1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Accept as requested  
Accept as modified below  
Decline

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT

Change to Existing Practice  
Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

Initiation  
Modification  
Interpretation  
Withdrawal  
Principle (x.1.z)  
Definition (x.2.z)  
Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
Document (x.4.z)  
Data Element (x.4.z)  
Code Value (x.4.z)  
X12 Implementation Guide  
Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

Initiation  
Modification  
Interpretation  
Withdrawal  
Principle (x.1.z)  
Definition (x.2.z)  
Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)  
Document (x.4.z)  
Data Element (x.4.z)  
Code Value (x.4.z)  
X12 Implementation Guide  
Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:

- Create new Additional Standards book
- Modify the Executive Summary Section of the Capacity Release Related Standards Implementation Guide
BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Additional Standards

Language: See Attached

Standards Book: Capacity Release Related Standards

Language: Modify the Executive Summary Section by adding the following paragraph after the section titled ‘Transactional Reporting’ and before the section titled “Business Use of Capacity Release Related Transactions”:

Creditworthiness

Certain creditworthiness standards relate to the awarding of capacity and the notification to original releasing shippers when the replacement shipper has received specified credit or service related notifications. Additional creditworthiness related standards can be found in the Additional Standards Implementation Guide.

Language: Modify section C. Capacity Release Related Standards of the Business Process and Practices section to add the following standards in numeric order at the end:

5.3.zD The Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should not award capacity release offers to the Service Requester (SR) until and unless the SR meets the TSP’s creditworthiness requirements applicable to all services that it receives from the TSP, including the service represented by the capacity release.

5.3.zF The Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should provide the original releasing shipper with Internet E-mail notification reasonably proximate in time with any of the following formal notices given by the TSP to the releasing shipper’s replacement shipper(s), of the following:

(1) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s past due, deficiency, or default status pursuant to the TSP’s tariff;
(2) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s suspension of service notice;
(3) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s contract termination notice due to default or credit-related issues; and
(4) Notice to the replacement shipper that the replacement shipper(s) is no longer creditworthy and has not provided credit alternative(s) pursuant to the TSP’s tariff.
TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: [no changes needed]

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

b. Description of Recommendation:

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Motion: Adopt the following:

- A new Additional Standards book as reflected in the attached workpaper; and

- Modify the Executive Summary Section of the Capacity Release Related Standards Implementation Guide by adding the following paragraph after the section titled 'Transactional Reporting' and before the section titled "Business Use of Capacity Release Related Transactions":

Creditworthiness

Certain creditworthiness standards relate to the awarding of capacity and the notification to original releasing shippers when the replacement shipper has received specified credit or service related notifications. Additional creditworthiness related standards can be found in the Additional Standards Implementation Guide.

- Modify section C. Capacity Release Related Standards of the Business Process and Practices section of the Capacity Release Related Standards Implementation Guide to add the following standards in numeric order at the end:

5.3.zD The Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should not award capacity release offers to the Service Requester (SR) until and unless the SR meets the TSP’s creditworthiness requirements applicable to all services that it receives from the TSP, including the service represented by the capacity release.

5.3.zF The Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should provide the original releasing shipper with Internet E-mail notification reasonably proximate in time with any of the following formal notices given by the TSP to the releasing shipper’s replacement shipper(s), of the following:
RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: WGQ 2003 Annual Plan
Request No.: WGQ Annual Plan Item 6 (AP03006) – Creditworthiness

(1) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s past due, deficiency, or default status pursuant to the TSP’s tariff;
(2) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s suspension of service notice;
(3) Notice to the replacement shipper regarding the replacement shipper’s contract termination notice due to default or credit-related issues; and
(4) Notice to the replacement shipper that the replacement shipper(s) is no longer creditworthy and has not provided credit alternative(s) pursuant to the TSP’s tariff.

Balanced Vote: July 10, 2003 Motion Passes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Subcommittee
See minutes for the following NAESB WGQ Technical Subcommittee meetings:
August 4, 2003

Motion
No technical changes are needed for creditworthiness.

