

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines

Request No.: R97067

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Capacity Release - Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deal (UPPD), 5.4.7

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid	The percentage of maximum rate bid per the Rate Identification Code or Surcharge Identification Code specified.	C	Mandatory when the deal is biddable and the Percentage or Dollar Denominated Bids Indicator is percentage of maximum tariff rate.

* Indicates Common Code

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:

Description of Change:
No Technical Changes Needed

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines

Request No.: R97067

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Revise the usage of the Rate Identification Code in the Capacity Release - Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deal (UPPD) from Mandatory to Conditional; required when any of the following is populated:

- Maximum Rate
- Minimum Acceptable Percentage of Maximum Rate
- Minimum Acceptable Rate
- Minimum Acceptable Volumetric Commitment Percentage
- Minimum Acceptable Volumetric Load Factor Percentage
- Minimum Acceptable Commitment Percentage
- Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid
- Rate Bid

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee

“BPS recommends that the business intent stated in R97065, R97066, R97067 and R97068 is agreed upon and passed to IR/Technical for implementation consistent with R97010, R97015, R97016, R97052 and R97053.”

Sense of the Room: May 21, 1997 21 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Change the usage code of the Rate Identification Code in the UPPD as follows, note two possible condition descriptions:

- Choice 1: Conditional. Mandatory when any rate values or percentages are contained within the UPPD
- Choice 2: Conditional, Mandatory when any of the following data elements is populated: Maximum Rate, Minimum Acceptable Percentage of Maximum Rate, Minimum Acceptable Rate, Minimum Acceptable Volumetric Commitment Percentage, Minimum Acceptable Volumetric Load Factor Percentage, Minimum Volumetric Commitment Percentage, Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid, Rate Bid.

Sense of the Room: June 24, 1997 2 “Choice 1” 4 “Choice 2”

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines

Request No.: R97067

Reconsideration of this motion: After reviewing the data dictionary and implementation guides in further consideration on this and following motions, the group determined that this request was dependent on the outcome of the following:

A change was made as part of R96041 to fix the Rate Bid condition by removing the words "the deal is biddable and" from the condition description. A corresponding change should be made to the "Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid" as well for both the UPPD and Offer. We will request this change from BPS.

Pending the outcome of the above, R97067 and R97068 are on hold.
Sense of the room on Reconsideration and Sending back to BPS:

Sense of the Room: June 24, 1997 8 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

August 7, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

There was a motion made and seconded to inform the Information Requirements Subcommittee to make the consistency changes, which passed unanimously.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Change condition of Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid from "Mandatory when the deal is biddable and the Percentage or Dollar Denominated Bids Indicator is percent of max tariff rate." to Mandatory when the Percentage or Dollar Denominated Bids Indicator is percentage of maximum tariff rate."

Sense of the Room: September 9, 1997 14 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Discussion that with the above approved motion, then perhaps the original request is not needed, as it can remain mandatory since there will always be a Rate ID code, so, no change is necessary. Either Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid or Rate Bid will always be present, so Rate ID Code will always be present. Leave usage of Rate ID Code as Mandatory in the UPPD.

Sense of the Room: September 9, 1997 13 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines

Request No.: R97067

Technical Subcommittee

This recommendation is only a change to the data dictionary condition and not the usage, so no Technical change is required.

Sense of the Room: October 29, 1997 7 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

The data dictionary will accurately reflect the purpose and use of the Rate Identification Code in the UPPD.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Determined that the changes being made to be consistent with R96041 are sufficient. The revisions originally requested are no longer necessary.