RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline Company Request No.: R96121(B)

1. Recommended Action:  Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:
   ___Accept as requested  ___X Change to Existing Practice
   _X_ Accept as modified below  ___Status Quo
   ___Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

   Per Request:  Per Recommendation:
   _X_ Initiation  _X_ Initiation
   _X_ Modification  _X_ Modification
   ___ Interpretation  ___ Interpretation
   ___ Withdrawal  ___ Withdrawal
   ___ Principle (x.1.z)  ___ Principle (x.1.z)
   ___ Definition (x.2.z)  ___ Definition (x.2.z)
   ___ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  ___ Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
   ___ Document (x.4.z)  ___ Document (x.4.z)
   ___ Data Element (x.4.z)  ___ Data Element (x.4.z)
   _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)  _X_ Code Value (x.4.z)
   _X_ X12 Implementation Guide  _X_ X12 Implementation Guide
   ___ Business Process Documentation  ___ Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.:  Transportation /Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
<th>Code Value Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge Type</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>FOC</td>
<td>Facility Outage Credit</td>
<td>Reservation credit for facility outage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OCC</td>
<td>Off-system Capacity Charge</td>
<td>A charge assessed to a service requester for using the transportation service provider's capacity on an upstream/downstream transportation service provider.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:  Transportation/Sales Invoice 3.4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Change:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G811TSIN - Transportation/Sales Invoice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Set Tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail - HL03 = ‘9’)&quot; table - add code values &quot;FOC&quot;, &quot;OCC&quot; to data element Charge Type. See Code Values Log.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Define existing X12 Charge Types as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code:</th>
<th>Definition:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Constraint Credit</td>
<td>Reservation Credit for Facility Outage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Sales Charge</td>
<td>A charge assessed to a shipper for using another party's transportation capacity on an upstream/downstream pipeline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Needed to adequately describe charges on the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Information Requirements Subcommittee

These Charge Types exist but the code values do not have definitions. Requester wants to develop definitions so they know whether these code values correspond to their existing business practices or whether new code values need to be developed.

IR will leave the existing code values undefined at this time and add new code values for the Requester's definition.

This request is split into two parts: R96121A, defining Capacity Constraint Credit and Target Sales Charge is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. R96121B is assigned to the definitions on the request, as modified below.

R96121B: Add two new code values:

Facility Outage Credit  Definition:  Reservation credit for facility outage.

Off-system Capacity Charge  Definition:  A charge assessed to a service requester for using the transportation service provider's capacity on an upstream/downstream transportation service provider.
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Requester: ANR Pipeline Company    Request No.: R96121(B)

Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997    12 In Favor    0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services
Opposed: ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: September 8, 1997    8 In Favor    0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor: ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services
Opposed: ___End-Users ___LDCs ___Pipelines ___Producers ___Services

c. Business Purpose:

Will help customers with the understanding of the charges on their transportation/sales invoice currently being processed.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR was reluctant to define existing codes which had the potential of affecting those companies already utilizing them. However, IR did agree to incorporate the defined code values from the request under different names to avoid any potential negative effect. The effort to define the existing codes was transferred to the "code cleanup effort."