Balanced Vote: August 4, 2003 2 In Favor 0 Opposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>Vote For</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Vote Against</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

motion passes

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):
RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: WGQ 2003 Annual Plan
Request No.: WGQ Annual Plan Item 6 (AP03006) – Creditworthiness

The following pages contain the documentation necessary to create the new implementation manual:

Additional Standards
ADDITIONAL STANDARDS
The North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB") disclaims and excludes, and any user of the NAESB standard acknowledges and agrees to NAESB’s disclaimer of, any and all warranties, conditions or representations, express or implied, oral or written, with respect to the standard or any part thereof, including any and all implied warranties or conditions of title, non-infringement, merchantability, or fitness or suitability for any particular purpose (whether or not NAESB knows, has reason to know, has been advised, or is otherwise in fact aware of any such purpose), whether alleged to arise by law, by reason of custom or usage in the trade, or by course of dealing. Each user of the standard also agrees that under no circumstances will NAESB be liable for any special, incidental, exemplary, punitive or consequential damages arising out of any use of, or errors or omissions in, the standard.

Special Thanks and Acknowledgments to:

NAESB WGQ Member Companies for donating significant staff time to coordinate the publication of the ANSI ASC X12 guidelines.

FORESIGHT CORPORATION
For software used to develop the ANSI ASC X12 transaction sets.

NAESB WGQ SUBCOMMITTEES
For support and materials describing the business practices, related data sets, data set organization, data elements and data element formats, implementation guides and mapping.
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INTRODUCTION

The North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) is a voluntary non-profit organization comprised of members from all aspects of the greater gas industry. NAESB Wholesale Gas Quadrant (WGQ) Standards are a product of the North American Energy Standards Board. The NAESB mission is to take the lead in developing and implementing standards across the industry to simplify and expand electronic communication, and to streamline business practices. This will lead to a seamless North American marketplace for natural gas, as recognized by its customers, the business community, industry participants and regulatory bodies.

The standards are written as 'minimums,' which industry participants are encouraged to exceed (if they are not doing so already) through provision of value-added services and customized arrangements. NAESB defines ‘exceed the minimum standard’ to mean surpassing the standards without negative impact on contracting and non-contracting parties.

All of the standards have been adopted in the realization that as the industry evolves and uses the standards, additional and amended NAESB WGQ standards will be necessary. Any industry participant seeking additional or amended standards (including principles, definitions, standards, data elements, process descriptions, technical implementation instructions) should submit a request to the NAESB office, detailing the change, so that the appropriate process may take place to amend the standards.

TAB 1 Version Notes
Contains a summary of changes to this version and all preceding versions.

TAB 2 Introduction
Provides a background statement about NAESB’s Mission and the underlying concepts behind the design and use of this guide.

TAB 3 Executive Summary
Provides a brief outline of the industry business situation which is the basis for development of this guide.

TAB 4 Business Process & Practices
Provides a brief overview of the business process and the NAESB WGQ approved principles, definitions, standards and interpretations related to the business process covered by this guide.

TAB 5 Related Standards
Provides a reference to any related standards, including standards from other organizations, that were used in development of this set of standards or that relate to implementation of these NAESB WGQ standards.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The WGQ NAESB implementation guides are organized into five distinct areas of business activity: Nominations, Flowing Gas, Invoicing, EDM, and Capacity Release. Certain standards are in addition to these specific business activities. They could be topic specific, such as creditworthiness, or general in nature applying to multiple business activities. These types of standards have been grouped together in this Additional Standards implementation guide.

Creditworthiness:

This section includes standards which relate to the exchange of information, notification, and communication between parties during the creditworthiness evaluation process. Additional creditworthiness related standards can be found in the Capacity Release Related Standards Implementation Guide.
BUSINESS PROCESS AND PRACTICES

A. Overview

Within the NAESB WGQ communication of information, certain data is conveyed through the use of code values. In any given data set, the TSP supports the code values applicable to its business model. When submitting upload data sets to the TSP, the availability of a list of code values supported by the TSP enables the preparation of valid data sets. The Supported Code Value Information document provides such a list.

