TO: GISB Members, Posting on the GISB Home Page for Interested Industry Participants
FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director
RE: Request For Comments
DATE: July 30, 1999

The GISB Executive Committee (EC) approved shortened industry comment period begins today and ends on August 12 for a set of recommended modifications to the data sets for conforming changes for the data element usages. Below is an excerpt of the Executive committee minutes where the vote was taken to provide for an abbreviated comment period, notational vote, and abbreviated ratification period. These steps were necessary such that the changes to the data sets could be implemented in version 1.4, (should they pass at the EC and ultimately be ratified by the membership).

"The action was taken on the motion without the changes discussed, and it passed unanimously. The motion was made for IR and Technical Subcommittee to review the data sets sent by Transportation Service Providers in nominations (excluding GISB Standard Nos. 1.4.3 and 1.4.4), flowing gas and invoicing areas for the data elements with BC usage for conforming changes to the EBB usage recognizing that there will be shortened periods for comment, notational EC vote and shortened ratification period to allow the changes to be published in version 1.4. The procedural motion passed unanimously."

The recommendation can be accessed from the GISB Web site, but is also attached to this request for comment1.

All comments received by the GISB office by end of business August 12 will be posted on the Home Page and forwarded to the EC members for their consideration. The EC members will consider all comments and cast their votes notationally by August 18. On August 19, the EC approved modifications will be sent out for ratification. The ratification period will also be shortened to conclude on August 30. If you have difficulty retrieving these documents, please call the GISB office at (713) 356-0060.

Best Regards,

Rae McQuade

c: Dennis Holbrook

1 All recommendations other than clarifications can be found on the "Request For Standards" page (http://www.gisb.org/req.htm) which is accessible from the GISB main page. Clarifications (Cxxxxx) can be found on the "Clarification Requests" page (http://www.gisb.org/clar.htm).
1. **Recommended Action:**

   - Accept as requested
   - Accept as modified below
   - Decline

   **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**
   - Change to Existing Practice
   - Status Quo

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

   **Per Request:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   **Per Recommendation:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   - Principle (x.1.z)
   - Definition (x.2.z)
   - Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - Document (x.4.z)
   - Data Element (x.4.z)
   - Code Value (x.4.z)
   - X12 Implementation Guide
   - Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **SUMMARY:**  
   * Revise the EDI/FF Usage of several data elements based on instructions from the Executive Committee on July 15 – 16, 1999.

   **DATA DICTIONARY** (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)
## RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Requester:** Request No.: Revisions to EII Related Data Dictionaries

### Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Non-Pathed</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “T”</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “U”</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Contract Identifier (Dn K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>nu</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>BC (C) C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Contract Identifier (Up K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>nu</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>BC (C) C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

### Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity for Operator, 1.4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation Service Contract (Conf Svc K)</td>
<td>Data element used to define a confirming party's right to make the confirmation.</td>
<td>CDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C (BC) C</td>
<td>C (BC) C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Contract Identifier (Dn K)</td>
<td>This field identifies the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C (BC) C</td>
<td>C (BC) C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Upstream Contract Identifier (Up K) | This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester. | TSDG | C | C | Mandatory when present and processed in the confirmation process. For EDI and FF, this data element is not needed when the Confirmation Tracking Identifier is used. |

* Indicates Common Code

**Document Name and No.:** Allocation, 2.4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational Quantity (Oper Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC, SO</td>
<td>For Allocation - based upon whether penalties are assessed on the point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty Variance Quantity (Pen Var Qty)</td>
<td>Quantity in standard units subject to pipeline's scheduling penalties.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC, SO</td>
<td>For Allocation - based upon business practices when scheduling penalties apply.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

**Document Name and No.:** Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Usage</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment Value (Adj Value)</td>
<td>Monetary value of an imbalance adjustment.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC, SO</td>
<td>For Imbalance - based upon monetary imbalance resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Transportation Rate (Bid Trans Rate)</td>
<td>This field reflects the rate under which the shipper is requesting service.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC, SO</td>
<td>For Imbalance - required by transportation service providers that offer services where shippers are allowed to nominate a different rate and then receive a different priority in the scheduling of this capacity. The capacity is 're-tendered' daily under blanket contracts and several prices may be nominated under the same contract over an identical time period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Requester:**  
Request No.: Revisions to EII Related Data Dictionaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Requester/Code</th>
<th>BC/ SO</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ending Imbalance Value (End Imb Value)</td>
<td>The accumulated monetary imbalance value at the end of the period.</td>
<td>FGDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon monetary imbalance resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalance Value (Imb Value)</td>
<td>The monetary value associated with the current period imbalance.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon monetary imbalance resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Delivery Quantity (Oper Del Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon whether penalties are assessed on the point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Receipt Quantity (Oper Rec Qty)</td>
<td>Allocated quantity in standard units upon which penalties may be based.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon whether penalties are assessed on the point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Delivery Quantity (Sched Del Qty)</td>
<td>The shipper's scheduled quantity of gas in standard units to be delivered at the allocation point or to the contract.</td>
<td>DelDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon whether penalties are assessed on the contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Receipt Quantity (Sched Rec Qty)</td>
<td>The shipper's scheduled quantity of gas in standard units to be received at the allocation point or to the contract.</td>
<td>RecDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based upon whether penalties are assessed on the contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone Identifier (Zn ID)</td>
<td>The transporter's geographic zone identification.</td>
<td>TSDG</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>BC/ SO For Imbalance - based on imbalance resolution allowed minimization at a zone level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

### TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:**  
Allocation (2.4.3)  
Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)

**Description of Change:**

**G865ALLC - Allocation (2.4.3)**

**Data Element Xref to X12**

- Sub-detail PO3 Segment: Operational Quantity - change usage from “BC” to “SO”
- Sub-detail PO3 Segment: Penalty Variance Quantity - change usage from “BC” to “SO”

**Transaction Set Tables**

- "PO3 Segments (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Operational Quantity - change usage from BC to SO
- "PO3 Segments (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Penalty Variance Quantity - change usage from BC to SO

**G811IMBL - Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)**

**Data Element Xref to X12**

- Detail AMT: Ending Imbalance Value - change usage from BC to SO
- Sub-detail SI: Zone Identifier - change usage from BC to SO
- Sub-sub-detail AMT: Adjustment Value - change usage from BC to SO
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

N/A

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee  (July 15 – 16, 1999)

Ms. Hess presented a set of data sets supporting the EII standards, and she described the new format used. She reviewed the changes made to the data sets to support the EII standards. The motion was made to adopt the modifications to GISB Standard Nos. 1.4.1, 1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.4, 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 1.4.7, 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.4.4, 2.4.5, 2.4.6, 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3, and 3.4.4 as presented in the meeting materials.

In GISB Standard Nos. 2.4.3 and 2.4.4, Mr. Lander noted that for several of the data elements, there is a discrepancy in usage depending on the media used. For GISB Standard No. 2.4.3, he highlighted "Operational Quantity", "Penalty Variance Quantity", "Service Requester Contract", and "Service Requester ID", where the usages are Sender's Option (SO) for EBB and Business Conditional (BC) for EDI and Flat Files (EDM/EDI and EDM/FF). He asked that the motion be changed to alter the usage of BC to SO for EDM/EDI and EDM/FF for those data elements for GISB Standard No. 2.4.3. Similarly, for "Adjustment Value", "Ending Imbalance Value", "Imbalance Value", "Operational Delivery Quantity", "Operational Receipt Quantity", "Scheduled Delivery Quantity", "Scheduled Receipt Quantity", and "Zone Identifier" data elements in GISB Standard No. 2.4.4, he asked that the usage be altered from BC to SO for EDM/EDI and EDM/FF.

Ms. Hess noted that the Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR) was not given the charge to alter the usage for the EDM/EDI. While no one disagreed with the need to make the changes, Ms. Van Pelt noted that
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these changes could be processed through the Technical Subcommittee in time for version 1.4 publication, or they could be shown as final actions which would be incorporated in version 1.5.

The action was taken on the motion without the changes discussed, and it passed unanimously. The motion was made for IR and Technical Subcommittee to review the data sets sent by Transportation Service Providers in nominations (excluding GISB Standard Nos. 1.4.3 and 1.4.4), flowing gas and invoicing areas for the data elements with BC usage for conforming changes to the EBB usage recognizing that there will be shortened periods for comment, notational EC vote and shortened ratification period to allow the changes to be published in version 1.4. The procedural motion passed unanimously.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

The Nomination and Flowing Gas related data dictionaries were reviewed and revisions were made per instructions from the Executive Committee.

MOTION:
Adopt the revisions to the Nomination and Flowing Gas related data dictionaries (see attached).

Sense of the Room: July 26, 1999

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: _____ End-Users _____ LDCs _____ Pipelines _____ Producers _____ Services
Opposed: _____ End-Users _____ LDCs _____ Pipelines _____ Producers _____ Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: July 27, 1999

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: _____ End-Users _____ LDCs _____ Pipelines _____ Producers _____ Services
Opposed: _____ End-Users _____ LDCs _____ Pipelines _____ Producers _____ Services

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):