TO: GISB Members, Posting on the GISB Home Page for Interested Industry Participants

FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director

RE: Request For Comments

DATE: May 10, 2001

An industry comment period begins today and ends on May 25 for the recommendations listed below. The Executive Committee will meet via conference call on May 29 to review these recommendations and consider them for vote as GISB standards or revisions to standards. The recommendations can be accessed from the GISB Web site, but is also attached to this request for comment\(^1\). All comments received by the GISB office by end of May 25 will be posted on the Home Page and forwarded to the EC members for their consideration. The EC members will consider all comments and are scheduled to cast their votes on these recommendations on May 29 during their conference call. If you have difficulty retrieving this document, please call the GISB office at (713) 356-0060.

Best Regards,

Rae McQuade

cc: Jay Costan

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order 637 BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)</td>
<td>Modify GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 to support FERC Order No. 637.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) All recommendations other than clarifications/interpretations can be found on the "Request For Standards" page (http://www.gisb.org/req.htm), which is accessible from the GISB main page. Clarifications/Interpretations (Cxxxxx) can be found on the "Clarification Requests" page (http://www.gisb.org/clar.htm).
1. Recommended Action:  
   ___Accept as requested  
   ___Accept as modified below  
   ___Decline  

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:  
   ___Change to Existing Practice  
   ___Status Quo  

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE  

Per Request:  
   ___Initiation  
   ___Modification  
   ___Interpretation  
   ___Withdrawal  

Per Recommendation:  
   ___Initiation  
   ___X Modification  
   ___Interpretation  
   ___Withdrawal  

   ___Principle (x.1.z)  
   ___Definition (x.2.z)  
   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
   ___Document (x.4.z)  
   ___Data Element (x.4.z)  
   ___Code Value (x.4.z)  
   ___X12 Implementation Guide  
   ___Business Process Documentation  
   ___X12 Implementation Guide  

3. RECOMMENDATION  

SUMMARY: Modify GISB Standard Nos 5.3.31, 5.3.32  

STANDARDS LANGUAGE:  

Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.31:  
Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of biddable capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than 15 minutes prior to the respective deadline specified in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day. Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by 12:00 PM CCT (the normal posted-by deadline and start of bidding time specified for the received Business Day in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2) on that Business Day or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).  

Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.32:  
Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving control of the bidder no later than the respective deadline as specified in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2.
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No. 5.3.2 1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day and is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than fifteen minutes after such deadline by 1:15 P.M. Such timely bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service Provider for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which the bid was made.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Review timelines for modifications including accommodation of intraday or partial day capacity releases (Affects GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 and related interpretations).

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee Meeting, December 14, 2000
Order 637 Priority Action Items 1 and 2 Revised by the Executive Committee on November 16, 2000 for GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.6 and 5.3.7

The recommendation is to modify GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.2, 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.31, 5.3.32, 5.3.35, 5.3.36, 5.3.41, and to propose new GISB Standard Nos. 5.2.z1, 5.3.z1, 5.3.z2, 5.3.z3.

Motion 1: The motion was made by Mr. Novak to adopt the proposed standards and revisions, which was seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit.

Discussion: Mr. Keeler expressed concerns with the proposed standard no. 5.3.6 – specifically in the evening nomination cycle the absence of restrictions on the recall if gas is already scheduled using the subject capacity. The changes proposed by Mr. Keeler are noted in italic underlined text. The other changes proposed in the recommendation were accepted by the motion makers.

Change: Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.6, made by Mr. Keeler and including comments from Mr. Payne’s Enron North America comments:

5.3.6 Recall terms should be agreed to in advance between the releasing and acquiring shippers.

- Where the releasing shipper wishes to recall capacity to be effective for a gas day, releases with an award posting date prior to [month day, year] are grand-fathered and the recall notice should be provided to the transportation service provider (TSP) and the acquiring shipper no later than 8:00 A.M. Central Clock Time on the nomination day.

For releases with an award posting date on or after [month day, year]:

- Timely recall notice should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 9:30 A.M. and 9:45 A.M., respectively, Central Clock Time on the nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place a timely nomination for the next day’s gas flow.

- Evening recall notice should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 4:00 p.m. and 1:15 p.m., 3:00 p.m. and 3:15 p.m., respectively, Central Clock Time on the nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an evening nomination for the next day’s gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any scheduled gas.

