C96036

Subj: Request for Clarification Date: 96-12-20 15:00:11 EST

From: mrhansen@juno.com (Michael R Hansen)

To: gisb@aol.com

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OR INTERPRETATION

Date:

12/19/96

Requester Name: Michael R. Hansen

Company: Columbia Gulf Transmission Company

Phone, Fax, e-mail: phone (713) 267-4221; fax (713) 267-4233

GISB Standard #: 1.4.3. (Request for Confirmation) and 1.4.4. (Confirmation Response)

Clarification or interpretation request:

Current confirmation practice is to confirm all nominations for the 1st and then only confirm the nominations that change throughout the month. Should Service Providers always include all nominations in the data sets or only nominations that change? Should Confirmations be deemed as "standing" (confirmed yesterday means confirmed for today unless a change is communicated)?

Possible interpretations or clarifications, if known:

It is only important to establish the expected practice so there is consistent implementation.

Option 1: Detailed Confirmations for the 1st - all nominations at a location are contained in the data sets. For all other dates, the data sets would only deal with nominations that change. Benefit: minimizes the number of data sets and records communicated.

Option 2: Detailed Confirmations for all days -- all nominations at a location are contained in the data sets. Benefit: all nominations are always known, less chance of losing or misinterpreting information.

conf_3.doc

 Headers ----From mrhansen@juno.com Fri Dec 20 14:59:48 1996

Return-Path: mrhansen@juno.com

Received: from x6.boston.juno.com (x6.boston.juno.com [205.231.101.23]) by emin10.mail.aol.com (8.6.12/8.6.12) with

ESMTP id OAA09804 for <gisb@aol.com>; Fri, 20 Dec 1996 14:59:47 -0500 Received: (from mrhansen@juno.com) by x6.boston.juno.com (queuemail)

id OyP09204; Fri, 20 Dec 1996 14:58:32 EST

To: gisb@aol.com

Subject: Request for Clarification

Message-ID: <19961220.135741.9318.10.mrhansen@juno.com>

X-Mailer: Juno 1.15