RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline  Request No.: R96121 A-5

1. Recommended Action:
   ___Accept as requested
   X Accept as modified below
   ___Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:
   X Change to Existing Practice
   ___Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:                                                  Per Recommendation:
   X Initiation                                                  X Initiation
   X Modification                                                X Modification
   ___Interpretation                                            ___Interpretation
   ___Withdrawal                                                ___Withdrawal

   ___Principle (x.1.z)                                          ___Principle (x.1.z)
   ___Definition (x.2.z)                                         ___Definition (x.2.z)
   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)                        ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   ___Document (x.4.z)                                           ___Document (x.4.z)
   ___Data Element (x.4.z)                                       X Data Element (x.4.z)
   X Code Value (x.4.z)                                          X Code Value (x.4.z)
   X X12 Implementation Guide                                   X X12 Implementation Guide
   ___Business Process Documentation                            ___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Adjustment Method</td>
<td>Indicates how a prior period adjustment is presented.</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Acct Adj Mthd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment Type</td>
<td>Indicates whether a prior-period adjustment is processed as a reversal,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Adj Type)</td>
<td>restatement or net.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Recommendation to GISB Executive Committee

**Requester:** ANR Pipeline  
**Request No.:** R96121 A-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method (Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj Mthd)</th>
<th>Indicates how a service requester level prior period adjustment is presented.</th>
<th>SO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Requester Level Adjustment Type (Svc Req Lvl Adj Type)</td>
<td>Indicates whether a prior period adjustment is processed as a reversal, restatement or net.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

**Document Name and No.:** Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method (Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj Mthd)</td>
<td>Indicates how a service requester level prior period adjustment is presented.</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Requester Level Adjustment Type (Svc Req Lvl Adj Type)</td>
<td>Indicates whether a prior period adjustment is processed as a reversal, restatement or net.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates Common Code

### Code Values Log (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Adjustment Method (Acct Adj Mthd)</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>REV</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
<td>Negates the previously invoiced line item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>Restatement</td>
<td>Presents the revised invoice line item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Net</td>
<td>Difference between the previously invoiced and the revised line item.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Requester: ANR Pipeline  Request No.: R96121 A-5

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1
Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method (Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj Mthd)</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>REV</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
<td>Negates the previously invoiced line item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>Restatement</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presents the revised invoice line item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Net</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference between the previously invoiced and the revised line item.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Invoice Related Standards, Technical Implementation of Business Process description for Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Language:

[Revise data element name from Adjustment Type to Accounting Adjustment Method where present in the text.]

Add the following language as the second to last paragraph:

"When presenting an accounting adjustment, there are two alternative presentations. (1) The reversal and restatement accounting adjustment methods may be used as a pair to back out and replace invoice data; or (2) the net accounting adjustment method may be used to present the adjustment amount between the previously invoiced amount and the revised amount."

Standards Book: Invoice Related Standards, Technical Implementation of Business Process description for Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

Language:

[Revise data element name from Service Requester Level Adjustment Type to Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method where present in the text.]
TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)  
Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice (3.4.x)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Change:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G811TSIN - Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Element Xref to X12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-detail SI: Change &quot;Adjustment Type&quot; to &quot;Accounting Adjustment Method&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Detail ITA (for Service Requester Level): change &quot;Service Requester Level Adjustment Type&quot; to &quot;Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X12 Mapping</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Detail ITA Segment (for Service Requester Level): change &quot;Service Requester Level Adjustment Type&quot; to &quot;Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction Set Tables</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail - HL03 = ‘9’)&quot; table: change data element &quot;Adjustment Type&quot; to &quot;Accounting Adjustment Method&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **G811SRCA - Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice (3.4.4?)** |
| **Data Element Xref to X12** |
| Detail ITA: change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method" |
| **X12 Mapping** |
| Detail ITA Segment: change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method" |
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:
There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997 17 In Favor 0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: 2 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: R96121A is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. R96121B is assigned to the definitions on the request.
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Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5

Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997  12 In Favor  0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:  _End-Users  _LDCs  _Pipelines  _Producers  _Services
Opposed:  _End-Users  _LDCs  _Pipelines  _Producers  _Services

DATA ELEMENT: Adjustment Type

MOTION:

Delete ‘Adjustment Type’ data element from Invoice and replace with ‘Accounting Adjustment Method’.

Delete following code value descriptions from Adjustment Type: Reversal, Restatement, Net.
Add the following new data element and associated code value descriptions to the Invoice:
[See tables displayed in Section 3 of this Recommendation.]

Revisions to Technical Implementation of Business Process for Invoice:
Revise data element name from Adjustment Type to Accounting Adjustment Method.

Add the following language as the second to last paragraph:

"When presenting an accounting adjustment, there are two alternative presentations. (1) The reversal and restatement accounting adjustment methods may be used as a pair to back out and replace invoice data; or (2) the net accounting adjustment method may be used to present the adjustment amount between the previously invoiced amount and the revised amount."

Sense of the Room: October 15, 1997  11 In Favor  0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor:  _End-Users  _LDCs  _Pipelines  _Producers  _Services
Opposed:  _End-Users  _LDCs  _Pipelines  _Producers  _Services

IR revised to Accounting Adjustment Method as part of code value clean-up. On new SR Invoice (R97031B), we used old name (Service Requester Level Adjustment Type). Reversal, Restatement & Net are the code value descriptions.

MOTION:
We will revise data element name for consistency. And verify that code value definitions are still applicable. Revisions to Invoice and SR Level Invoice.

Data Element: Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method
Definition: Indicates how a service requester level prior period adjustment is presented.
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Revised Code Value Descriptions and Definitions will remain as is. No changes necessary.

 Sense of the Room: April 15, 1997  12 In Favor  0 Opposed
 Segment Check (if applicable):
 In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services
 Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

Technical Subcommittee
April 8, 1998: Pass recommendation back to IR to determine impact to Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice. No opposition.

April 29, 1998: Implement per IR instructions.

 Sense of the Room: April 29, 1998  6 In Favor  0 Opposed
 Segment Check (if applicable):
 In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services
 Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved modified data element and code value definitions, along with relevant documentation changes without opposition.