RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership Request No.: R97124 (2nd REVISED)

1. Recommended Action:  
   - Accept as requested  
   - Accept as modified below  
   - Decline  

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:  
   - Change to Existing Practice  
   - Status Quo  

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:  
   - Initiation  
   - Interpretation  
   - Withdrawal  

   Per Recommendation:  
   - Initiation  
   - Interpretation  
   - Withdrawal  

   - Principle (x.1.z)  
   - Definition (x.2.z)  
   - Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
   - Document (x.4.z)  
   - Data Element (x.4.z)  
   - Code Value (x.4.z)  
   - X12 Implementation Guide  
   - Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:  
* Add Contract Level Tracking ID data element to the Nomination and Nomination Quick Response.  
* Revise GISB Standard No. 1.3.54 to include the new data element.  
* Add one error code value for the Validation Code data element in the Nomination Quick Response.  
**DATA DICTIONARY** (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination, 1.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Pathed</th>
<th>EBB Non-Pathed</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “T”</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “U”</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requester's assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>CDG</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>For EBB, used by transportation service providers who require/display tracking IDs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination Quick Response, 1.4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requester's assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sent when errors/warnings occur at the service requester contract level or at the nominator's tracking ID level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination Quick Response, 1.4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Error)</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validation Code</td>
<td>M (C)</td>
<td>ENMQR318</td>
<td>Missing Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Nomination Related Standards Book, GISB Standard No. 1.3.54

Language: [Add Contract Level Tracking ID to the end of the Contracts Data Group.]

Standards Book: Insert the following paragraph after the current second paragraph in the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the Nomination (1.4.1). This will be the new third paragraph.

Language: There may be multiple groups at the service requester contract/date level, each of which is identified by a contract level tracking id. When the Quick Response is returned to the service requester, these groups are referenced using the contract level tracking id. This identifier facilitates a quick and consistent means of tying a nomination contract/date group to its corresponding response transaction. In order to accomplish this, a certain level of uniqueness is required. This identifier is created by the originator of the nomination transaction. The transportation service provider does not validate the value contained in this field and, therefore, cannot ensure uniqueness. The transportation service provider does not track this identifier but merely echoes it back in the Quick Response.

Standards Book: Revise the fourth paragraph in the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the Nomination Quick Response (1.4.2).

Language: Quick Response Nomination line items are grouped by service requester contract, model type and effective date (beginning date, beginning time, ending date, ending time). Within these groupings there may be one or more nomination line items. Error and warning messages that apply to a contract and effective date will appear here at the service requester contract level in the quick response. These groups are identified in the nomination by the contract level tracking id. The contract level tracking id is sent in the Quick Response when there is an error or warning that pertains to a contract/date group or to one of the line items within that group. If there is no error or warning associated with a contract/date group or a line item within that group, then the contract level tracking id for that group is not sent in the Quick Response.
Standards Book: Nomination Related Standards Book – Modify the Sample Paper Transaction for the Nomination (1.4.1) as follows:

- [Add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ to the Sample Paper Transaction for all three Model Types:
  - For Pathed, add directly beneath ‘Model’. The value is ‘CL001’.
  - For Non-Pathed, add directly beneath ‘Model’. The value is ‘CL002’.
  - For Pathed Non-Threaded, add directly beneath ‘Contract’ in the unthreaded segment. The value is ‘CL003’.
  - For Pathed Non-Threaded, add directly beneath ‘Model’ in the threaded segment. The value is ‘CL004’.]

Standards Book: Nomination Related Standards Book – Modify the Sample Paper Transaction for the Nomination Quick Response (1.4.2) as follows:

- [Add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ directly beneath ‘Contract’. The value is ‘CL001’.]

