1. **Recommended Action:**
   - ___Accept as requested
   - ___Accept as modified below
   - ___Decline
   - X Accept as requested

2. **TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**
   - Per Request:
     - X Initiation
     - X Modification
     - _X Interpretation
     - ___Withdrawal
   - Per Recommendation:
     - X Initiation
     - X Modification
     - ___Interpretation
     - ___Withdrawal

   - ___Principle (x.1.z)
   - ___Definition (x.2.z)
   - ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   - ___Document (x.4.z)
   - ___Data Element (x.4.z)
   - X Code Value (x.4.z)
   - ___X12 Implementation Guide
   - ___Business Process Documentation

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice Type</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Critical Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>System or Segment Maintenance</td>
<td>Notice of scheduled repair or maintenance to system/segment facilities or equipment which may impact services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Curtailment</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>System-Wide or Segment-Wide Operational Flow Order</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Force Majeure</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>A notice which is not encompassed by any other type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Press Release, Company News or Phone List, Etc.</td>
<td>[No definition necessary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gas Scheduling Capacity Constraint</td>
<td>Notice of a capacity constraint resulting from situations other than an Operational Flow Order, Curtailment or Force Majeure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Capacity Discount</td>
<td>A Transportation Service Provider’s firm capacity that is being offered to Service Requesters at a rate that is below the maximum tariff rate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Change:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G840SWNT - System Wide Notices (5.4.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X12 Mapping</td>
<td>MIT Segment: MIT01: delete code value 1; modify code values 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9; See Code Values Log</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

**a. Description of Request:**

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

**Executive Committee**

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:**

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.
PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**Sense of the Room:** March 5, 1997    17 In Favor    0 Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):
In Favor: 2 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: __End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

**Sense of the Room:** August 18, 1997    12 In Favor    0 Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):
In Favor: 2 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services
Opposed: __End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

**Definitions for the types of notices:**

- **Capacity Constraint**
  Notice of a capacity constraint resulting from situations other than an Operational Flow Order, Curtailment or Force Majeure.
- **Capacity Discount**
  [To be defined at next IR meeting]
- **Curtailment**
  No definition necessary
- **Force Majeure**
  No definition necessary
- **Maintenance**
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Notice of scheduled repair or maintenance to system/segment facilities or equipment which may impact services.

- **Operational Flow Order**
  No definition necessary

- **Press Release, Company News or Phone List**
  No definition necessary

- **Other**
  A notice which is not encompassed by any other type.

**Sense of the Room:** February 18, 1998   9  In Favor   0  Opposed

**Segment Check (if applicable):**
- In Favor:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services
- Opposed:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

**MOTION** to adopt the following definition for ‘Capacity Discount’:
“A Transportation Service Provider’s firm capacity that is being offered to Service Requesters at a rate that is below the maximum tariff rate.”

**Sense of the Room:** April 23, 1998   5  In Favor   0  Opposed

**Segment Check (if applicable):**
- In Favor:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services
- Opposed:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

**Technical Subcommittee**

**Sense of the Room:** April 29, 1998   6  In Favor   0  Opposed

**Segment Check (if applicable):**
- In Favor:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services
- Opposed:  ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

c. **Business Purpose:**

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. **Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**