RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-7

1. Recommended Action:  
   ___Accept as requested  
   _X_ Accept as modified below  
   ___Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:  
   _X_ Initiation  
   _X_ Modification  
   ___Interpretation  
   ___Withdrawal  
   ___Principle (x.1.z)  
   ___Definition (x.2.z)  
   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
   ___Document (x.4.z)  
   ___Data Element (x.4.z)  
   _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)  
   _X_ X12 Implementation Guide  
   ___Business Process Documentation

   Per Recommendation:  
   _X_ Initiation  
   _X_ Modification  
   ___Interpretation  
   ___Withdrawal  
   ___Principle (x.1.z)  
   ___Definition (x.2.z)  
   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
   ___Document (x.4.z)  
   ___Data Element (x.4.z)  
   _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)  
   _X_ X12 Implementation Guide  
   ___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

   CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

   Document Name and No.:  
   Pre-determined Allocation, 2.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allocation Rank Indicator</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Indicates that the allocation rank level or method is applicable when the quantity to be allocated is greater than the scheduled quantity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Indicates that the allocation rank level or method is applicable when the quantity to be allocated is less than the scheduled quantity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Change:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Technical Changes Needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:
There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997</th>
<th>17 In Favor</th>
<th>0 Opposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment Check (if applicable):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Favor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 End-Users</td>
<td>4 LDCs</td>
<td>5 Pipelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Producers</td>
<td>3 Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-Users</td>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>Pipelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-7

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: R96121A is assigned to the code value clean-up effort. R96121B is assigned to the definitions on the request.

Data Element: Allocation Rank Indicator
Documents:  Pre-determined Allocations, 2.4.1

MOTION:
Adopt following code value descriptions and definitions:
[See table of code values in Section 3 of this Recommendation Form.]

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: April 8, 1998  7 In Favor  0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:  ___End-Users  ___LDCs  ___Pipelines  ___Producers  ___Services
Opposed:  ___End-Users  ___LDCs  ___Pipelines  ___Producers  ___Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved new code value definitions without opposition.