


John Colomy, Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2012–5794 Filed 4–23–12; 8:45 am]
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DATES: Comments are due June 25, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments, identified by docket number RM05–5–020, may be filed in the following ways:
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Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
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1. In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) proposes to amend its regulations at 18 CFR 38.2 under the Federal Power Act 1 to incorporate by reference the business practice standards adopted by the Wholesale Electric Quadrant (WEQ) of the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) that pertain to the measurement and verification of demand response and energy efficiency resources participating in organized wholesale electricity markets.2

Adoption of these standards is intended to improve the methods and procedures used to accurately measure demand response and energy efficiency resource performance. Additionally, these standards should help Regional Transmission Organizations (RTO) and Independent System Operators (ISO) to properly credit demand response and energy efficiency resources for their services.3

I. Background

2. NAESB is a private consensus standards developer that divides its activities among four quadrants, each of which is composed of members from all segments of its respective industry.4 NAESB is an accredited standards organization under the auspices of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). NAESB’s procedures are designed to ensure that all industry members can have input into the development of a standard, whether or not they are members of NAESB, and each wholesale electricity standard that NAESB’s WEQ adopts is supported by a consensus of the seven industry segments: end users, distribution/load serving entities, transmission, generation, marketers/brokers, independent grid operators/planners and technology/services. Under the WEQ process, for a standard to be approved, it must receive a super-majority vote of 67 percent of the members of the WEQ’s Executive Committee, with support from at least 40 percent of each of the seven industry segments.5 For final approval, 67 percent of the WEQ’s general membership must ratify the standard.6

3. In 2006, the Commission issued Order No. 676, a Final Rule that incorporated by reference business practice standards for the WEQ adopted by NAESB applicable to public utilities.7 Since 2006, the NAESB consensus industry stakeholder process has reviewed the NAESB business practice standards for public utilities with a view to creating a more efficient marketplace and it has adopted revisions that, in a number of instances, the Commission has made mandatory by incorporating by reference into the Commission’s regulations.8

4. NAESB began work on the development of business practice standards pertaining to the measurement and verification of demand response9 products and services in July 2007, when the NAESB WEQ Demand Side Management—Energy Efficiency (DSM—EE) subcommittee began work on this issue. This effort led to the adoption and ratification by NAESB of initial measurement and verification standards early in 2009.

5. On April 17, 2009, NAESB filed a report (April 2009 Report) informing the Commission that it had adopted an initial set of business practice standards to categorize various demand response products and services and to support the measurement and verification of these products and services in organized wholesale electricity markets (Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards).10 Key to obtaining consensus on the initial set of standards was the agreement to proceed with further work on more detailed technical standards for the measurement and verification of demand response resources. The NAESB report recognized that these standards would need to be followed by the development of more detailed technical standards for the measurement and verification of demand response

---

4 The four quadrants are the wholesale and retail electric quadrants and the wholesale and retail natural gas quadrants.
5 Under NAESB’s procedures, interested persons may attend and participate in NAESB committee meetings and phone conferences, even if they are not NAESB members.
6 See May 3 Report at 2.
9 Demand response means a reduction in the consumption of electric energy by customers from their expected consumption in response to an increase in the price of electric energy or to incentive payments designed to induce lower consumption of electric energy. 18 CFR 35.28(b)(4) (2011).
products and services in RTO and ISO areas.

6. On April 15, 2010, the Commission issued Order No. 676–F, incorporating by reference the Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards that categorize various demand response products and services and support the measurement and verification of these products and services in organized wholesale electricity markets. The Commission stated that “[w]hile NAESB’s Phase I [Demand Response] M&V Standards represent a good first step, additional substantive standards would appear beneficial in creating transparent and consistent measurement and verification of demand response products and services in wholesale electric markets.” The Commission also stated that “[w]e expect Phase II will address issues related to baseline development” and “[a]ll the Commission anticipated that the measurement and verification standards needed to accomplish this goal would be a focus of NAESB’s Phase II measurement and verification standards development efforts.”

