1. **Recommended Action:**

   - Accept as requested
   - Accept as modified below
   - X Decline

   **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**

   - Change to Existing Practice
   - X Status Quo

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

   **Per Request:**
   - X Initiation
   - __Modification
   - __Interpretation
   - __Withdrawal

   **Per Recommendation:**
   - __Initiation
   - __Modification
   - __Interpretation
   - __Withdrawal

   - __Principle (x.1.z)
   - __Definition (x.2.z)
   - __Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - X Document (x.4.z)
   - __Data Element (x.4.z)
   - __Code Value (x.4.z)
   - __X12 Implementation Guide
   - __Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **SUMMARY:**

   * No change required—this request was declined by the BPS.

4. **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

   a. **Description of Request:**

   New standard data sets are requested under the GISB Nomination Related Standards (1.4.X) to allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal. CIG also requests that a determination be made by the EII Task Force of the applicability of such functionality on CIG’s Customer Activities Web Page.

   b. **Description of Recommendation:**

   Executive Committee Meeting, August 24, 2000
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Colorado Interstate Gas Request No.: R98062

Recommendation Summary:
Decline the request to accommodate a mutually agreeable nominations related business practice to allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal by the addition of new data elements or code values for:

- Park/Loan Contract
- Request for “Park” or “Loan”
- Park/Loan Location
- Maximum Park/Loan Quantity
- Park/Loan Deal Term
- Park/Loan Deal Rate

or through the addition of new nominations related data sets or instructions and to accommodate the respective response documents.

Discussion: Mr. Keisler described the request.

Motion: Ms. McVicker made the motion, seconded by Mr. Novak to send the request back to BPS for reconsideration with additional participation, to be addressed in the normal course of business.

Discussion: In response to a question from Ms. Davis, Mr. Novak noted that when this was first considered very few pipelines offered park and loan services. He noted that now more pipelines offer these services and more will continue to do so as reliance on penalties to manage pipeline capacity decreases.

Vote: The procedural vote passed with 13 in favor, 5 opposed and 4 abstaining.

Business Practices Subcommittee, October 19, 2000
Discussion:
There was discussion that clarified the issue in most people’s minds. The clarification was that the word “deal” meant contract and not “nomination”. Once the word “deal” was equated with contract, it seemed that there was not a desire to standardize the contracting for Park and Loan service. A request that more closely reflects the issues that matter to Mr. Novak will be put in by him and likely will be dealt with in Round 3 of nominations.

Business Practices Subcommittee, November 30, 2000
Motion: BPS recommends that request R98062 be declined.

Discussion: none

motion passes unanimously (noted as Vote 2 on the attendance list)

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

The subcommittee re-affirms its initial action to decline standardization.