RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: PanEnergy  Request No.: R97011

1. Recommended Action:
   - Accept as requested
   - Change to Existing Practice
   - Accept as modified below
   - Status Quo
   - Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   Per Recommendation:
   - Initiation
   - Modification
   - Interpretation
   - Withdrawal

   - Principle (x.1.z)
   - Definition (x.2.z)
   - Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - Document (x.4.z)
   - Data Element (x.4.z)
   - Code Value (x.4.z)
   - X12 Implementation Guide
   - Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

   SUMMARY:
   * Add GISB Standard No. 5.3.x.
   * Move the data element ‘Bidder Company Code’ to the detail level of the Offer Upload Final Disposition.
   * Add a code value description to the Disposition Response Code in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

   STANDARDS LANGUAGE:
   GISB Standard No. 5.3.x:
   There should be no communication of the identity of non-winning, non-prearranged bidders in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

   The identity of the winning bidder(s) should be sent to the releasing shipper in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

   In the case of multiple bids upon one offer, the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the winning bidder(s) identifying all winning
bidders, or sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to each winning bidder identifying only that party as a winning bidder.

In the case of a non-winning pre-arranged bidder, the TSP should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder identifying all winning bidders and identifying the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder, or sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder identifying only the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder.

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name and No.</th>
<th>Offer Upload Final Disposition, 5.4.12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Element:</td>
<td>Disposition Response Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer awarded to prearranged bidder and subsequent bidder(s)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td>U7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name and No.</th>
<th>Offer Upload Final Disposition (5.4.12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Description of Change:**
G567UDFD - Offer Upload Final Disposition (5.4.12)

**Data Element Xref to X12**

- Header N1 segment: delete N1 segment for Bidder Company Code
- Detail: add new N1 segment below the Replacement Shipper Contract Number (in a new row) as follows: "N1 M Bidder Company Code"

**Sample X12 Transaction**
in both examples, for row beginning with "N1*BY*…", move below CS segment

**X12 Mapping**

- Header BC segment (position 020): BC06: add code value U7 with code value note "Offer awarded to prearranged bidder and subsequent bidder(s),"  
- Header N1 segment (position 030): N1 segment notes: change segment note to read "For GISB, this segment is mandatory."; N101: delete code value BY; N104: delete data element name "Bidder Company Code"
- new Detail N1 segment (position 120): mark as Must Use; N1 segment notes: "For GISB, this segment is mandatory."; N101: add code value BY; N102: mark as not used; N103: add code value 1; mark as Must Use; N104: add element note: "Bidder Company Code"; mark as Must Use; mark remaining elements as not used
4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Move the Bidder Company Code to the same level as the Bid Number in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

b. Description of Recommendation:

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

**Motion:** "The following motion on this request was made by Mr. Lander at the November 18, 1999 meeting and was deferred to this meeting. Mr. Lander asked Ms. Van Pelt to chair the meeting for this request and she agreed.

**As a 5.3.x standard:**

There should be no communication of the identity of non-winning, non-prearranged bidders in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

The identity of the winning bidder(s) should be sent to the releasing shipper in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

In the case of multiple bids upon one offer, the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the winning bidder(s) identifying all winning bidders, or sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to each winning bidder identifying only that party as a winning bidder.

In the case of a non-winning pre-arranged bidder, the TSP should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning prearranged bidder identifying all winning bidders and identifying the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder, or sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder identifying only the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder.

**As Instructions to IR:**

The Offer Upload Final Disposition should accommodate the communication of the identity of the winning bidder(s) to the Releasing Shipper in one communication.

Modify the condition of Replacement Shipper Contract Number in the Offer Upload Final Disposition such that the winning bidder’s contract number be communicated to that winning bidder. This is not intended to conflict with the the ability of a TPS to send the same Offer Upload Final Disposition document to all winning bidders.

**Action:** The motion carried unanimously.

**Sense of the Room:** December 16, 1999  13 In Favor  0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
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Information Requirements Subcommittee
In order to accommodate the sending to the Releasing Shipper all winning bidder(s), the data element Bidder Company Code should be moved from the header level to the detail level, i.e. the same level at which the Bid Number occurs. This would allow the looping of multiple winning bidder(s). The usage of the data element Bidder Company Code remains “mandatory”.

A review of the code values for the data element Disposition Response Code was performed. An additional discussion ensued as to whether or not the Disposition Response Code should be moved from the header level to the detail level.

It was decided that a new code value should be added as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer awarded to prearranged bidder and subsequent bidder(s)</td>
<td>[no definition necessary]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No changes to the Technical Implementation of Business Process or the Sample Paper transaction are required.

MOTION: Modify the Offer Upload Final Disposition data set as documented above.

Sense of the Room: March 29, 2000  5 In favor  0 Opposed
Motion Passed.

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: June 29, 2000  4 In Favor  0 Opposed

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: The proposed change would allow for compliance with GISP Standard 5.3.4 by allowing the TPS to send all bidders in one communication.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):