7. NAESB subsequently initiated specific plans to improve and adopt additional technical standards and filed a report with the Commission on May 3, 2011 (May 3 Report) that informed the Commission that NAESB had adopted a revised set of standards covering measurement and verification (Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards) and a new set of standards covering energy efficiency, and explained its efforts to develop these standards.

8. As discussed in more detail below, the Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards add more specifications to the existing Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards’ definitions and business practice standards in the following areas: meter data reporting deadline, advanced notification, telemetry interval, meter accuracy for after-the-fact metering, meter data reporting interval, and adjustment window. During NAESB’s work on Phase II, the WEQ DSM–EE Wholesale Demand Response Work Group (WEQ DR work group) discussed the level of detail to be included in the standards, with most participants agreeing that the standards developed should not “duplicate efforts undertaken in the ISO–RTO stakeholder process,” which vetted the adopted programs extensively. NAESB states that a majority of the WEQ DR work group agreed that “impacting the stakeholder process would require guidance from the FERC.”

9. In addition to demand response standards, NAESB drafted, discussed, and adopted business practice standards for the measurement and verification of energy efficiency in organized wholesale electricity markets (Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards). NAESB reports that the work took place between July 2009 and December 2010, and was considered in NAESB’s DSM–EE subcommittee meetings and WEQ’s Executive Committee meetings. The standards are designed to create a standard method for quantifying the energy reductions from energy efficiency measures. The Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards include six new definitions and 63 business practice standards. Included are definitions for energy efficiency baseline and demand reduction value. The standards contain criteria for the use of energy efficiency products in organized wholesale electricity markets, general measurement and verification plan requirements, and detailed criteria of acceptable measurement and verification methodologies. NAESB states that the standards are built upon PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. and ISO New England Inc. manuals, the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) measurement and verification standards, the International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP), and several state protocols.

II. Discussion


A. NAESB Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards

11. The Commission proposes to incorporate by reference into its regulations the Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards as a further step toward transparency and consistency in the methods RTOs and ISOs use to measure and verify demand response in their organized wholesale electricity markets. Additionally, the Commission seeks comment on the Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards and on certain aspects of measurement and verification of demand response more generally.


13 Incorporation by reference makes compliance with these standards mandatory for public utilities subject to Part 38 of the Commission’s regulations.


17 Id. P 37.

18 Id. P 32.

19 See supra n.2.

20 Energy efficiency: [r]efers to programs that are aimed at reducing the energy used by specific end-use devices and systems, typically without affecting the services provided. These programs reduce overall electricity consumption (reported in megawatt-hours), often without explicit consideration for the timing of program-induced savings. Such savings are generally achieved by substituting technologically more advanced equipment to produce the same level of end-use services (e.g. lighting, heating, motor drive) with less electricity. Examples include high-efficiency appliances, efficient lighting programs, high-efficiency heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) systems or control modifications, efficient building design, advanced electric motor drives, and heat recovery systems.
including the degree to which standardization is important, the appropriate degree of detail and specificity that any such standards should contain, and the appropriate mechanism for achieving any necessary improvements in this area.

1. Description

12. The Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards build on the Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards. These new standards also include updates to certain associated definitions as well as some formatting and organizational changes. The collective set of Phase I and Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards comprise two parts: the first part establishes criteria for the use of equipment, technology, and procedures to quantify the demand reduction value 26 of four product categories, 21 and the second part includes business practice requirements for five performance evaluation types. 28

13. In the Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards, NAESB consistently replaced references to the “System Operator” with the term “Governing Documents” throughout most of the standards. Other changes include adding a meter data reporting deadline (103 days for the energy and capacity product categories and 55 days for reserve and regulation product categories); specifying an advanced notification of one day maximum to the demand response resource that its capacity product category will be required; establishing a telemetry interval of six seconds for the provider of the regulation product category to submit data to the system operator; tightening the requirement for meter accuracy for after-the-fact metering for all four product categories; and defining an adjustment window of four hours for calculating baseline adjustments for the baseline type-I and baseline type-II performance evaluation types.

