RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96011

Type of Request (check all that apply) (E-5):
- A-3  ____  New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1  ____  New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6  ____  Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2  ____  New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2  ____  Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
- A-4  ____  New Business Practice Standard
- A-5  ____  Revision to Business Practice Standard

Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4): These additional Reduction Reasons are necessary to specify the reason that a nomination quantity has been rejected or reduced.

Applicable Documents: Scheduled Quantity

Associated Revisions: N/A

Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language: No

Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? If So, State Task Force Referred: No

Sense of the Room Results: 15 In Favor; 1 Opposed

Executive Committee Sponsor: Norm Walker

GISB Subcommittee/Task Force: Market Execution Task Force

Requester: Tenneco Energy

Due Date (E-6): 3/97
### Scheduled Quantity --

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Code Value</th>
<th>Code Value Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Balanced Corrected Routes</td>
<td>Change to scheduled quantity that results from a correction and is made in a manner that scheduled receipts and deliveries remain in balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction Reason</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Unbalanced Corrected Routes</td>
<td>Change to scheduled quantity that results from a correction and is made in a manner that scheduled receipts and deliveries are out of balance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>