Gas Industry Standards Board
Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions
Date of Request: September 30, 1999

R99049

1. Submitting Entity & Address:
Williams Gas Pipeline
2800 Post Oak Blvd
P O Box 1396
Houston, TX 77251

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:
Name: Jim Keisler
Title: Sr. Staff Programmer/Analyst
Phone: 713-215-4322
Fax: 713-215-4770
E-mail: Jim.E.Keisler@wgp.twc.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
Williams Gas Pipeline (WGP) on behalf of the ANSI Compliance Team requests that the data element Remit to Party be added to the Transportation/Sales Invoice (GISB Std. 3.4.1). In the current Invoice, the Remittance Address data element is used to send both the name and the address for the Remit to Party.

This request is similar to request R98009, which asked that the Remit to Party be added to the Payment Remittance (GISB Std. 3.4.2) and the Statement of Account (GISB Std. 3.4.3). Like the Invoice, those datasets had used the Remittance Address to send both the name and the address for the Remit to Party. On January 21, 1999 the Executive Committee adopted the recommendation and it is reflected in Version 1.4.

WGP suggests that the definition and usage of the data element Remit to Party conform to the definition and usage used for this data element in the Payment Remittance and the Statement of Account datasets, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remit to Party* (Remit to Pty)</td>
<td>Party to which payment of an invoice should be sent.</td>
<td>BEDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mandatory when Remittance Address is sent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols): None

5. Description of Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:
   When this request is satisfied, the Transportation/Sales Invoice will have better definitions and clearer mapping for the remittance information. The data element names and definitions will be consistent with the definitions used in GISB’s other invoicing datasets.

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement: None

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations: None

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List trading Partners Willing to Test Standards or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts): Unknown

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is in Use, Who are the Trading Partners: Unknown

10. Attachments (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions): None