

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R98089

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: *EII Task Force (12/18/98) --IR34

- * Add a code value description to the Transaction Status Code in the Confirmation Response (1.4.4) to indicate that the entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors. Transaction Status Code will be added pending the approval of R98043.
- * Add the data element 'Validation Code' to the header level of the Confirmation Response (1.4.4).
- * Add five (5) code value descriptions to the Validation Code in the Confirmation Response (1.4.4).
- * Change the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the Confirmation Response (1.4.4).

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R98089

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response, 1.4.4

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	Data Group	EBB Usage	EDI/ FF Usage	Condition
<u>Validation Code (Val Cd)</u>	<u>Code that identifies errors/warnings.</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>nu</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>Required when Transaction Status Code indicates that header level errors exist.</u>

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response, 1.4.4

Data Element: Transaction Status Code

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<u>The entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors.</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>RD</u>

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response, 1.4.4

Data Element: Validation Code

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<u>Invalid Confirming Party</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>ERRFC100</u>
<u>Missing Confirming Party</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>ERRFC101</u>
<u>Invalid Confirmation Requester</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>ERRFC102</u>
<u>Missing Confirmation Requester</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>ERRFC103</u>
<u>Missing Transaction Identifier</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>ERRFC104</u>

BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Nominations Related Standards, Confirmation Response, 1.4.4

Technical Implementation of Business Process:

[Add the following language to the end of the second paragraph of the Confirmation Response TIBP:]

“The **validation code**, which is found at the beginning of the Confirmation Response, informs the receiver of errors that apply to the entire Request for Confirmation..”

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R98089

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response (1.4.4)

Description of Change:
G855RRFC - Confirmation Response (1.4.4)
Data Element Xref to X12
add a new PID segment after the BAK segment as follows: PID C Validation Code
X12 Mapping
Header BAK segment (position 020): BAK02: add code value "RD" with code value note "The entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors."
add new segment Header PID (position 190): add segment note: "For GISB, this segment is conditional. It should be sent when BAK02 = 'RD'."
Header PID (position 190): PID01: mark as Must Use; code value "S"; PID02: mark as not used; PID03: mark as Must Use; code value "AP"; PID04: mark as Must Use; add note "Validation Code"; skip a blank line and then add note "Refer to "Errors and Warnings (Heading)" table for usage and values."; PID05 - PID09 (end): mark as not used
Transaction Set Tables
Add new table "Errors and Warnings (Heading)" as the first table in the Transaction Set Tables section with the following two columns: "Validation Code (PID04)" and "Description"; add the following code values and code value descriptions to the table: ERRFC100 - Invalid Confirming Party; ERRFC101 - Missing Confirming Party; ERRFC102 - Invalid Confirmation Requester; ERRFC103 - Missing Confirmation Requester; ERRFC104 - Missing Transaction Identifier

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Add a code value of "RD" to the ANSI data element Acknowledgment Type in the BAK Segment of the Confirmation Response (Standard 1.4.4) transaction set.

b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force (December 18, 1998)

Motion: "The request is moved to IR for their consideration." (IR34)

Action: Passed unanimously

Information Requirements Subcommittee (October 13, 1999)

Add the following code value description for the Transaction Status Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<i>The entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors.</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	<i>RD</i>

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R98089

Add the following data element to the header level of the Confirmation Response:

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	Data Group	EBB Usage	EDI/ FF Usage	Condition
<i>Validation Code (Val Cd)</i>	<i>Code that identifies errors/warnings.</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>nu</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>Required when Transaction Status Code indicates that header level errors exist.</i>

Add the following code value descriptions for the Validation Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<i>Invalid Confirming Party</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	
<i>Missing Confirming Party</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	
<i>Invalid Confirmation Requester</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	
<i>Missing Confirmation Requester</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	
<i>Missing Transaction Identifier</i>	<i>{no definition necessary}</i>	

Sense of the Room: October 13, 1999

5 In Favor

0 Opposed

Business Practices Subcommittee (November 4, 1999)

Motion: "BPS adopts the recommendation from IR for the proposed implementation of R98089."

Action: Passed unanimously

Information Requirements Subcommittee (December 7, 1999)

Motion: Implement R98089 as proposed at the October 12-13 IR meeting. Additionally, add the following language to the end of the second paragraph of the Confirmation Response TIBP: "The **validation code**, which is found at the beginning of the Confirmation Response, informs the receiver of errors that apply to the entire Request for Confirmation."

Action: Passed unanimously

Sense of the Room: December 7, 1999 11 In Favor

0 Opposed

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: January 19, 2000

5 In Favor

0 Opposed

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: The proposed code value would be utilized when the entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):