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination, 1.4.1
Nomination Quick Response, 1.4.2

**Description of Change:**

**G850NMST - Nomination (1.4.1)**

**Data Element Xref to X12**

Detail PO1: add as first data element "Contract Level Tracking ID" with usage M, M, M, M

**Sample X12 Transaction**

For Pathed Example, change 00001 to "CL001" in PO101 (approximately line 6); Resulting PO1 line will read: "PO1*CL001*****CR*K1234*MN*P"

For Non-Pathed example, add "CL002" as PO101 (approximately line 6); Resulting PO1 line will read: "PO1*CL002*****CR*K1234*MN*N"

For Pathed Non-Threaded example, for the first occurrence of the PO1 (approximately line 6), add "CL003" as PO101. Resulting PO1 line will read: "PO1*CL003*****CR*K1234*MN*T"; For the second occurrence of the PO1 (approximately line 17), add "CL004" as PO101. Resulting PO1 line will read: "PO1*CL004*****CR*K1234*MN*U"

**X12 Mapping**

Detail PO1 Segment (position 010): PO101: Add data element name "Contract Level Tracking ID"

**G855NMQR - Nomination Quick Response (1.4.2)**

**Data Element Xref to X12**

Detail PO1 Segment: Add data element "Contract Level Tracking ID" (before Service Requester Contract) with a usage of C (in same PO1 segment)

**Sample X12 Transaction**
PO1: change PO101 to "CL001". Resulting segment will be "PO1*CL001*****CR*K1234"

**X12 Mapping**

Detail PO1 Segment (position 010): PO101: Add data element name "Contract Level Tracking ID"

**Transaction Set Tables**

"Errors and Warnings (Detail)" table: add the following error code and message to the table: “ENMQR318” - “Missing Contract Level Tracking ID”

### 4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

**a. Description of Request:**

This request is to specify the use of a current ANSI mandatory data element (Assigned Identification, PO1 01) and add it as a business data element to the 855 Nomination Quick Response document.

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

**MOTION:**

Add the data element Contract Level Tracking ID to both the Nomination (1.4.1) the Nomination Quick Response (1.4.2). Delete the following data elements from the Nomination Quick Response:

- Beginning Date
- Beginning Time
- Ending Date
- Ending Time
- Service Requester Contract

Nomination (1.4.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requester’s assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nomination Quick Response (1.4.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requester’s assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sent when errors/warnings occur at the service requester contract level or at the nominator’s tracking ID level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sense of the Room:** January 18, 1999 8 In Favor 0 Opposed

**Segment Check (if applicable):**
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In Favor:       End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:       End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

MOTION:
Adopt the following revised language for the fourth paragraph in the TIBP for the Nomination Quick Response:

Quick Response Nomination line items are grouped by service requester contract, model type and effective date (beginning date, beginning time, ending date, ending time). Within these groups there may be one or more nomination line items. Error and warning messages that apply to a contract and effective date will appear here at the service requester contract level in the quick response. These groups are identified in the nomination by the contract level tracking id. The contract level tracking id is sent in the Quick Response when there is an error or warning that pertains to a contract/date group or to one of the line items within that group. If there is no error or warning associated with a contract/date group or a line item within that group, then the contract level tracking id for that group is not sent in the Quick Response.

Insert the following paragraph after the current second paragraph in the TIBP for the Nomination. This will be the new third paragraph.

There may be multiple groups at the service requester contract/date level, each of which is identified by a contract level tracking id. When the Quick Response is returned to the service requester, these groups are referenced using the contract level tracking id. This identifier facilitates a quick and consistent means of tying a nomination contract/date group to its corresponding response transaction. In order to accomplish this, a certain level of uniqueness is required. This identifier is created by the originator of the nomination transaction. The transportation service provider does not validate the value contained in this field and, therefore, cannot ensure uniqueness. The transportation service provider does not track this identifier but merely echoes it back in the Quick Response.

Sense of the Room:
January 18, 1999   10 In Favor   0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:       End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:       End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

MOTION:
To accept as described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validation Code</td>
<td>M (C)</td>
<td>Missing Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sense of the Room:
February 22, 1999   11 In Favor   0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership  
Request No.: R97124  
(2nd REVISED)

In Favor:     End-Users     LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services
Opposed:     End-Users     LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

Technical Subcommittee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of the Room:</th>
<th>March 3, 1999</th>
<th>7 In Favor</th>
<th>0 Opposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Segment Check (if applicable):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Favor:</th>
<th>End-Users</th>
<th>LDCs</th>
<th>Pipelines</th>
<th>Producers</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opposed:</td>
<td>End-Users</td>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Executive Committee (May 20, 1999)

