RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Colorado Interstate Gas  Request No.: R98062

1. Recommended Action:  Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:
   ___ Accept as requested  ___ Change to Existing Practice
   ___ Accept as modified below  ___ Status Quo
   ___ Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:  Per Recommendation:
   ___ Initiation  ___ Initiation
   ___ Modification  ___ Modification
   ___ Interpretation  ___ Interpretation
   ___ Withdrawal  ___ Withdrawal
   ___ Principle (x.1.z)  ___ Principle (x.1.z)
   ___ Definition (x.2.z)  ___ Definition (x.2.z)
   ___ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  ___ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   ___ Document (x.4.z)  ___ Document (x.4.z)
   ___ Data Element (x.4.z)  ___ Data Element (x.4.z)
   ___ Code Value (x.4.z)  ___ Code Value (x.4.z)
   ___ X12 Implementation Guide  ___ X12 Implementation Guide
   ___ Business Process Documentation  ___ Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

   SUMMARY:  * EII Task Force (11/20/98) –IR21
              * No change required—this request was declined by the BPS.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

   a. Description of Request:

      New standard data sets are requested under the GISB Nomination Related Standards (1.4.X) to allow a Park
      and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal. CIG also requests that a determination
      be made by the EII Task Force of the applicability of such functionality on CIG’s Customer Activities Web
      Page.
b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force (November 20, 1998)

Motion: “Instruct Information Requirements Subcommittee to accommodate a mutually agreeable nominations related business practice to allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal by the addition of new data elements or code values for:
- Park/Loan Contract
- Request for “Park” or “Loan”
- Park/Loan Location
- Maximum Park/Loan Quantity
- Park/Loan Deal Term
- Park/Loan Deal Rate

or through the addition of new nominations related data sets or instructions and to accommodate the respective response documents.” (IR21)

Action: Passed unanimously

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Discussion: This request was discussed, and it was determined that an issue should be sent to BPS.

Issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding this request:

During the processing of R98062, IR raised the issue of whether a shipper’s request for a specific Park/Loan deal requires EDI implementation. There was discussion that this process may be inappropriate for implementation in EDI because there are few data elements associated with this process and it is used infrequently. IR asks that BPS consider this information and instruct IR as to the appropriate course of action in processing this request.

MOTION: Send this issue to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

Sense of the Room: April 11, 2000  12 In Favor  0 Opposed

Business Practices Subcommittee

Motion: “BPS recommends that request R98062 having to do with a shipper’s request for a specific Park/Loan deal be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time.”

Action: Motion Passed

Sense of the Room: May 25, 2000  10 In Favor  1 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Discussion: The IR Subcommittee sent an issue to BPS regarding this request. At their May 25, 2000, BPS recommended that request R98062 having to do with a shipper’s request for a specific Park/Loan deal be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time. As a result, there is no work to be done on this request by IR (no vote was taken).
c. Business Purpose:

To allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):