RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Columbia Gulf Transmission   Request No.: R96126

1. Recommended Action: **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**

     ___Accept as requested   _X_Change to Existing Practice
     _X_Accept as modified below   ___Status Quo
     ___Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

    Per Request: **Per Recommendation:**

    _X_Initiation   _X_Initiation
    _X_Modification   _X_Modification
    ___Interpretation   ___Interpretation
    ___Withdrawal   ___Withdrawal

    ___Principle (x.1.z)   ___Principle (x.1.z)
    ___Definition (x.2.z)   ___Definition (x.2.z)
    ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
    _X_Document (x.4.z)   _X_Document (x.4.z)
    _X_Data Element (x.4.z)   _X_Data Element (x.4.z)
    ___Code Value (x.4.z)   ___Code Value (x.4.z)
    _X_X12 Implementation Guide   _X_X12 Implementation Guide
    ___Business Process Documentation   ___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Payment Remittance, 3.4.2

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Payee	*The party billing the service requester/purchaser for transportation/gas.*	*M*	

* Indicates Common Code

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Payee	The party billing the ~~purchaser/ shipper~~ *service requester/purchaser* for ~~gas/transportation~~ *transportation/gas*.	M	

* Indicates Common Code

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Columbia Gulf Transmission   Request No.: R96126

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Payment Remittance 3.4.2

Description of Change:
G820PYRM - Payment Remittance
Data Element Xref to X12
Add N1 segment for "Payee", usage M
X12 Mapping
N104 - add "Payee" to data element list
Transaction Set Tables
"N1 Segment (Heading)" table - add "Payee", usage M, N101 = PE, N103 = 1

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

The Payment Remittance data set (3.4.2) needs an additional data element to indicate the party being paid. (There are three data elements referring to the party making payment but none stating who is to receive the payment.)

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee

A motion was made to adopt the following and forward to the Information Requirements Subcommittee:
(1) An additional data element (to be called Payee) be introduced into the remittance advice data set; and,
(2) The usage of the Payee data element should be Mandatory.
(3) The data dictionary definition of the Payee should be: The party billing the purchaser/service requester for gas/transportation and to whom funds should be paid.

Sense of the Room: April 17, 1997   __11__ In Favor   __0__ Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):

	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
In Favor :			8	1	2
Opposed :					

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Add 'Payee' data element to Remittance.
Definition: The party billing the service requester/purchaser for transportation/gas.
Usage: Mandatory
Level: Header

Make corresponding change to 'Payee' definition in Invoice.

  Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997   __11__ In Favor   __0__ Opposed
  Segment Check (if applicable):
  In Favor :   __End-Users   __LDCs   __Pipelines   __Producers   __Services
  Opposed: __End-Users   __LDCs   __Pipelines   __Producers   __Services

Technical Subcommittee

  Sense of the Room: September 8, 1997   __8__ In Favor   __0__ Opposed
  Segment Check (if applicable):
  In Favor :   __End-Users   __LDCs   __Pipelines   __Producers   __Services
  Opposed: __End-Users   __LDCs   __Pipelines   __Producers   __Services

c. Business Purpose:

Identify the party to receive the payment. Since there will be 3'rd party software providers as well as pipeline computer systems that accommodate multiple service providers, it is necessary to be able to determine the party being paid. Without this data element, there is the potential of the payment remittance being forwarded to the wrong party or the remittance being forwarded to no one since the receipt is unknown.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

Implemented per BPS recommendation with minor language change to definition to cooincide with sequence in the Transportation/Sales Invoice data set name. IR made corresponding changes to the data element definition in the Invoice for consistency.