North American Energy Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction
or
Enhancement of an Existing NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction

Date of Request: June 2, 2004

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P.
One Corporate Drive, Suite 600
Shelton, Connecticut 06484

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

Name : Tom Gwilliam
Title : Business Analyst
Phone : 203-944-7049
Fax : 203-925-1925
E-mail : tom_gwilliam@iroquois.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P. ("Iroquois") is requesting the addition of two new Mutually Agreeable Data Elements: "Confirmation Downstream Rank (Priority)" and "Confirmation Upstream Rank (Priority)" to the Confirmation Response dataset (1.4.4) and to NAESB WGQ Standard 1.3.60.
4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

Iroquois is in the midst of implementing an optional two part confirmation process. A meter operator will be able to choose to allow upstream/downstream parties to confirm their own quantities at a meter (at the service requester contract level). The meter operator will still have the option of confirming a total quantity for the upstream/downstream parties at the meter. If the meter operator confirms a lower quantity than the upstream/downstream parties, the proposed “Confirmation Upstream Rank” and “Confirmation Downstream Rank” fields will be used when allocating the difference in confirmed quantities across the upstream/downstream parties’ contracts. This can be potentially different than the ranks provided during nomination for use in scheduling because different parties supply the ranks in the nomination and confirmation processes. The service requester supplies the ranks in the nomination process. The upstream/downstream party supplies the ranks in the new confirmation process.

Iroquois requests the following revision to Standard 1.3.60 (the change is highlighted in **BOLD** and **Red**):

1.3.60 On the confirmation data entry screen, fields in the data groups should appear in the following order:

- **Business Entity Data Group:**
  - Confirmation Requester
  - Confirming Party
- **Contracts Data Group:**
  - Confirmation Service Contract
  - Confirmation Service Identifier Code
- **Dates Data Group:**
  - Beginning Date
  - Beginning Time
  - Ending Date
  - Ending Time
- **Location Data Group:**
  - Location
- **Transaction Specific Data Group:**
  - Contractual Flow Indicator
  - Upstream Identifier Code/Downstream Identifier Code
  - Upstream Contract Identifier/Downstream Contract Identifier
  - Service Requester
  - Service Requester Contract
  - Package ID
  - Quantity
  - Reduction Reason (Confirmation Response Only)
Receipt Rank (Priority)/ Delivery Rank (Priority)
Upstream Package ID/Downstream Package ID
**Confirmation Upstream Rank (Priority)**/
**Confirmation Downstream Rank (Priority)**
Associated Contract
Confirmation Tracking Identifier
Solicited/Unsolicited Indicator (Confirmation Response Only)
Confirmation Subsequent Cycle Indicator
Confirmation User Data 1
Confirmation User Data 2

This information will be communicated via Iroquois’ Customer Activities Website. Iroquois must be prepared to receive and process this data via EDI in the event a customer requests it.

5. **Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

   The benefits to Iroquois are to allow it to implement its Two Part Confirmation process as originally designed and to give Iroquois’ Upstream/Downstream parties the option of ranking their confirmations.

6. **Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

   Unknown.

7. **Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

   None
8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners:

   N/A

10. Attachments (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):
## DATA DICTIONARY

**NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation Downstream Rank (Priority) (Conf Dn Rank)</td>
<td>This is the rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the downstream side of the delivery location. If it is a physical location, it can be thought of as the downstream side of the flange. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2, 3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be applied on a pro rata basis.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation Upstream Rank (Priority) (Conf Up Rank)</td>
<td>This is the rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the receipt location. It can be thought of as the upstream side of the flange. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2, 3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be applied on a pro rata basis.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>