## C96034

Subj: Request for Clarification Date: 96-12-20 15:00:06 EST

From: mrhansen@juno.com (Michael R Hansen)

To: gisb@aol.com

## REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OR INTERPRETATION

Date: 12/19/96

Requester Name: Michael R. Hansen

Company: Columbia Gulf Transmission Company

Phone, Fax, e-mail: phone (713) 267-4221; fax (713) 267-4233

GISB Standard #: 1.4.3. (Request for Confirmation) and 1.4.4. (Confirmation Response) and 1.4.5. (Scheduled Quantities) and 1.3.7.

Clarification or interpretation request:

What is the expected values in the Ending Date/Time data elements in the Request for Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantities data sets? According to standard 1.3.??, when nominations are submitted for a date range, each date within the range is considered as an original nomination. For the Confirmation and Scheduled Quantities data sets, should the Ending Date/Time be for a single gas day or should the Ending Date/Time be the Ending Date/Time in the Nomination data set?

Possible interpretations or clarifications, if known:

Consistent with standard 1.3.77, the Ending Date/Time for the Request for Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantities data sets should reflect a single gas day and not be tied to the Ending Date/Time as provided in the Nomination data set.

conf 2.doc

From mrhansen@juno.com Fri Dec 20 14;59;43 1996

Return-Path: mrhansen@juno.com

Received: from x6.boston.juno.com (x6.boston.juno.com [205.231.101.23]) by emin10.mail.aol.com (8.6.12/8.6.12) with

ESMTP id OAA09677 for <gisb@aol.com>; Fri, 20 Dec 1996 14:59:36 -0500 Received: (from mrhansen@juno.com) by x6.boston.juno.com (queuemail)

id OyO09204; Fri, 20 Dec 1996 14:58:32 EST

To: gisb@aol.com

Subject: Request for Clarification

Message-ID: <19961220.135741.9318.9.mrhansen@juno.com>

X-Mailer: Juno 1.15

X-Juno-Line-Breaks: 0-12,14-16,23-25,29-31 From: mrhansen@juno.com (Michael R Hansen)

Date: Fri, 20 Dec 1996 14:58:32 EST