



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership

Request No.: R97056

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership

Request No.: R97056

3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Request for Confirmation 1.4.3

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number ID	This is created by the originator of the process. It is line item specific and is used by the originator of the process to tie request for confirmation to confirmation response. It is not validated by the receiver of the process nor is it a key in the receiver of the process' database. The receiver of the process will not track this number identifier but merely echo it back in the response document. This number identifier is used for EDI only and will not be added to the EBBs. <i>This data element contains alpha-numeric data.</i>	M	

* Indicates Common Code

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response 1.4.4

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number ID	This is created by the originator of the process. It is line item specific and is used by the originator of the process to tie request for confirmation to confirmation response. It is not validated by the receiver of the process nor is it a key in the receiver of the process' database. The receiver of the process will not track this number identifier but merely echo it back in the response document. This number identifier is used for EDI only and will not be added to the EBBs. <i>This data element contains alpha-numeric data.</i>	C	Required if provided in original request for confirmation.

* Indicates Common Code

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership

Request No.: R97056

Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity for Operator 1.4.6

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number ID	This is created by the originator of the process. It is line item specific and is used by the originator of the process to tie request for confirmation to confirmation response. It is not validated by the receiver of the process nor is it a key in the receiver of the process' database. The receiver of the process will not track this number identifier but merely echo it back in the response document. This number identifier is used for EDI only and will not be added to the EBBs. <i>This data element contains alpha-numeric data.</i>	C	Mandatory when present in the confirmation process.

* Indicates Common Code

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Request for Confirmation 1.4.3, Confirmation Response 1.4.4, Scheduled Quantity for Operator 1.4.6

G850RQCF - Request for Confirmation
Data Element Xref to X12
change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"
X12 Mapping
SLN02 - change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"
G855RRFC - Confirmation Response
Data Element Xref to X12
change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"
Sample X12 Transaction
change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"
X12 Mapping
SLN02 - change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"
G865SQOP - Scheduled Quantity for Operator
Data Element Xref to X12
change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID" in titles and body of Xref
Sample X12 Transaction
change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership

Request No.: R97056

X12 Mapping

SLN02 - change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"

sub-detail N1 - segment notes: change "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" to "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID"

BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: GISB Nominations Related Standards - GISB Request for Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity for Operators, "Technical Impelementation of Business Process" and "Sample Paper Transactions."

Language:

Global replacement of "Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number" with "Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID" and change the word "number" to "identifier" when referring to this data element.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Rename the data element "confirmation requester's tracking number" to "confirmation requester's tracking ID" in the Request For Confirmation and Confirmation Response Transactions and clarify that the data element contains alphanumeric data.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee

The Business Practices Subcommittee recommends to the Executive Committee that the data element "confirmation requester's tracking number" be renamed "confirmation requester's tracking ID" in the Request For Confirmation and Confirmation Response Transactions and clarify that the data element contains alphanumeric data. The request and recommended Business Practices Subcommittee actions should be sent to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for necessary changes prior to sending the recommendation out for industry response.

Sense of the Room: June 26, 1997

9 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: 1 End-Users 1 LDCs 3 Pipelines 1 Producers 3 Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Change data element name 'Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number' to 'Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID' in the Request For Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity for Operators data dictionaries, including where mentioned in the Condition of any other data elements. Add the following language to the end of the definition: "This data element contains alpha-numeric data.'

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: TransCapacity Limited Partnership

Request No.: R97056

In the current definition, replace all occurrences of 'number' with 'identifier'.

Change all occurrences in the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the Request For Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity for Operators documents.

Sense of the Room: July 15, 1997 11 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: July 23, 1997 9 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

The request is made to remove any perceived ambiguity as to whether the data element can contain alpha-numeric data (or as some maintain only numeric data). The name change, combined with the fact that the fields already mapped for the confirmation requester's tracking number in the EDI datasets are in fact mapped [to] alpha-numeric fields, should resolve the issue.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: No objection, implemented in line with BPS instructions.