RECOMMENDATION TO GISP EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: El Paso Natural Gas  
Request No.: R97044

1. Recommended Action: 
   ___Accept as requested 
   ___Accept as modified below 
   ___Decline 

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action: 
   ___X_Change to Existing Practice 
   ___Status Quo 

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE 

   Per Request: 
   ___Initiation 
   ___Modification 
   ___Interpretation 
   ___Withdrawal 

   Per Recommendation: 
   ___Initiation 
   ___Modification 
   ___Interpretation 
   ___Withdrawal 

   ___Principle (x.1.z) 
   ___Definition (x.2.z) 
   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z) 
   ___Document (x.4.z) 
   ___Data Element (x.4.z) 
   ___Code Value (x.4.z) 
   ___X12 Implementation Guide 
   ___Business Process Documentation 

3. RECOMMENDATION 

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values) 

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response 1.4.4 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Values</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint</td>
<td>A constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity 1.4.5 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Values</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Pipeline Capacity Constraint</td>
<td>A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt</td>
<td>A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCD</td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Document Name and No.: Scheduled Quantity for Operators 1.4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Values</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint</td>
<td>A constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pipeline Capacity Constraint</td>
<td>A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location</td>
<td>A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location</td>
<td>A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:  Confirmation Response 1.4.4, Scheduled Quantity 1.4.5, Scheduled Quantity for Operator 1.4.6

G855RRFC - Confirmation Response
X12 Mapping
"Reduction Reason Codes (Detail/Sub-detail)” table - modify name of code value "CAP” for data element Reduction Reason (change Capacity Constraint to Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint) - See Code Values Log.

G865SQTS - Scheduled Quantity
X12 Mapping
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)” table - add code values "PCR”, "PCD” for data element Reduction Reason. See Code Values Log.

G865SQOP - Scheduled Quantity for Operator
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Make the Reduction Reason Codes between the Confirmation Response and the Scheduled Quantity data sets consistent.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Information Requirements Subcommittee
Modify/Add code values for the "Reduction Reason" data element in the Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity transactions.

Confirmation Response document: For data element "Reduction Reason"
Revise existing code value for "Capacity Constraint" to "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" and add the definition: "A constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Scheduled Quantity document: For data element "Reduction Reason"
Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" with the same definition as above.

Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location" with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location" with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."

Add definition only to the existing code value "Pipeline Capacity Constraint" as follows: "A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's System."

Sense of the Room: July 15, 1997 14 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: ______End-Users ______LDCs ______Pipelines ______Producers ______Services
Opposed: ______End-Users ______LDCs ______Pipelines ______Producers ______Services
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Technical Subcommittee
Sense of the Room: July 23, 1997   9 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:      End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:      End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee
Scheduled Quantity For Operators document: For data element "Reduction Reason"

Modify existing code 'Capacity Constraint' in the Scheduled Quantity for Operators document (SQOP) by changing it to 'Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint' as defined above.

Add code 'Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location' with the definition above.

Add code 'Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location' as defined above.

Modify existing code 'Pipeline Capacity Constraint' by adding the definition above.

Sense of the Room: July 29, 1997  9 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:      End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:      End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

c. Business Purpose:
When scheduled quantities are sent to the nominating and confirming parties, reduction reason codes are included on the X12 files, the proprietary files and the proprietary reports. With the current standard codes, the nominating party and the confirming party may receive different 'reasons' on their reports for the same scheduling action. For example, a GSIB Confirmation Response will display a ‘CAP’ reduction code (Table A - Reduction Reason Codes), but the GISB Scheduled Quantity for the shipper will display a ‘PCC’ reduction code (Table A - SI 1000/234 Pairs).

Stamping different reason codes on the same transaction confuses trading partners when they compare reported quantities. This also impacts the TSP’s ability to communicate their customers.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):
IR: Agreed to develop definitions for the specified code values at this time, and not leave this up to the "code cleanup effort.” Also agreed to add new code values to the Scheduled Quantity datasets for clarity and consistency, as detailed above.

IR: After feedback from technical, IR at the July 29, 1997 meeting made applicable changes to the Scheduled Quantity for Operators document.