B. General Standards

Principles:

0.1.1 An entity is a person or organization with sufficient legal standing to enter into a contract or arrangement with another such person or organization (as such legal standing may be determined by those parties) for the purpose of conducting and/or coordinating natural gas transactions.

0.1.2 For NAESB WGQ purposes, there should be a unique entity common code for each entity name and there should be a unique entity name for each entity common code.

Standard:

0.3.1 Entity common codes should be “legal entities”, that is, Ultimate Location, Headquarters Location, and/or Single Location (in Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (“D&B”) terms). However, in the following situations, a Branch Location (in D&B terms) can also be an entity common code:

1. when the contracting party provides a D-U-N-S® Number at the Branch Location level; or
2. to accommodate accounting for an entity that is identified at the Branch Location level.

0.3.Z Parties should mutually agree to use the Transportation Service Provider’s proprietary entity code when the D-U-N-S® Number is not available.

(this standard was adopted by the EC May 20, 1999 and should be included in Version 1.7 to be published December 31, 2003)

C. Additional Standards

Creditworthiness:

Standards:

0.3.zB If the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) requests additional information to be used for credit evaluation after the initiation of service, the TSP, contemporaneous with the request, should provide its reason(s) for requesting the additional information to the Service Requester (SR) and designate to whom the response should be sent. The TSP and the SR may mutually agree to waive the requirements of this standard.

0.3.zC Upon receipt of either an initial or follow-up request from the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) for information to be used for creditworthiness evaluation, the Service
Requester’s (SR) authorized representative(s) should acknowledge receipt of the TSP’s request. The TSP and the SR may mutually agree to waive the requirements of this standard.

0.3.zD The Service Requester’s (SR) authorized representative(s) should respond to the Transportation Service Provider’s (TSP) request for credit information, as allowed by the TSP’s tariff, on or before the due date specified in the request. The SR should provide all the credit information requested by the TSP or provide the reason(s) why any of the requested information was not provided.

0.3.zE Upon receipt from the Service Requester (SR) of all credit information provided pursuant to applicable NAESB WGQ standards, the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should notify the SR’s authorized representative(s) that it has received such information. The TSP and the SR may mutually agree to waive the requirements of this standard.

0.3.zF The Service Requester (SR) should designate up to two representatives who are authorized to receive notices regarding the SR’s creditworthiness, including requests for additional information, pursuant to the applicable NAESB WGQ standards and should provide to the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) the Internet e-mail addresses of such representatives prior to the initiation of service. Written requests and responses should be provided via Internet E-mail, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties. The obligation of the TSP to provide creditworthiness notifications is waived until the above requirement has been met. The SR should manage internal distribution of any creditworthiness notices that are received.

The TSP should designate, on its Internet website or in written notices to the SR, the Internet e-mail addresses of up to two representatives who are authorized to receive notices regarding the SRs’ creditworthiness. The SR’s obligation to provide confirmation of receipt is met by sending such confirmation to such representatives, and the TSP should manage internal distribution of any such confirmations.

0.3.zK At any time after the Service Requester (SR) is determined to be non-creditworthy by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP), the SR may initiate a creditworthiness re-evaluation by the TSP. As part of the SR’s re-evaluation request, the SR should either update or confirm in writing the prior information provided to the TSP related to the SR’s creditworthiness. Such update should include any event(s) that the SR believes could lead to a material change in the SR’s creditworthiness.

0.3.zL After a Transportation Service Provider’s (TSP) receipt of a Service Requester’s (SR) request for re-evaluation, including all required information pursuant to NAESB WGQ Standard [0.3.zK] (“SR’s Request”), within five (5) Business Days, the TSP should provide a written response to the SR’s Request. Such written response should include either a determination of creditworthiness status, clearly stating the reason(s) for the TSP’s decision, or an explanation supporting a future date by which a re-evaluation determination will be made. In no event should such re-evaluation determination exceed twenty (20) Business Days from the date of the receipt of the SR’s Request unless specified in the TSP’s tariff or if the parties mutually agree to some later date.

