

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: NorAm

Request No.: R97018 (A) and (B)

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal


- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Nominations - Quick Response, 1.4.2

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Validation Code (Note: These are <i>error</i> messages.)	M (C)	ENMQR572	<i>Historical Production Rate Exceeded by Nomination</i>	<i>Indicates where the historical production rate for a meter has been exceeded.</i>
		ENMQR573	<i>Receipt point not valid for pool nominated</i>	<i>Indicates a nomination for a receipt point which does not belong to the nominated pool.</i>



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: NorAm

Request No.: R97018 (A) and (B)

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Nominations - Quick Response 1.4.2

Description of Change:
G855NMQR - Nominations - Quick Response
X12 Mapping
“Errors/Warnings (Sub-detail)” table - add errors “Historical Production Rate Exceeded by Nomination”, “Receipt point not valid for pool nominated”. See See Code Values Log.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Add additional error codes to the sub-detail level (nominator tracking number level) of the quick response dataset for:

HPR Exceeded by Nomination - to indicate where the historical production rate for a meter has been exceeded.

Path Invalid for Receipt Nominations - to indicate where nominators send in a nomination for receipt volume that does not have a valid pooling area path.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee

[Note that this request was split into two parts by the BPS for discussion purposes, R97018(A) for the HPR Exceeded by Nomination request and R97018(B) for the Path Invalid for Receipt Nominations request.]

R97018(A): Transfer the portion of the request on HPR Exceeded by Nomination (R97018A) to Information Requirements Subcommittee with it noted that Business Practices Subcommittee supported that portion of the request.

Sense of the Room: March 27, 1997 13 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: 0 End-Users 1 LDCs 8 Pipelines 1 Producers 3 Services

Opposed: 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 0 Producers 0 Services

R97018(B): Transfer the request to the Information Requirements Subcommittee with the following instructions: Add an error code to the nominations quick response transaction, with the name "Receipt point not valid for pool nominated", and description for the code as "the code is used to indicate where a nomination for a receipt point does not belong to the nominated pool."

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: NorAm

Request No.: R97018 (A) and (B)

Sense of the Room: April 17, 1997 16 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs 12 Pipelines 1 Producers 3 Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Information Requirements Subcommittee

[Note: Information Requirements dealt with R97018(A) and R97018(B) at the same meeting.]

Add two error codes to the Nomination Quick Response document:

HPR Exceeded by Nomination:

Definition: Indicates where the historical production rate for a meter has been exceeded.

Level: Point level detail

Receipt point not valid for pool nominated:

Definition: Indicates a nomination for a receipt point which does not belong to the nominated pool.

Level: Point level detail

Sense of the Room: July 29, 1997 9 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: August 22, 1997 6 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

The proposed standards above will be used to allow a pipeline to give more specific error messages to customers on electronic data received by MRT/NGT.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

Implemented per BPS recommendation. No opposition votes were noted.