14. As characterized by NAESB, the set of business practice standards represented by the combination of Phase I and Phase II efforts “provide a framework that may be used to develop performance evaluation methodologies for specific Demand Response services; they do not specify detailed characteristics of performance evaluation methodologies.” 30 The standards state that, should a conflict arise between the business practice standards and a System Operator’s Governing Documents, the Governing Documents would have precedence. 31

2. Discussion

15. As noted above, when the Commission approved the Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards in Order No. 676–F, it recognized that “additional substantive standards would appear beneficial in creating transparent and consistent measurement and verification * * * in wholesale electric markets.” 32 The Commission agreed with commenters “that more detailed measurement and verification standards will reduce costs for customers and market participants, particularly those participating in multiple markets” and that “demand response providers that participate in more than one RTO or ISO should not have to incur the costs of developing different business processes to adapt to the differing RTO/ISO requirements, increasing the cost and complexity of their business.” 33 While the Commission acknowledged that NAESB’s efforts may not result in a single performance evaluation method, the Commission emphasized that “greater standardization of the performance evaluation methods will improve the accuracy of measuring and verifying demand response performance and may reduce costs.” 34

16. The 2009 NOPR noted that the key to several NAESB participants’ willingness to accept the Phase I Demand Response M&V Standards was an agreement among participants to include more specific technical measurement and verification standards in NAESB’s annual work plan and to proceed with further work on more detailed technical standards. 35 Similarly, in its April 2009 Report, NAESB stressed that “more technical standards would be needed to support the standards provided in the recommendation.” 36

17. As noted above, NAESB acknowledges that the resulting set of business practice standards represented by the combination of Phase I and Phase II efforts set forth a generalized performance evaluation methodology that lacks specific provisions or detailed requirements. 37 The Commission invites comments on the proposed Phase II standards. Further, in light of the Commission’s statements in Order No. 676–F regarding the importance of consistency and specificity, we invite comment as to whether the Phase II Demand Response M&V Standards that we propose to adopt herein are sufficiently detailed to provide transparent measurement and verification among regions, and whether greater detail or prescriptiveness would be appropriate. We also seek comment on the degree to which encouraging greater consistency among markets and regions would reduce costs for customers and market participants or otherwise facilitate participation by end users in multiple markets.

18. To the extent that greater detail is recommended, the Commission seeks comment as to whether sufficient experience in demand response is available to identify best practices in the area of measurement and verification, particularly for performance evaluation types such as baseline calculations. Similarly, we seek comment about the particular areas where enhancing such detail or consistency would be most useful. For example, are consistent telemetry and metering requirements more or less important than consistent approaches to the determination of baselines; would it be worthwhile to address procedures for weather adjustments; or are any other particular aspects of measurement and verification appropriate for further effort regarding the addition of increased specificity and more consistency across RTOs and ISOs?

26 NAESB defines “demand reduction value” as the amount of a demand resource’s reduced electricity usage.

27 The four product categories are: energy service, capacity service, reserve service, and regulation service.

28 The five performance evaluation types are: maximum base load, meter before/meter after, baseline type-I, baseline type-II, and metering generator output.

29 “Governing Documents” are documents that control or affect the interaction and relationship between a system operator and other parties, for example, applicable statutes and regulations, tariffs, contracts, manuals, and other relevant procedures. The DSM-EE subcommittee made this change to remove system operator discretion and to more accurately reflect that rules are developed by markets not the system operator. See 2008 WEQ AP Item 3(a) [Recommendation to the NAESB WEQ Executive Committee at 37 (Sept. 30, 2010) (available at May 3 Report, Appendix B, Page 5, http://www.naesb.org/pdf4/ dsmee_group3_093010brecom_a1.doc), id.] at 12 (ratified Mar. 21, 2011).