0.3.zQ In complying with the creditworthiness related notifications pursuant to the applicable NAESB WGQ standards, the Service Requester(s) and the Transportation Service Provider may mutually agree to other forms of communication in lieu of Internet E-mail notification.
RELATED STANDARDS

Common Codes

A decision made in 1993 by a FERC-established standards development group (EBB Working Group 5) resulted in a location coding system which cross-references proprietary point codes to a common industry-supported location code. This common location code, called the GRID Code, was developed based on the American Petroleum Institute (API) well code model. The FERC, in Order 563-A, directed the industry to establish any necessary relationships and to proceed with the implementation of the GRID Code. To achieve this implementation, in August 1994 trade associations representing three segments of the natural gas industry entered into an agreement with Petroleum Information Corporation (PI) to develop and maintain the PI GRID™ Common Code database. As GISB prepared standards for capacity release (July 1995) and nominations (September 1995), GISB fully endorsed the use of the PI GRID™ common codes.

However, after extensive consideration by GISB’s Common Code Subcommittee, GISB adopted, on September 30, 1996, a new Common Code for Gas Transaction Points, the NAESB WGQ/PI Data Reference Number (generally referred to as “DRN”). The DRN is a one-to-nine digit, non-intelligent number also assigned by IHS (successor to PI), which has a one-to-one relationship with the PI GRID™ Code. For more information, access the NAESB Web Page at www.naesb.org.

In keeping with the trends in other industries involved with EDI, EBB Working Group 5 recommended the acceptance of the D-U-N-S® Number as a common company identifier. This recommendation was also adopted in FERC Order 563-A. The D-U-N-S® Number is assigned to companies by the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (D&B). Similarly, as GISB prepared standards for capacity release (July 1995) and nominations (September 1995), GISB fully endorsed the use of the D-U-N-S® Number common code.

For NAESB WGQ Common Code purposes, an entity will use one and only one D-U-N-S® Number. Entity common codes should be “legal entities,” that is, Ultimate Location, Headquarters Location, and/or Single Location (in Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (“D&B”) terms). However, in the following situations, a Branch Location (in D&B terms) can also be an entity common code: 1. When the contracting party provides a D-U-N-S® Number at the Branch Location level; or 2. to accommodate accounting for an entity that is identified at the Branch Location level. Since D&B offers customers the option of carrying more than one D-U-N-S® Number per entity, please refer to NAESB’s Web Page at www.naesb.org for directions on determining the one and only one D-U-N-S® Number constituting the NAESB WGQ Entity Common Code.

In the datasets, an asterisk by a data element means that it is a "common code," so the field will reflect the industry-supported common code for location or company. In the event that a common code is not available for a company, parties should mutually agree to use the Transportation Service Provider’s proprietary code for that company.

NAESB WGQ Electronic Data Interchange Trading Partner Agreement

In 1998, GISB adopted Standard 6.3.3, the NAESB WGQ Electronic Data Interchange Trading Partner Agreement (TPA) for exchange of data within the gas industry. The NAESB WGQ TPA defines the relationship of the sender and receiver of NAESB WGQ Standard ASC X12 documents. This agreement represents a complete set of balanced terms which a company should accept.

---

1 D-U-N-S® is a registered trademark of Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
whether it is sender or receiver of electronic documents. It has established all the data items necessary to exchange electronic documents in a step by step, fill in the blank model form. The use of the TPA minimizes preparation, negotiation and review time. This will allow more time for implementation of electronic commerce. Copies of this agreement may be obtained from the NAESB office or may be downloaded from the NAESB home page at www.naesb.org.

**Party Roles**

In all of the transaction sets, there are multiple parties that may be involved in the transaction. There are the Transportation Service Provider (a.k.a. Pipeline or Transporter), the Service Requester (a.k.a. Shipper), Service Requester Agent (a.k.a. Shipper’s Agent) and Third Party Service Provider (a.k.a. Third Party Agent). It is important to distinguish between the role of the Service Requester Agent and the Third Party Service Provider.