The motion was then made to send Request No. R97124 back to Information Requirements Subcommittee for further work. Ms. Van Pelt noted that if the recommendation is forwarded to Information Requirements Subcommittee, it will not be published in version 1.4. . . The procedural motion to return the request to Information Requirements Subcommittee passed with twelve in favor, five opposed and one abstention.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request was previously processed and sent to the EC. The EC sent the request back to IR for further work. Part of the recommendation involved deletion of the following data elements from the Nomination Quick Response:

- Beginning Date
- Beginning Time
- Ending Date
- Ending Time
- Service Requester Contract

There was discussion as to whether this number has to be unique or whether the sender can use the same number multiple times. Technically, it does not have to be unique; although, it was noted that the number does have to be unique to be useful to the receiver.

Some pipelines send back the assigned identifier for the nomination loop that had the error. However, not everyone uses this implementation for the quick response and, according to Jim Buccigross, this is why they requested this data element.

MOTION:

Modify the previous recommendation for R97124 to remove the part which recommends deletion of the data elements Beginning Date, Beginning Time, Ending Date, Ending Time and Service Requester Contract from the Nomination Quick Response. There will be no changes to the remainder of the recommendation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of the Room:</th>
<th>July 12, 1999</th>
<th>6 In Favor</th>
<th>3 Opposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Segment Check (if applicable):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Favor:</th>
<th>End-Users</th>
<th>LDCs</th>
<th>Pipelines</th>
<th>Producers</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opposed:</td>
<td>End-Users</td>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Opposed:   ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room:    July 27, 1999    6    In Favor    0    Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:      ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services
Opposed:      ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

IR Implementation:
NOTE: The recommendation for this request was previously distributed for industry comment (see posting on GISB home page). However, the recommendation was pulled back by the Chair of IR in order to complete the necessary work. The EBB and FF usages need to be determined for the new ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ and the data element needs to be added to the appropriate data group in Standard 1.3.54. The revisions noted below are in addition to those agreed to at previous IR meetings.

MOTION:

NOMINATION
♦ Add the abbreviation, data group, and EBB and FF usages. The data element will be added to the Nomination at the same level as the Service Requester Contract. It will be added at each level that the Service Requester Contract occurs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Group</th>
<th>EBB Pathed</th>
<th>EBB Non-Pathed</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “T”</th>
<th>EBB PNT - “U”</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requestor’s assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>CDG</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>For EBB, used by transportation service providers who require/display tracking IDs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K Lvl Trk ID)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

♦ Revise GISB Standard 1.3.54 to add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ to the end of the Contracts Data Group.

♦ Add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ to the Sample Paper Transaction for all three Model Types:
  ♦ For Pathed, add directly beneath ‘Model’. The value is ‘CL001’.
  ♦ For Non-Pathed, add directly beneath ‘Model’. The value is ‘CL002’.
  ♦ For Pathed Non-Threaded, add directly beneath ‘Contract’ in the unthreaded segment. The value is ‘CL003’.
  ♦ For Pathed Non-Threaded, add directly beneath ‘Model’ in the threaded segment. The value is ‘CL004’.
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NOMINATION QUICK RESPONSE
♦ Add the abbreviation and FF Usage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>EDI / FF Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Level Tracking ID</td>
<td>The service requester’s assigned identifier for the service requester contract level.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sent when errors/warnings occur at the service requester contract level or at the nominator’s tracking ID level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

♦ For the Sample Paper Transaction, add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ directly beneath ‘Contract’. The value is ‘CL001’.

| Sense of the Room: September 13 - 14, 1999 | 6 In Favor | 0 Opposed |
| Segment Check (if applicable): | | |

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: September 21, 1999

5 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: There currently exists no agreed upon manner to identify PO1 (detail) level errors from a nomination in the Nomination Quick Response document. This data element, “Nomination Level Error/Warning ID”, would be used in the Quick Response document to identify which PO1 (detail) loop in the original nomination contained any relevant errors or warnings returned in the quick response.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):