30 Id. at 10.

31 Order No. 676–F, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,309 at P 32. 32 Id. P 33.

33 Id. P 34.

34 NOPR, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 32,646 at P 6.

35 See 2008 WEQ AP Item 4(a) and 4(b) Final Action at 12 (ratified Mar. 21, 2011).


37 See 2010 WEQ AP Item 4(a) and 4(b) Final Action at 12 (ratified Mar. 21, 2011).
19. The Commission appreciates the efforts of the WEQ thus far in developing these standards. The Commission also understands that various participants in the NAESB process expressed concern that the NAESB process should not duplicate efforts undertaken in the stakeholder processes of the RTOs and ISOs, which vetted their individual programs extensively.38 As a result, many of the standards defer to the existing Governing Documents of the RTOs and ISOs. The Commission seeks comment on whether further development of more substantive measurement and verification standards broadly applicable to RTOs and ISOs are required and, if so, whether a NAESB or a Commission-led, or other process should carry out the task. If commenters prefer the NAESB process, we request comment on the best relationship framework between NAESB and the RTO and ISO stakeholder processes to facilitate the formulation of standards.

B. NAESB Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards

20. The Commission proposes to incorporate by reference into our regulations the Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards.39 These business practice standards provide criteria for energy efficiency resources participating in organized wholesale electricity markets, general requirements for the structure of a measurement and verification plan, and detailed criteria for acceptable measurement and verification methodologies. The standards incorporate documentation and reporting requirements applicable to installed energy efficiency measures. The standards also consider technical requirements such as identification of energy efficiency baseline conditions, statistical significance requirements for measurement methodologies requiring statistical estimation techniques, and technical requirements for measurement equipment.

1. Description

21. The purpose of these business practice standards is to establish a standard method for quantifying the energy reductions associated with energy efficiency measures such as lighting, appliances, industrial process improvements, and building management. NAESB describes the Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards as an initial set of standards for the participation of energy efficiency products in organized wholesale electricity markets.

22. NAESB adopted its Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards under its consensus procedures. The consensus process developed by NAESB requires the organization to be fully aware of the positions of each of NAESB’s six wholesale electric segments (i.e., end users, distribution/load serving entities, transmission, generation, marketers/brokers, and independent grid operators/planners).

2. Discussion

23. The Commission preliminarily finds that the Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards provide substantive detail to assure more effective evaluation of the performance of energy efficiency products and services. The standards provide the means for demonstrating consistent and reliable evidence of reductions in electricity usage attributable to energy efficiency resources that qualify to participate in organized wholesale electricity markets. The NAESB standards are intended to provide for proper measurement and verification of energy efficiency resources so that the resources may be compensated in accordance with how well they perform, and how performance continues as equipment or systems age. The standards should also help to ensure that energy efficiency resources and other electricity resources are treated comparably.

24. The Commission appreciates the detail provided within the Wholesale Energy Efficiency M&V Standards. The standards provide four measurement and verification methodologies (Sections 021–3.6.1.1–021–3.6.1.4), as well as a mechanism by which energy efficiency resource providers may propose, and RTOs and ISOs may consider, alternative measurement and verification methodologies (Section 021–3.6.2). The Commission recognizes that the establishment of baseline performance data and monitoring of post-installation performance of energy efficiency measures is conducted by directly measuring and monitoring system loads, or extrapolating from a selection of available measurement variables. The standards contain 15 technical requirements for all measurement equipment devices used by energy efficiency resource providers (Sections 021–3.11.1–021–3.11.15).

Specifically, the 15 technical requirements provide standards for interval meters that record electricity usage data as well as for the measurement or monitoring of “proxy variables” that do not directly measure electricity consumption. The technical requirements for proxy variable measurement include detailed accuracy and precision requirements. The standards also contain five statistical requirements intended to ensure accuracy for the measurement methodologies requiring statistical estimation techniques (Sections 021–3.8.2–021–3.8.6). The Commission invites comment on the proposed standards.

