RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: El Paso Natural Gas   Request No.: R97044A

1. Recommended Action:

    ___Accept as requested
    _X_ Accept as modified below
    ___Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

    _X_ Change to Existing Practice
    ___Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

    ___Initiation
    _X_ Modification
    ___Interpretation
    ___Withdrawal

    ___Principle (x.1.z)
    ___Definition (x.2.z)
    ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
    ___Document (x.4.z)
    ___Data Element (x.4.z)
    _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)
    ___X12 Implementation Guide
    ___Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

    ___Initiation
    _X_ Modification
    ___Interpretation
    ___Withdrawal

    ___Principle (x.1.z)
    ___Definition (x.2.z)
    ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
    ___Document (x.4.z)
    ___Data Element (x.4.z)
    _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)
    _X_ X12 Implementation Guide
    ___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: * Add a code value description for the Reduction Reason data element in the Scheduled Quantity.

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.:   Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5

Data Element: Reduction Reason

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint	_A constraint on the Confirming Party's system._	_CAP_

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: El Paso Natural Gas   Request No.: R97044A

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:   Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)

Description of Change:
G865SQTS - Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Reduction Reason, add following code value and code value description in alphabetical order by code value: CAP - Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Make the Reduction Reason Codes between the Confirmation Response and the Scheduled Quantity data sets consistent.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Modify/Add code values for the "Reduction Reason" data element in the Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity transactions.

Confirmation Response document: For data element "Reduction Reason"

Revise existing code value for "Capacity Constraint" to "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" and add the definition: "A constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Scheduled Quantity document: For data element "Reduction Reason"

Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" with the same definition as above.

Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Add definition only to the existing code value "Pipeline Capacity Constraint" as follows: "A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's System."

Sense of the Room: July 15, 1997   14 In Favor   0 Opposed

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: El Paso Natural Gas   Request No.: R97044A

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services
Opposed: ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: October 25, 1999   _6_ In Favor   _0_ Oppose
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services
Opposed: ___End-Users   ___LDCs   ___Pipelines   ___Producers   ___Services

c. Business Purpose:

See original recommendation for R97044.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

On July 17, 1997 IR approved the addition of the Reduction Reason 'Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint' to the Scheduled Quantity document. However, this additional code value description was not included in the Code Values Log table that was presented to the Technical Subcommittee for mapping and, subsequently, to the EC for vote. This recommendation, R97044**A,** covers the code value description that was inadvertantly omitted from the original recommendation.