

# R96116

## Gas Industry Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions  
or  
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Date of Request: December 6, 1996



**1. Submitting Entity & Address:**

PanEnergy Corp  
5400 Westheimer Court  
Houston TX 77056

**2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:**

Name: Kim Van Pelt  
Title: Lead Systems Analyst  
Phone: (713)827-5566  
Fax: (713)827-4066  
Email: kvanpelt@panenergy.com

Name: Joyce Phillips  
Title: System Manager  
Phone: (713)627-6631  
Fax: (713)627-5041  
Email: jphillip@panenergy.com

**3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

PanEnergy Corp pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, and Trunkline Gas Company) request that data elements be added named maximum point offer quantity, minimum point offer quantity, and point bid quantity in the UPPD data dictionaries (and other applicable capacity release data dictionaries) so that fields mapped in the ASC X12 data sets will correspond to data dictionary elements. Currently, in the ASC X12 840 Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deal, the maximum offer quantity, minimum offer quantity, and point bid quantity fields are mapped at a contract level (SDQ segment) and at a point location level (QTY segment). The notes of the QTY segment indicate a different usage of these data elements than in the SDQ segment, therefore, supporting the need for data elements to represent them.

**4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standards, and required communication protocols):**

The QTY segment notes state "the total of the quantities associated with points may or may not be equal to the corresponding quantity in the SDQ. The quantity in the SDQ above provides the total offer quantity while the quantity in this QTY provides the total quantity allowed at individual locations." The need to specify the different use of the element mappings indicates that there is a definite need for additional data elements to distinguish between the contract level and location level uses.

**5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

The major benefit is the elimination of confusion as to why these data elements are mapped twice in the same data set and it will eliminate the risk of overlaying the contract level data elements in the flat file with the point level data elements that could result in processing.

**6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

None. The data elements are already being processed.

**7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

Use of same data elements in different locations with different meanings is inconsistent with the development of the nominations, flowing gas, and invoicing standards and the spirit of standardization.

**8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):**

Not applicable.

**9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement is in Use, Who Are the Trading Partners:**

Not applicable.

**10. Attachments** (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions).

Please refer to the GISB Capacity Release Related Standards, specifically the ANSI ASC X12 840 Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deals and other applicable capacity release data sets.