1. **Recommended Action:**
   - Accept as requested
   - Accept as modified below
   - Decline

**Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**
- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

   **Per Request:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   **Per Recommendation:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   - Principle (x.1.z)
   - Definition (x.2.z)
   - Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - Document (x.4.z)
   - Data Element (x.4.z)
   - Code Value (x.4.z)
   - X12 Implementation Guide
   - Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **SUMMARY:** * Revise the EDI/FF Usage of several data elements based on instructions from the Executive Committee on July 15 – 16, 1999.

   **DATA DICTIONARY** (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Request No.: Revisions to EII Related Data Dictionaries

Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB PNT - Non-Pathed</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “U”</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “T”</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Contract Identifier (Dn K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>nu</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mandatory when present and processed in the original nomination. For EDI and FF, this data element is not needed when the Nominator’s Tracking ID is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Contract Identifier (Up K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>nu</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mandatory when present and processed in the original nomination. For EDI and FF, this data element is not needed when the Nominator’s Tracking ID is used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity for Operator, 1.4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation Service Contract (Conf Svc K)</td>
<td>Data element used to define a confirming party's right to make the confirmation.</td>
<td>CDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(BC) C</td>
<td>Mandatory when present and processed in the confirmation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Contract Identifier (Dn K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(BC) C</td>
<td>Mandatory when present and processed in the confirmation process. For EDI and FF, this data element is not needed when the Confirmation Tracking Identifier is used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Requester:** Request No.: Revisions to EII Related Data Dictionaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upstream Contract Identifier (Up K)</th>
<th>This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.</th>
<th>TSDG</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C (BC)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mandatory when present and processed in the confirmation process. For EDI and FF, this data element is not needed when the Confirmation Tracking Identifier is used.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

#### Document Name and No.: Allocation, 2.4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational Quantity (Oper Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty Variance Quantity (Pen Var Qty)</td>
<td>Quantity in standard units subject to pipeline's scheduling penalties.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

#### Document Name and No.: Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment Value (Adj Value)</td>
<td>Monetary value of an imbalance adjustment.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Transportation Rate (Bid Trans Rate)</td>
<td>This field reflects the rate under which the shipper is requesting service.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECOMMENDATION TO GSB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Request No.: Revisions to EII Related Data Dictionaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FGDG</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>For Imbalance - based upon monetary imbalance resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ending Imbalance Value (End Imb Value)</td>
<td>The accumulated monetary imbalance value at the end of the period.</td>
<td>FGDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalance Value (Imb Value)</td>
<td>The monetary value associated with the current period imbalance.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Delivery Quantity (Oper Del Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Receipt Quantity (Oper Rec Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Delivery Quantity (Sched Del Qty)</td>
<td>The shipper's scheduled quantity of gas in standard units to be delivered at the allocation point or to the contract.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Receipt Quantity (Sched Rec Qty)</td>
<td>The shipper's scheduled quantity of gas in standard units to be received at the allocation point or to the contract.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone Identifier (Zn ID)</td>
<td>The transporter's geographic zone identification.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC SO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Allocation (2.4.3)
Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Change:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G865ALLC - Allocation (2.4.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Xref to X12 |
| Sub-detail PO3 Segment: Operational Quantity - change usage from “BC” to “SO” |
| Sub-detail PO3 Segment: Penalty Variance Quantity - change usage from “BC” to “SO” |
Transaction Set Tables |
| "PO3 Segments (Sub-detail)” table: For data element Operational Quantity - change usage from BC to SO |
| "PO3 Segments (Sub-detail)” table: For data element Penalty Variance Quantity - change usage from BC to SO |

| G811IMBL - Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4) |
Data Element Xref to X12 |
| Detail AMT: Ending Imbalance Value - change usage from BC to SO |
| Sub-detail SI: Zone Identifier - change usage from BC to SO |
| Sub-sub-detail AMT: Adjustment Value - change usage from BC to SO |
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

N/A

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee (July 15 – 16, 1999)

Ms. Hess presented a set of data sets supporting the EII standards, and she described the new format used. She reviewed the changes made to the data sets to support the EII standards. The motion was made to adopt the modifications to GISB Standard Nos. 1.4.1, 1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.4, 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 1.4.7, 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.4.4, 2.4.5, 2.4.6, 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3, and 3.4.4 as presented in the meeting materials.

In GISB Standard Nos. 2.4.3 and 2.4.4, Mr. Lander noted that for several of the data elements, there is a discrepancy in usage depending on the media used. For GISB Standard No. 2.4.3, he highlighted "Operational Quantity", "Penalty Variance Quantity", "Service Requester Contract", and "Service Requester ID", where the usages are Sender's Option (SO) for EBB and Business Conditional (BC) for EDI and Flat Files (EDM/EDI and EDM/FF). He asked that the motion be changed to alter the usage of BC to SO for EDM/EDI and EDM/FF for those data elements for GISB Standard No. 2.4.3. Similarly, for "Adjustment Value", "Ending Imbalance Value", "Imbalance Value", "Operational Delivery Quantity", "Operational Receipt Quantity", "Scheduled Delivery Quantity", "Scheduled Receipt Quantity", and "Zone Identifier" data elements in GISB Standard No. 2.4.4, he asked that the usage be altered from BC to SO for EDM/EDI and EDM/FF.

Ms. Hess noted that the Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR) was not given the charge to alter the usage for the EDM/EDI. While no one disagreed with the need to make the changes, Ms. Van Pelt noted that
these changes could be processed through the Technical Subcommittee in time for version 1.4 publication, or they could be shown as final actions which would be incorporated in version 1.5.

The action was taken on the motion without the changes discussed, and it passed unanimously. The motion was made for IR and Technical Subcommittee to review the data sets sent by Transportation Service Providers in nominations (excluding GISB Standard Nos. 1.4.3 and 1.4.4), flowing gas and invoicing areas for the data elements with BC usage for conforming changes to the EBB usage recognizing that there will be shortened periods for comment, notational EC vote and shortened ratification period to allow the changes to be published in version 1.4. The procedural motion passed unanimously.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

The Nomination and Flowing Gas related data dictionaries were reviewed and revisions were made per instructions from the Executive Committee.

MOTION:
Adopt the revisions to the Nomination and Flowing Gas related data dictionaries (see attached).
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Sense of the Room:  
July 26, 1999  
10 In Favor  
0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:  
 End-Users  
 LDCs  
 Pipelines  
 Producers  
 Services
Opposed:  
 End-Users  
 LDCs  
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 Producers  
 Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room:  
July 27, 1999  
6 In Favor  
0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:  
 End-Users  
 LDCs  
 Pipelines  
 Producers  
 Services
Opposed:  
 End-Users  
 LDCs  
 Pipelines  
 Producers  
 Services

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):