- Flowing Day Recall notice effective for the Intraday 1 nomination cycle should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 7:45 a.m. and 8:00 a.m., respectively, Central Clock Time on nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an Intraday 1 nomination.
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nomination for the current day’s gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any flowing gas. Releasing and acquiring shippers may agree in advance that flowing day recalls will not be permitted.

- Flowing Day Recall notice effective for the Intraday 2 nomination cycle should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 2:45 P.M. and 3:00 P.M., respectively, Central Clock Time on nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an Intraday 2 nomination for the current day’s gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any flowing gas. Releasing and acquiring shippers may agree in advance that flowing day recalls will not be permitted.

For recallable releases, the acquiring shipper should provide a recall contact to the TSP.

Vote (M1): The motion to adopt the original November 17 recommendation failed.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion, seconded by Ms. McVicker to adopt the November 17 recommendation with changes proposed by Mr. Keeler and Mr. Payne to proposed standard no. 5.3.6, (changes above, noted in underlined and strikethrough text), and changes recommended by Ms. Chezar to proposed standard no. 5.3.z3:

5.3.z3 Where a Flowing Day Recall has been issued and made effective, applicable usage and fuel charges, including commodity charges, should be billed to the parties that utilize the capacity.

Discussion: Mr. Scheel noted that the proposed timely recall notice at 9:30 a.m. does not allow the acquiring shipper any time to find replacement capacity and still meet the 9:30 a.m. posting requirement for the timely cycle non-biddable releases as set out in revised standard 5.3.2. He pointed out similar problems for the other recall notice times. Vote (M2): Motion failed.

Discussion: It was discussed that the entire recommendation was at risk of failing, based on the votes taken so far in the meeting. It was then agreed to review those proposed standards that might pass, and consider them on an individual basis.

Motion: Mr. Scheel made the motion to adopt the proposed standard no. 5.3.2 (as presented in the November 17 recommendation), seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit with modifications proposed by Ms. Van Pelt and Ms. Davis:

5.3.2 For biddable releases (less than 1 year):
- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on a Business Day (evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken);
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
- award posting by 3:00 P.M.; contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

For biddable releases (1 year or more):
- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. four Business Days before award;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on the Business Day before timely nominations are due (open season is three Business Days);
- evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken;
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
- award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

For non-biddable releases:

Timely Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 9:30 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 10:30 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Evening Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 4:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 5:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Intraday 1 Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 8:00 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 9:00 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Intraday 2 Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 3:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

and the following instructions (which are not part of the proposed standard:

Modify proposed standard no. 5.3.2 as shown above, adopt it and transfer it to the Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the E.C. at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the 5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

Vote (M3): Failed.
Motion: Ms. Kaestner made the following motion to amend proposed standard no. 5.3.7, seconded by Paul Keeler:

5.3.7 There should be no partial day recalls of capacity. Transportation service providers should support the function of retracing by releasing shippers.

Discussion: It was noted that Ms. Kaestner’s motion did not include any proposed changes to GISB Standard No. 5.3.6 as it currently reads in version 1.4.

Mr. Novak noted that he would agree with this as long as there is a letter to the FERC explaining that the EC has reached a point where there is a disagreement on treatment of partial day recalls.

Vote (M4): The motion failed.
Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Scheel:

(1) Modify 5.3.2 as most recently voted upon and transfer 5.3.2 to Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional
modifications should be brought back to the E.C. at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the
5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

(2) Provide a status report to the FERC that no consensus progress can be made on the following proposed
standards and/or modifications to standards: 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.3 and 5.2.1. Some parties believe that the
basis for these modifications lies within FERC Order No. 637 while others believe that no such basis exists.
Others, irrespective of the FERC Order No. 637 issue, believe that flowing day recall is a valid business
process, (e.g., some parties support the business process but disagree with the proposed recall timelines,
while others believe that certain aspects of the business process may be operationally disruptive). No
further progress can be made on this issue at this point and time.

(3) The report would include relevant minutes and written comments.

Vote (M5): The motion failed.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit:

Provide a status report to the FERC that no consensus progress can be made on the following
proposed standards and/or modifications to standards: 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.3 and 5.2.1. Some parties
believe that the basis for these modifications lies within FERC Order No. 637 while others believe
that no such basis exists. Others, irrespective of the FERC Order No. 637 issue, believe that
flowing day recall is a valid business process, (e.g., some parties support the business process but
disagree with the proposed recall timelines, while others believe that certain aspects of the
business process may be operationally disruptive). No further progress can be made on this issue
at this point and time.