The Service Requester Agent is the party contractually authorized by the Service Requester to submit business transactions to the Transportation Service Provider on behalf of the Service Requester for a service requester contract. Once the Service Requester Agent is contractually authorized, the agent becomes the Service Requester for subsequent business transactions unless and until the agency relationship is terminated.

The Third Party Service Provider is the communications agent that the Service Requester or Service Requester Agent may subscribe to in order to send and receive transactions with the Transportation Service Provider.

It is possible that a single entity may, at times, provide the role of a Service Requester Agent for one party while providing the role of Third Party Service Provider for another party. Likewise, a single entity could be both Service Requester Agent and Third Party Service Provider for a single party.

In EDI implementation, the party that is authorized to send and receive transactions will be the party identified in the transmission envelope (ISA Header Segment). If the sending party is a Service Requester, Service Requester Agent or Third Party Service Provider, their appropriate identifiers will appear here. In all cases, the Transportation Service Provider, Service Requester and Service Requester Agent (if applicable) will be identified in the body of the transaction (N1 Name Segment).

**ANSI ASC X12 Standards**

The NAESB WGQ standards reflect an industry utilization of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) ASC X12 standards maintained by the Data Interchange Standards Association, Inc. (DISA). The technical implementation documents included in this manual reflect the NAESB WGQ subset of the ANSI ASC X12 standards versions. It is recommended that any industry participant who wishes to utilize the ANSI ASC X12 standards should also have a copy of the ANSI ASC X12 Standards Reference document for a full understanding of the X12 requirements. NAESB members may purchase an ANSI reference document through NAESB by contacting the NAESB office. Non-NAESB industry participants may purchase the reference document by contacting:

Manager of Publications
DISA
333 John Carlyle Street, Suite 600
Alexandria, VA 22314
As a member of ANSI, NAESB WGQ will utilize the ANSI ASC X12 standards and remain in full compliance. In all standards, occasions arise where the standard does not fully meet a need. NAESB WGQ recognizes this and will add interim usages and code values when required. When NAESB WGQ utilizes an interim solution, NAESB WGQ will apply to ANSI and the appropriate ANSI organizations for acceptance of the interim solution. ANSI’s final solution may provide a usage or code value different than the interim solution. NAESB WGQ standards will be updated to reflect the final solution.

The architecture of ASC X12 is designed for end to end communications. The translator that generates the ASC X12 file and envelope will assign control numbers and counts that will appear within the ISA/IEA segments of the transaction and within the GS/GE segments of the transaction. These numbers and counts allow the translator to ensure that all of the segments in an envelope and all of the data elements in an envelope have been received and that the transmission was complete.

ISA contents

The ISA segment marks the beginning of an X12 document. It can be equated to an envelope that a paper document would come in via the mail. The envelope may contain one or more functional groups (defined by the GS segment) and one or more transaction sets.

The ISA is the interchange control segment to be utilized on all NAESB WGQ X12 standards. The segment identifies the sender and receiver of the document. The Interchange Sender ID/Interchange Receiver ID is published by both the sender and receiver for other parties to use as the sender/receiver ID to route data to them. The sender must always code the sender’s ID in the sender element and the designated receiver’s ID in the receiver ID. Trading partners utilizing a password for their documents will use the Security Information element. The receiver of the document identifies a password for the sender to include in this element. This sender and receiver information is specified in the NAESB WGQ Electronic Data Interchange Trading Partner Agreement.

There are additional elements in the ISA segment. These elements are traditionally assigned by the sending party’s translator. These elements inform the receiver of the date/time that the envelope was generated, the X12 version number being utilized, whether the transmission is for test or production purposes, and what characters were used to designate the end of a sub element, element or segment. Different characters must be chosen for the sub element, element and segment delimiters. These delimiting characters must never appear in the data.

For more information on the ISA segment and the possible values for its elements, contact DISA at the above address or consult the appropriate version of the ANSI ASC X12 Standards Reference document corresponding to the NAESB WGQ transaction set being sent/received. Information about control segments (including the ISA and IEA) can be found in the Overview/Introduction and Control Standards sections of the reference document. Specific information about the ISA and IEA segments and corresponding elements can be found in the Segment Directory and Data Element Dictionary sections.

