1. **Recommended Action:**
   - Accept as requested
   - **X** Accept as modified below
   - __Decline

   **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**
   - __X__ Change to Existing Practice
   - __Status Quo__

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

   **Per Request:**
   - X Initiation
   - X Modification
   - __Interpretation__
   - __Withdrawal__
   - __Principle (x.1.z)__
   - __Definition (x.2.z)__
   - __Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)__
   - __Document (x.4.z)__
   - __Data Element (x.4.z)__
   - X Code Value (x.4.z)
   - __X12 Implementation Guide__
   - __Business Process Documentation__

   **Per Recommendation:**
   - __X__ Initiation
   - __X__ Modification
   - __Interpretation__
   - __Withdrawal__
   - __Principle (x.1.z)__
   - __Definition (x.2.z)__
   - __Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)__
   - __Document (x.4.z)__
   - __Data Element (x.4.z)__
   - X Code Value (x.4.z)
   - __X12 Implementation Guide__
   - __Business Process Documentation__

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

   **Document Name and No.:** Confirmation, 1.4.4
   Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
   Scheduled Quantity for Operators, 1.4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>CBL</td>
<td>Contract Balancing</td>
<td>Reduction due to mismatched requested quantities within a contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>Credit Issues</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FMJ</td>
<td>Force Majeure</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PLM</td>
<td>Pipeline Maintenance</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRN</td>
<td>Confirmation Response Not Received</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>Confirming Party Reduction</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ECM</td>
<td>Exceeded Contract MDQ</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requester: ANR Pipeline</th>
<th>Request No.: R96121 A-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| EPM         | Exceeded Point MDQ     | [No definition necessary] |
| PLC         | Pipeline Curtailment   | [No definition necessary] |
| GQS         | Gas Quality Specifications Not Met | [No definition necessary] |
| SRP         | Storage Ratchet Provision | A decrease in quantity due to storage inventory level. |
| CRR         | Confirmation Response not Received for Receipt Location | [No definition necessary] |
| PRR         | Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location | [No definition necessary] |
| CRD         | Confirmation Response not Received for Delivery Location | [No definition necessary] |
| PRD         | Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location | [No definition necessary] |
| CRE         | Capacity Recalled      | Reduction due to capacity recall by releasing shipper. |

## TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

### Document Name and No.: 

#### Description of Change:

No Technical Changes Needed

## 4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

### a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

### b. Description of Recommendation:

**Executive Committee**

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:**

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this
should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997

In Favor: 17 End-Users 3 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 0 Producers 0 Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: R96121A is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. R96121B is assigned to the definitions on the request.

Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997

In Favor: 12 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 0 Producers 0 Services

Reduction Reason data element codes:

Pipeline Capacity Constraint: A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system. [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Contract Balancing: Reduction due to mismatched requested quantities within a contract. [new definition]

Credit Issues: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Force Majeure: [no definition necessary] [new definition]
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline  Request No.: R96121 A-4

Pipeline Maintenance: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirmation Response Not Received: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Exceeded Contract MDQ: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Exceeded Point MDQ: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Pipeline Curtailment: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Gas Quality Specifications Not Met: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint: A constraint on the Confirming Party's system. [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Storage Ratchet Provision: A decrease in quantity due to storage inventory level. [new definition]

Confirmation Response not Received for Receipt Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirmation Response not Received for Delivery Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Capacity Recalled: Reduction due to capacity recall by releasing shipper.

Quantity Exceeds MDQ of Associated Contract: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location: A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system. [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location: A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system. [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Beginning/Ending Date/Time: [No definition necessary] [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Location: [No definition necessary] [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Contractual Flow Indicator: [No definition necessary] [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Downstream Contract Identifier Not Processed: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Upstream Contract Identifier Not Processed: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Confirmation Service Contract Not Processed: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Service Requester: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Upstream Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Downstream Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Upstream Contract Identifier: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Downstream Contract Identifier: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Service Requester Contract: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Confirmation Service Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Associated Contract: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

No Corresponding Nomination: A nomination does not exist which matches up at the applicable level. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities. [current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (as modified)]

No Corresponding Nomination at Receipt Location: A nomination at the receipt location does not exist which matches up at the applicable level. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities. [current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (w/EC modification)]

No Corresponding Nomination at Delivery Location: A nomination at the delivery location does not exist which matches up at the applicable level. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities. [current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (as modified)]
RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Motion: Adopt new and modified definitions for reduction reason codes listed above.

Sense of the Room: September 10, 1997
In Favor: 9
Opposed: 0

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Technical Subcommittee

No technical changes needed.

Sense of the Room: October 29, 1997
In Favor: 6
Opposed: 0

Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services
Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved code value definitions without opposition.