

# R98023

Gas Industry Standards Board  
Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions  
or  
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

---

**Date of Request:** June 25, 1998

**1. Submitting Entity & Address:**

Enron Gas Pipeline Group  
1400 Smith Street  
Houston, TX 77002

**2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:**

Name : Theresa Hess  
Phone: (713) 853-4895  
Fax: (713) 646-5802  
E-mail: thess@enron.com

**3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Add the following Reduction Reason code value descriptions and definitions to the Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5) and Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6):

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Pipeline Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location	A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system.
Pipeline Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location	A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system.
Pipeline Maintenance at Receipt Location	No definition necessary.
Pipeline Maintenance at Delivery Location	No definition necessary.
Pipeline Balancing at Receipt Location	Reduction due to balancing (mismatched requested quantities) across contracts at receipt location.
Pipeline Balancing at Delivery Location	Reduction due to balancing (mismatched requested quantities) across contracts at delivery location.
Elapsed-Prorated-Scheduled Quantity	An adjustment to the nominated quantity as a result of elapsed-prorated-scheduled quantity.
Other (temporary code). Message follows. Use only until code is approved for use.	No definition necessary.

**4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement** (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

The first four additional Reduction Reasons will further define the existing 'Pipeline Capacity Constraint' and 'Pipeline Maintenance' code values by identifying the location where the reduction occurred. Also, the requested code values are consistent with existing code values such as 'Confirming Party Reduction', 'Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location' and 'Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location'.

The addition of 'Pipeline Balancing at Receipt Location' and 'Pipeline Balancing at Delivery Location' allows the differentiation between mismatched quantities within a contract (existing 'Contract Balancing') and mismatched quantities across contracts at a location.

The addition of 'Elapsed-Prorated-Scheduled Quantity' allows identification of adjustments per Standard 1.3.22(iii).

**5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Provides clearly defined reasons for quantity reductions. Shippers and operators are able to identify where and why reductions of nominated quantities occurred.

**6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

None

**7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

None

**8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement:**

Utilicorp, ECT, Minnegasco, NGC, US Energy, SoCal, Tenaska, Cibola, Twister, NSP and NICOR

**9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners:**

N/A

**10. Attachments** (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):

None