1. **Recommended Action:**
   - Accept as requested
   - Accept as modified below
   - Decline

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**
   **Per Request:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   **Per Recommendation:**
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   - Principle (x.1.z)
   - Definition (x.2.z)
   - Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - Document (x.4.z)
   - Data Element (x.4.z)
   - Code Value (x.4.z)
   - X12 Implementation Guide
   - Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   The EII Subcommittee recommends declining the request.

4. **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

   **a. Description of Request:**

   Submitted in fulfillment of the following GISB Short Form Requests: WGP50, WGP87, and WGP143. Transco and Texas Gas request that GISB define a means of sending Capacity Release datasets relating to the Capacity Release “Want Ads.”

   We request that GISB define a means of sending Capacity Release “Want Ads” to the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) to be posted.

   We also request that GISB define a means of sending a request for the download of the Capacity Release “Want Ads,” and a companion dataset that communicates the contents of the Capacity Release “Want Ads.”
b. Description of Recommendation:

EII Task Force

MOTION:

Instruct IR to accommodate the mutually agreeable capacity release related business practices of uploads of capacity release want ads, downloads of capacity release want ads and the associated request for capacity release want ad downloads.

Capacity Release Want Ad Upload: Submitting Party, Receiving Party, Submit Date, Text

Action:
The motion failed through the following vote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End User</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: The new code values would minimize the use of ‘Other’ as a Service Code. The three types of service can now be more clearly identified.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

Please refer to the EII minutes dated February 25, 1999 and the transcripts available from Ak/Ret Reporting.