**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**       **Request No.: R96121 A-21**

**1. Recommended Action:**          **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**
\_\_\_Accept as requested             \_X\_Change to Existing Practice
\_X\_Accept as modified below         \_\_\_Status Quo
\_\_\_Decline

**2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

**Per Request:**                    **Per Recommendation:**

\_X\_Initiation                       \_X\_Initiation
\_X\_Modification                     \_X\_Modification
\_\_\_Interpretation                   \_\_\_Interpretation
\_\_\_Withdrawal                       \_\_\_Withdrawal


\_\_\_Principle (x.1.z)                \_\_\_Principle (x.1.z)
\_\_\_Definition (x.2.z)               \_\_\_Definition (x.2.z)
\_\_\_Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)   \_\_\_Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
\_\_\_Document (x.4.z)                 \_\_\_Document (x.4.z)
\_\_\_Data Element (x.4.z)             \_\_\_Data Element (x.4.z)
\_X\_Code Value (x.4.z)               \_X\_Code Value (x.4.z)
\_X\_X12 Implementation Guide         \_X\_X12 Implementation Guide
\_\_\_Business Process Documentation   \_\_\_Business Process Documentation


**3. RECOMMENDATION**


**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** UPPD - Bidder Confirmation Validation, 5.4.11

| Business Name | Usage | Code Value | Code Value Description | Code Value Definition |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Validation Code | C | | | |
| [Header Level Errors] | | E500 | Missing Transportation Service Provider | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E501 | Invalid Transportation Service Provider | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E502 | Missing Offer Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E503 | Invalid Offer Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E504 | Missing Bid Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E505 | Invalid Bid Number | *[No definition necessary]* |

| | | E506 | Offer Number is not associated with Bid Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | E507 | Bid Number is not associated with bidder | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E508 | Invalid Bidder Company Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E509 | Missing Bidder Contact Name | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | ~~E510~~ | ~~Missing Bidder Contact Number (Phone, Fax, Email)~~ | |
| | | *E525* | *Missing Bidder Contact Phone Number* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | *E526* | *Missing Bidder Contact Fax Number* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | *E527* | *Missing Bidder Contact E-mail Address* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E511 | Missing Confirmation Response Code/Response Reason Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E512 | Invalid Confirmation Response Code/Response Reason Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E513 | Missing Authorization Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E514 | Invalid Authorization Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E515 | Missing Response Reason Text | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E516 | Missing UPPD Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E517 | Invalid UPPD Number | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E518 | Missing Return Addressee Contact Name | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | ~~E519~~ | ~~Missing Return Addressee Contact Number (Phone, Fax, Email)~~ | |
| | | *E528* | *Missing Return Addressee Contact Phone Number* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | *E529* | *Missing Return Addressee Contact Fax Number* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | *E530* | *Missing Return Addressee Contact E-mail Address* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E520 | Missing Bidder Company Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E521 | Missing Return Addressee Company Code | *[No definition necessary]* |

| | | E522 | Invalid Return Addressee Company Code | *[No definition necessary]* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | E523 | Invalid Associated Contract | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | E524 | Missing Associated Contract | *[No definition necessary]* |
| [Header Level Warnings] | | W800 | Authorization Code not ~~Processed~~ *used* | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W801 | Bidder Contact Name not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W802 | Bidder Contact Phone Number not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W803 | Bidder Contact Fax Number not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W804 | Bidder Contact E-mail Address not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W805 | Return Addressee Contact Name not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W806 | Return Addressee Contact Phone Number not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W807 | Return Addressee Contact Fax Number not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W808 | Return Addressee Contact E-mail *Address* not processed | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W809 | Invalid Associated Contract | *[No definition necessary]* |
| | | W810 | Missing Associated Contract | *[No definition necessary]* |

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:**          UPPD-Bidder Confirmation Validation (5.4.11)

| Description of Change: |
|---|
| **G824UDCV - UPPD - Bidder Confirmation Validation (5.4.11)** |
| **Transaction Set Tables** |
| "REF Segments (Detail)" table:  data element Validation Code:  delete error codes E510, E519;  modify wording and/or capitalization on error code description:  E507 and warning code descriptions W800, W804, W808;  See Code Values Log for specifics |
| "REF Segments (Detail)" table:  data element Validation Code:  add the following new error codes and error code descriptions:  E525 - E530;  See Code Values Log |

## 4.  SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

**a.  Description of Request:**

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B.  Please reference the Executive  Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

**b.  Description of Recommendation:**

**Executive Committee**

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:
There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable.  Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort.  This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**Sense of the Room:**  March 5, 1997          17  In Favor          0   Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| In Favor : | 2 End-Users | 4 LDCs | 5 Pipelines | 3 Producers | 3 Services |
| Opposed: | End-Users | LDCs | Pipelines | Producers | Services |

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note:  No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional.  There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

This request is split into two parts:  **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort.
                                        **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

## RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Requester:  ANR Pipeline**          **Request No.: R96121 A-21**

**Sense of the Room:**  August 18, 1997          __12__ In Favor          __0__ Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):
In Favor :          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services
Opposed:          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services


**MOTION** to make revisions / additions to code value descriptions and definitions for Validation Code data element in the UPPD Bidder Confirmation Validation.

**Sense of the Room:**  April 15, 1998          __5__ In Favor          __0__ Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):
In Favor :          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services
Opposed:          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services


**Technical Subcommittee**

**Sense of the Room:**  April 30, 1998          __5__ In Favor          __0__ Opposed
**Segment Check** (if applicable):
In Favor :          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services
Opposed:          ___End-Users          ___LDCs          ___Pipelines          ___Producers          ___Services


**c.  Business Purpose:**

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.


**d.  Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**