

R97028

Gas Industry Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions
or
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Date of Request: November 18, 1996

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

Market Settlement Task Force

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

Name: Charles Bass
Title: Task Force Co-Chair
Phone: (713)757-5590
Fax: (713)757-2827
Email:

Name: Joyce Phillips
Title: Task Force Co-Chair
Phone: (713)627-5631
Fax: (713)627-5041
Email: jphillip@panenergy.com

Name: L. Wade Hopper
Title: Task Force Co-Chair
Phone: (713)752-7188
Fax: (713)752-4044
Email: hoppelw@texaco.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Change the Conditionality of Receipt and Delivery Location in the GISB Invoice Transaction so that they are conditional based upon Location Indicator Rather than Service Code.

Location Indicator specifies whether or not per unit charges are applied to the receipt or delivery volume. Location Indicator values include Receipt point only, Receipt point and delivery point, ..., No point or zone information.

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Given that for firm transportation, service code FT would be associated both to the transportation related charges, such as commodity, and the contract related charges such as demand and administrative fees, it is not possible to provide receipt and delivery

locations for all FT charges. Thus, it is inappropriate to make receipt and delivery location conditional based upon service code.

It was suggested in one of the Market Settlement meeting that Receipt and Delivery Indicator be conditional based upon Charge Type. Assuming that the same charge type, such as Demand, could be applied either to volumes at a specific receipt/delivery location or at the contract level, then requiring that Receipt/Delivery location be conditional based upon Charge Type doesn't work for all scenarios.

5. **Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Fixes the existing GISB standard so that it can be properly implemented.
6. **Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**
7. **Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**
8. **If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):**
9. **If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who Are the Trading Partners:**
10. **Attachments** (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):