1. Recommended Action:  
   __ Accept as requested
   __ Accept as modified below
   X  Decline

   Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:
   __ Change to Existing Practice
   X  Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:                      Per Recommendation:
   X  Initiation
   __ Modification
   __ Interpretation
   __ Withdrawal
   __ Principle (x.1.z)
   __ Definition (x.2.z)
   __ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   X  Document (x.4.z)
   __ Data Element (x.4.z)
   __ Code Value (x.4.z)
   __ X12 Implementation Guide
   __ Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

   SUMMARY: * EII Task Force (11/20/98) –IR20
             * No change required—this request was declined by the BPS.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

   a. Description of Request:

      New standard data sets are requested under the GISB Nomination Related Standards (1.4.X) to allow No-Notice Transportation (NNT) shippers to request authorization for overrun deliveries under their NNT contracts. CIG also requests that a determination be made by the EII Task Force of the applicability of such functionality on CIG’s Customer Activities Web Page.
b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force (November 20, 1998)

**Motion:** “Instruct Information Requirements Subcommittee to accommodate a mutually agreeable nominations related business practice to allow No Notice Transportation (NNT) shippers to request authorization for overrun deliveries under their NNT contracts by the addition of new data elements:
- contract number
- overrun quantity requested
- date range for the overrun quantity requested

or through the addition of new nominations related data sets and to accommodate the respective response documents.” (IR20)

**Action:** Passed unanimously

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

**Discussion:** This request was discussed, and it was determined that an issue should be sent to BPS.

**Issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding this request:**

During the processing of R98061, IR raised the issue of whether a No-notice shipper’s request for authorization of No-notice overrun deliveries requires EDI implementation. There was discussion that this process may be inappropriate for implementation in EDI because there are few data elements associated with this process and it is used infrequently. IR asks that BPS consider this information and instruct IR as to the appropriate course of action in processing this request.

**MOTION:** Send this issue to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

**Sense of the Room:** April 11, 2000  12 In Favor  0 Opposed

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

**Motion:** “BPS recommends that request R98061 having to do with a No-notice shipper’s request for authorization of No-notice overrun deliveries be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time.”

**Action:** Passed unanimously

**Sense of the Room:** May 18, 2000  14 In Favor  0 Opposed

**Segment Check (if applicable):**

- In Favor:    End-Users    LDCs    Pipelines    Producers    Services
- Opposed:    End-Users    LDCs    Pipelines    Producers    Services

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

**Discussion:** The Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR) sent an issue to the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) regarding this request. At their May 18, 2000 meeting, BPS recommended that request R98061 having to do with a No-notice shipper’s request for authorization of No-notice overrun deliveries be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time. As a result, there is no work to be done on this request by IR (no vote was taken).
c. Business Purpose:

To allow No-Notice Transportation (NNT) shippers to request authorization for overrun deliveries under their NNT contracts.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):