The report would include relevant minutes and written comments.

Vote (M6): The procedural motion passed with 15 in favor, 4 opposed and 1 abstaining.

Motion: Mr. Scheel made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Novak:

Modify 5.3.2 as most recently voted upon and transfer 5.3.2 to Interpretations Subcommittee and
Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and
interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the EC at its February 2001
meeting and approved prior to the 5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

[Note for clarity in the minutes: following is the ‘5.3.2 as most recently voted upon’ in this E.C.
meeting]

5.3.2 For biddable releases (less than 1 year):
- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on a Business Day (evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M.
during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken);
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
- award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
  - contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination
    possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the
    contract. (Central Clock Time)

For biddable releases (1 year or more):
- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. four Business Days before award;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on the Business Day before timely nominations are due
  (open season is three Business Days);
- evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best
  bid is made, and ties are broken;
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
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- award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

For non-biddable releases:

Timely Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 9:30 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 10:30 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Evening Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 4:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 5:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Intraday 1 Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 8:00 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 9:00 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Intraday 2 Cycle
- posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 3:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.

Vote (M7): The motion passes.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion to adopt proposed standard no. 5.3.35, which was seconded by Mr. Ishikawa:

5.3.35 Unless the affected party and the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) have agreed to exclusive notification via EDI/EDM, the affected party should provide the TSP with at least one Internet E-mail address to be used for Electronic Notice Delivery of intraday bumps, operational flow orders, recall notices and other critical notices. The obligation of the TSP to provide notification is waived until the above requirement has been met.

Vote (M8): The motion fails.

Motion: Mr. Spangler made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Scheel, regarding adoption of proposed standard no. 5.3.31:

5.3.31 Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of biddable capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day. Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by 12:00 PM CCT (the normal posted-by deadline and start of bidding time) on that Business Day or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).

5.3.32 Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its
authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving
control of the bidder no later than 1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day and is received
by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site by 1:15 P.M. Such timely
bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service Provider
for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which
the bid was made.

Vote (M9): The motion passes.
Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion to adopt proposed standard no. 5.3.36, seconded by Mr.
Maturo:
5.3.36 Transportation Service Providers (TSP) should support the concurrent sending of
electronic notification of intraday bumps, operational flow orders, recall notices and other
critical notices to two Internet E-mail addresses for each affected party. If the TSP elects
to delegate the notice requirement to the original recalling shipper, that shipper, upon
provision of applicable contact information, if available, from the TSP, should issue the
recall notice to all affected contracting parties in the release chain.

Action: After discussion, the motion was withdrawn.
Action: For recently passed GISB Standard No. 5.3.31 modifications, the point is to address the timeline for
biddable offers, and not to exclude an upload of non-biddable offers. The language for GISB
Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 should be forwarded to BPS and Interpretations Subcommittee for
scrubbing.
Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion, seconded by Mr. Love, to send 5.3.36, 5.3.35 and 5.3.xx back to BPS
with a high priority for consideration after which it would be sent out for industry comment.
5.3.xx To effect the provision of a notice of recall (and subsequent reput) of released capacity,
releasing shippers and acquiring shippers should provide each other with the email
address(es) of their respective representatives responsible for sending and receiving
Recall and Reput notices. Acquiring shippers who re-release capacity should also provide
the respective email addresses of their acquiring shippers to their original releasing
shipper.

Vote (M10): The procedural motion passed unanimously.
Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion seconded by Ms. McVicker, to adopt the proposed changes to
standard no. 5.3.41, and new standards 5.3.z1 and 5.3.z2, after which they would be transferred to
the Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of
existing standards and interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the
EC at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the standards being fully staffed and / or
member ratified.

5.3.41 The display of capacity release data for an Offer should be selected from a summary list of
Offers. The summary list should be comprised of the following:
Offer Number
Release Term Start Date
Release Term End Date
Recall Cycle Indicator
Location Information as applicable, and/or navigation to detail
Maximum Offer Quantity – Contract
Biddable Deal Indicator
other data elements, if applicable

5.3.z1 A release which takes effect during a gas day shall not be available for recall until the day
following the effective date of the release.