III. Notice of Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards

25. Office of Management and Budget Circular A–119 (section 11) (Feb. 10, 1998) provides that federal agencies should publish a request for comment in a NOPR when the agency is seeking to issue or revise a regulation proposing to adopt a voluntary consensus standard or a government-unique standard. In this NOPR, the Commission is proposing to incorporate by reference voluntary consensus standards developed by the NAESB WEQ.

IV. Information Collection Statement

26. The collections of information contained in this proposed rule have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under section 3507(d) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507(d). The Commission solicits comments on the Commission’s need for this information, whether the information will have practical utility, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondents’ burden, including the use of automated information techniques. Respondents subject to the filing requirements of this rule will not be penalized for failing to respond to these collections of information unless the collections of information display a valid OMB control number.

27. The following burden estimate is based on the projected costs for the
industry to implement revisions to the WEQ Standards currently incorporated by reference into the Commission’s regulations at 18 CFR 38.2 and to implement the new standards adopted by NAESB that we propose here to incorporate by reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FERC Collection No.</th>
<th>Number of respondents (A)</th>
<th>Number of responses per response (B)</th>
<th>Hours per response (C)</th>
<th>Total number of hours ((A) × (B) × (C))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand Response Standards</td>
<td>FERC–516 40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency Standards</td>
<td>FERC–717 41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for FERC–516</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for FERC–717</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total One-Time Burden</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Annual Hours for Collection: (Reporting and Recordkeeping, if appropriate)) = 186 hours.**

Information Collection Costs: The Commission seeks comments on the costs to comply with these requirements. It has projected the average annualized cost for all respondents to be the following: 42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FERC–516</th>
<th>FERC–717</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand Response Standards Annualized Capital/Startup Costs</td>
<td>$1,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Response Standards Annualized Costs (Operations &amp; Maintenance)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency Standards Annualized Capital/Startup Costs</td>
<td>2,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency Standards Annualized Costs (Operations &amp; Maintenance)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Response Standards Total Annualized Costs</td>
<td>1,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency Standards Total Annualized Costs</td>
<td>2,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Standards Total Annualized Costs</td>
<td>3,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. OMB regulations require OMB to approve certain information collection requirements imposed by agency rule. The Commission is submitting notification of this proposed rule to OMB. These information collections are mandatory requirements.

**Title:** Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities (formerly Open Access Same Time Information System) (FERC–717); Electric Rate Schedule Filings (FERC–516).

**Action:** Proposed collection.

**OMB Control No.:** 1902–0096 (FERC–516); 1902–0173 (FERC–717).

**Respondents for This Rulemaking:** RTOs and ISOs.

**Frequency of Responses:** One-time implementation (business procedures, capital/start-up).

**Necessity of the Information:** This proposed rule, if implemented, will help to standardize the methods and procedures used by RTOs and ISOs to accurately measure demand response and energy efficiency resource performance, thereby improving an RTO’s or ISO’s capability to detect anti-competitive or manipulative behavior. Additionally, this proposed rule will help RTOs and ISOs to properly credit demand response and energy efficiency resources for their efforts.

29. **Internal Review:** The Commission has reviewed the business practice standards proposed in this NOPR and has made a preliminary determination that these standards are necessary to maintain consistency and help increase the effectiveness of RTO and ISO rules pertaining to measurement and verification of demand response and energy efficiency resource practices. The Commission has assured itself, by means of its internal review, that there is specific, objective support for the burden estimate associated with the information requirements.

30. Interested persons may obtain information on the reporting requirements by contacting the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of the Executive Director, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426 [Attention: Ellen Brown, email: DataClearance@ferc.gov, phone: (202) 502–8663, fax: (202) 273–0873].

31. Comments concerning the information collections proposed in this NOPR and the associated burden estimates, should be sent to the Commission in this docket and may also be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC 20503 [Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission]. For security reasons, comments should be sent by email to OMB at the following email address: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please reference FERC–xxx and the docket number of this proposed rulemaking (Docket No. RM05–5–020) in your submission.

