1. **Recommended Action:**
   - ___Accept as requested
   - ___Accept as modified below
   - ___Decline

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**
   - **Per Request:**
     - X Initiation
     - X Modification
     - ___Interpretation
     - ___Withdrawal
   - __X__ Principle (x.1.z)
   - __X__ Definition (x.2.z)
   - __X__ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - ___Document (x.4.z)
   - ___Data Element (x.4.z)
   - ___Business Process Documentation

   - **Per Recommendation:**
     - X Initiation
     - X Modification
     - ___Interpretation
     - ___Withdrawal
     - ___Principle (x.1.z)
     - ___Definition (x.2.z)
     - ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
     - ___Document (x.4.z)
     - ___Data Element (x.4.z)
     - ___Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

   **Document Name and No.:** Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location Indicator</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PRXXXXXX</td>
<td>Receipt point only</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loc Ind)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRPDXXXX</td>
<td>Receipt point and delivery point</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRPDZRX</td>
<td>Receipt point, delivery point, and receipt zone</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRPDXXZD</td>
<td>Receipt point, delivery point, and delivery zone</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRPDZRZD</td>
<td>Receipt point, delivery point, receipt zone, and delivery zone</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRXXZRX</td>
<td>Receipt point, and receipt zone</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:

Description of Change:

No Technical Changes Needed

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the “request” surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:
There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline
Request No.: R96121 A-9

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**Sense of the Room:** March 5, 1997  
17 In Favor  
0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor: 2 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: __End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines __Producers __Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort.  
**R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

**Sense of the Room:** August 18, 1997  
12 In Favor  
0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor: __End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines __Producers __Services
Opposed: __End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines __Producers __Services

Data Element: Location Indicator
Documents: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

**MOTION:**

No definition necessary for any of the Location Indicator code value descriptions.

**Sense of the Room:** October 15, 1997  
12 In Favor  
0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor: __End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines __Producers __Services
Opposed: __End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines __Producers __Services
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline  Request No.: R96121 A-9

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room:  April 8, 1998  7 In Favor  0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services
Opposed:  End-Users  LDCs  Pipelines  Producers  Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR:  Deemed no definition necessary for these code values, data element definition and code value
descriptions were adequate.