5.3.z2 Recall notices should contain at least the affected Service Requester Contract(s) and the
effective date/cycle.
Business Practices Subcommittee, January 18, 2001

The motion for vote (ML) in the EC Minutes of 12/14/2001 directs the Interpretations Subcommittee and BPS to review and update the standards and interpretations for how they are impacted by items voted on by the EC at that meeting. BPS is specifically to review:

5.3.31 Discussion focused on the interaction between the word “biddable” in the EC passed (M9) of 12/14/2001 and the implementation of the pre-arranged deal uploads – whether or not biddable—in the existing standards. Standard 5.3.2 and standard 5.3.10 were also discussed. As discussion continued, it was observed that it might even be possible to eliminate both standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 and add a reference to standard 5.3.33 which points back to standard 5.3.2 with respect to the deadlines and the references within standard 5.3.2 concerning Business Day. One suggestion had been to break 5.3.31 into two parts to parallel the construction of standard 5.3.2. Another suggestion was to leave standard 5.3.31 as modified by the EC in December and to add another standard dealing with the non-biddable deal uploads. Earlier it had been observed that the fact a time is referenced at all in standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 runs the group afoul of the determination not to deal specifically with the timeline in standard 5.3.2 or with the logic initially offered to move the times in standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 back by one hour. It had been stated that the reason the times had been shifted was to provide the “one hour for the contract activity” and still be able to nominate at 5:00 PM of the offer/bid/award day for flow at the 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM cycle of that gas day (Intraday 2). The participants wanted to think through whether they felt that standard 5.3.33 with a reference to standard 5.3.2 (the deadline) would be sufficient and be a better way to address the issues associated with uploads being received in advance of deadlines for the processing of the transactions they are associated with (or initiate). There was agreement to come back with their thoughts at the next meeting.

5.3.32 See above discussion.

5.3.33 See above discussion.

5.3.35 While the existing standards were not reviewed with respect to the EC’s actions, the proposed modified standard 5.3.35, standard 5.3.36, and standard 5.3.xx were reviewed. One issue identified in the discussions was that the requirement of notification to shippers that their capacity was being/had been recalled should be between the contracting parties. As articulated, this meant that the notice would be between the recalling shipper and the acquiring shipper as well as between the recalling shipper and the TSP. There was no voiced opposition to this and it was pointed out that currently there is no requirement for the TSP to provide notice of recalls. Where the controversy arises, is whether the TSP should be the one to notify parties which were the subsequent acquiring shippers, and what might happen if they did not. One opinion was that the party initiating the recall caused the capacity which was subsequently re-released to “evaporate” and that the party who had their capacity recalled was not themselves in turn recalling capacity from their acquiring shipper. This was not agreed to by another who stated that they could see how the second acquiring shipper could look to the GISB standards and state that they did not have sufficient notice. This caused the discussion to dwell on who had contract rights with whom and what the different implications for any proposed process would be. It was also stated that the process outlined in proposed standard 5.3.xx could have the effect of a party notifying other, non-contracting parties, as to the status/value of their contract rights with another non-contracting party and that this was problematic. One formulation was for the participants to take back to their respective offices the discussion as to the implications of notifying and of not notifying all parties with whom you have/had a contractual relationship with respect to the effect on that relationship...
of a particular recall, when that recall impacts(ed) on that relationship(s) and the capacity associated with that relationship.

5.3.36 See above discussion

5.3.xx (recall notices) - See above discussion

Discussion of What to do about the Interpretations:

Mr. Lander proposed that Order 637 Proposed Standards Interpretation questions should be sent to Interpretations Subcommittee. Ms. Hess noted that the EC did forward the review and update of interpretation to the Interpretations Subcommittee. Others agreed. Based on this, BPS will not review the approved standards for potential impact on existing interpretations.

5.3.41

The display of capacity release data for an Offer should be selected from a summary list of Offers. The summary list should be comprised of the following:
Offer Number
Release Term Start Date
Release Term End Date
Recall Cycle Indicator
Location Information as applicable, and/or navigation to detail
Maximum Offer Quantity – Contract
Biddable Deal Indicator
other data elements, if applicable

Discussion:
Recall Cycle Indicator may be a new data element. No other standards appear to be impacted. IR will deal with dataset issues.

5.3.z1 (recall notices)

5.3.z1 A release which takes effect during a gas day shall not be available for recall until the day following the effective date of the release.

Discussion:
No other standards appear to be impacted. IR will deal with dataset issues, if any.

5.3.z2 (recall notices)

5.3.z2 Recall notices should contain at least the affected Service Requester Contract(s) and the effective date/cycle.