40 “FERC–516” is the Commission’s identifier that corresponds to OMB control no. 1902–0096 which identifies the information collection associated with Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities.
41 “FERC–717” is the Commission’s identifier that corresponds to OMB control no. 1902–0173 which identifies the information collection associated with WEQ Standards currently incorporated by reference.
42 The Total Annual Cost for information collection is $10,974. This number is reached by multiplying the total hours to prepare responses (186) by an hourly wage estimate of $59 (a composite estimate of wages plus benefits that includes legal, technical and support staff rates. Based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics at http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm and http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecenc.nr0.htm). (78 hours for demand response standards + 106 hours for energy efficiency standards) × $59/hour = $10,974.
43 We note that 24 hours at $59/hour = $1,416 and 54 hours at $59/hour = $3,186.
44 We note that 36 hours at $59/hour = $2,124 and 72 hours at $59/hour = $4,248.
V. Environmental Analysis

32. The Commission is required to prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement for any action that may have a significant adverse effect on the human environment.45 The Commission has categorically excluded certain actions from these requirements as not having a significant effect on the human environment.46 The actions proposed to be taken here fall within categorical exclusions in the Commission’s regulations for rules that are corrective, clarifying, or procedural, for information gathering, analysis, and dissemination, and for sales, exchange, and transportation of electric power that requires no construction of facilities.47 Therefore, an environmental review is unnecessary and has not been prepared in this rulemaking.

VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

33. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA)48 generally requires an administrative agency to perform an analysis of rulemakings that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The RFA mandates consideration of regulatory alternatives that accomplish the stated objectives of a proposed rulemaking while minimizing any significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Small Business Administration (SBA) develops the numerical definition of a small business.49 The SBA has established a size standard for electric utilities, stating that a firm is small if, including its affiliates, it is primarily engaged in the transmission, generation, and/or distribution of electric energy for sale and its total electric output for the preceding fiscal year did not exceed four million megawatt hours.50

34. The regulations proposed here impose requirements only on RTOs and ISOs, which are not small businesses. Moreover, these requirements are designed to benefit all customers, including small businesses. Accordingly, the Commission hereby certifies, pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA,51 that the regulations proposed herein will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

VII. Comment Procedures

35. The Commission invites interested persons to submit comments on the matters and issues proposed in this notice to be adopted, including any related matters or alternative proposals that commenters may wish to discuss. Comments are due June 25, 2012. Comments must refer to Docket No. RM05–5–020, and must include the commenter’s name, the organization they represent, if applicable, and their address in their comments.

36. The Commission encourages comments to be filed electronically via the eFiling link on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.ferc.gov. The Commission accepts most standard word processing formats. Documents created electronically using word processing software should be filed in native applications or print-to-PDF format and not in a scanned format. Commenters filing electronically do not need to make a paper filing. 37. Commenters that are not able to file comments electronically must send an original of their comments to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

38. All comments will be placed in the Commission’s public files and may be viewed, printed, or downloaded remotely as described in the Document Availability section below. Commenters on this proposal are not required to serve copies of their comments on other commenters.

VIII. Document Availability

39. In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the Federal Register, the Commission provides all interested persons an opportunity to view and/or print the contents of this document via the Internet through the Commission’s Home Page (http://www.ferc.gov) and in the Commission’s Public Reference Room during normal business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Eastern time) at 888 First Street NE., Room 2A, Washington DC 20426.

40. From the Commission’s Home Page on the Internet, this information is available on eLibrary. The full text of this document is available on eLibrary in PDF and Microsoft Word format for viewing, printing, and/or downloading. To access this document in eLibrary, type the document number excluding the last three digits of this document in the document number field.

41. User assistance is available for eLibrary and the Commission’s Web site during normal business hours from the Commission’s Online Support at 202–502–6652 (toll free at 1–866–208–3676) or email at ferconline@ferc.gov, or the Public Reference Room at (202) 502–8371, TTY (202) 502–8659. Email the Public Reference Room at public.referenceroom@ferc.gov.