Discussion:
Until a final disposition is made on standard 5.3.36 – see above discussion, no further action is required.

5.3.2 – Based on the above statement from the BPS chairs, no action will be taken on standard 5.3.2 at this time.

Business Practices Subcommittee, February 1, 2001

Based on the discussion at the Executive Committee, it was determined that there were issues with the modifications that were made to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32. These standards were discussed at the January 18, 2001 BPS meeting (see final minutes). Based on the new capacity release timeline (GISB
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Standard No. 5.3.2). adopted in December by the EC, GISB Standards Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 are being reviewed to determine if modifications are needed.

Motion:
Mr. Aschbrenner made the following motion, seconded by Ms. Hess:

Modify 5.3.31 as follows:
Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of biddable capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than 15 minutes prior to the respective deadline specified in GISP Standard No. 5.3.2 by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day. Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by 12:00 PM CCT (the normal posted-by deadline and start of bidding time specified for the received Business Day) in GISP Standard No. 5.3.2 on that Business Day or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).

Discussion:
Ms. Bragg stated that she believed Mr. Novak’s concerns regarding the 15 minute interval and the exclusion of non-biddable capacity release offers have been addressed by this motion.

Ms. Lewis asked if 5.3.31 is a subset of 5.3.33 and said that it appears that 5.3.31 conflicts with 5.3.33 and is restrictive. Ms. Davis stated that there shouldn’t be any conflict by modifying 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 and leaving 5.3.33 unchanged. She also stated that GISP Standard No. 5.3.33 addresses processing and validation issues and that there is no conflict with the modifications suggested with 5.3.31. Ms. Lewis stated that her real problem with 5.3.31 is that it contains language that is redundant of language in 5.3.33. Ms. Hess stated that some of the language in 5.3.31 is included in 5.3.33 and that there is some redundancy but no conflict. Ms. Lewis stated that you cannot read 5.3.31 by itself without considering 5.3.33 and that is a problem. After quite a bit of discussion, it was determined that we are here to make modifications to the times, where needed, and how they inter-relate with GISP Standard No. 5.3.2. Ms. Lewis stated that they may conduct a further review of the relationship between 5.3.31, 5.3.32, 5.3.33 and file a new request.

Motion passes with no opposition (vote 1 on the attendee list)

Sense of the Room: February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: 1 End-Users 2 LDCs 9 Pipelines 8 Producers 5 Services
Opposed: 2 End-Users 2 LDCs 3 Pipelines 2 Producers Services

Motion:
Mr. Aschbrenner made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Love:

Modify 5.3.32 as follows:
Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving control of the bidder no later than the respective deadline as specified in GISP Standard No. 5.3.2 1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day and is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than fifteen minutes after such deadline, by 1:15 P.M. Such timely bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service
Provider for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which the bid was made.

Discussion:
There was no discussion.

Motion passes with no opposition (vote 2 on the attendee list)

- **Sense of the Room:** February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed
- **Segment Check** (if applicable):
  - **In Favor:** 1 End-Users 5 LDCs 9 Pipelines 3 Producers 1 Services
  - **Opposed:** 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 1 Producers 0 Services

The discussion then turned to the inter-relation between GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.35, 5.3.36, and 5.3.xx

Discussion:
Ms. Arnaout referred meeting attendees to Mr. Novak’s posted workpaper. She stated that the LDCs don’t have the ability to notify the replacement shipper because they do not have the information and that the Transportation Service Provider should do this because they have the notification contact information. See also the posted workpaper (titled 1/31/2001 Comments of National Fuel Gas Distribution).

Mr. Love felt like we should not try to address part of the standards dealing with recalls until we address all of the standards relating to recalls. Proposed standards relating to recall were not passed and will be sent to the FERC. Mr. Love stated that we shouldn’t make any of these changes at this time. Mr. Love stated that releasing is not like Title Transfer Tracking in that Informational Postings requires to whom capacity was released and from whom. Ms. Hess stated that the awards are posted as well. Mr. Aschbrenner agreed and felt that we should not address the notices standards with regard to recalls out of sequence.

Motion:
Mr. Love made the following motion, seconded by Clancy Aschbrenner:

Table the discussion relating to recall notification pending a response from FERC regarding recalls, pursuant to the GISB status report as provided for during the 12/14/2000 Executive Committee meeting.

Procedural motion passes with no opposition (see vote 3 on attendee list)

- **Sense of the Room:** February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed

c. **Business Purpose:**

   d. **Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**