GS contents
The GS segment indicates the beginning of a functional group and provides control information for the data that follows it. A functional group can be defined as a group of transactions related to one business application. Within a mailing envelope, there may be a bundle of information relating to imbalances and a bundle of information relating to measurement information. Each of these ‘bundles’ is sent within its own (or a separate) GS Functional Group Header and a GE Functional Group Trailer in the X12 environment. The sender of a transmission provides the Application Sender’s Code that the receiver of the transmission will reflect back on acknowledging documents. The receiver of a transmission provides the Application Receiver’s Code that the sender will include in the transmission for the receiver to utilize in routing to internal applications. Group Control Numbers are originated and maintained by the sender of the document.

For more information on the GS segment and the possible values for its elements, contact DISA at the above address or consult the appropriate version of the ANSI ASC X12 Standards Reference document corresponding to the NAESB WGQ transaction set being sent/received. Information about control segments (including the GS and GE) can be found in the Overview/Introduction and Control Standards sections of the reference document. Specific information about the GS and GE segments and corresponding elements can be found in the Segment Directory and Data Element Dictionary sections.

997 Usage

The 997 Functional Acknowledgment is used to indicate the results of the syntactical analysis of the X12 documents. The documents include the transaction sets and functional groups with an ISA/IEA envelope. This standard covers all of the X12 and NAESB WGQ standard criteria that the receiver of the document has incorporated into the receiver’s translator. The translator may be set to accept all information into the receiver’s application processing, it may be set to accept only ANSI ASC X12 compliant information into the receiver’s application processing, or it may be set to accept only ANSI ASC X12 and NAESB WGQ compliant information into the receiver’s application processing. Compliance checking, in a translator, may be set to any of several levels. NAESB WGQ recommends that compliance checking be set to the element level in the Functional Acknowledgement.

The 997 informs the originator of the transaction whether the translator accepted the file, accepted it with errors, or rejected it. When errors occur, the 997 identifies the location and type of error that was encountered. Once a transaction passes the translator, the 997 is sent to the originator of the transaction and the data (if accepted) is passed on to the receiver’s business application for processing.

Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP)

The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is an application-level protocol with the lightness and speed necessary for distributed, collaborative, hypermedia information systems. It is a generic, stateless, object-oriented protocol which can be used for many tasks, such as name servers and distributed object management systems, through extension of its request methods (commands). A feature of HTTP is the typing of data representation, allowing systems to be built independently of the data being transferred.

HTTP has been in use by the World-Wide Web global information initiative since 1990. Appendix A of the Electronic Delivery Mechanism Related Standards manual contains a listing of the HTTP version(s) supported by NAESB WGQ.
HTTP transaction-set Code Values

The following table contains a list of code values to be used with the transaction-set data element, which is a mutually agreeable (MA) data element in the HTTP Request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HTTP transaction-set Code Values</th>
<th>NAESB WGQ Standard Number</th>
<th>Transaction Set Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G873NMST</td>
<td>1.4.1</td>
<td>Nomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G874NMQR</td>
<td>1.4.2</td>
<td>Nomination Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G873RQCF</td>
<td>1.4.3</td>
<td>Request for Confirmation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G873RRFC</td>
<td>1.4.4</td>
<td>Confirmation Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G873SQTS</td>
<td>1.4.5</td>
<td>Scheduled Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G873SQOP</td>
<td>1.4.6</td>
<td>Scheduled Quantity for Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G874CRQR</td>
<td>1.4.7</td>
<td>Confirmation Response Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G860PDAL</td>
<td>2.4.1</td>
<td>Pre-determined Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G865PDQR</td>
<td>2.4.2</td>
<td>Pre-determined Allocation - Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G865ALLC</td>
<td>2.4.3</td>
<td>Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G811IMBL</td>
<td>2.4.4</td>
<td>Shipper Imbalance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G867MSIN</td>
<td>2.4.5</td>
<td>Measurement Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G867MAUS</td>
<td>2.4.6</td>
<td>Measured Volume Audit Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G814RQIN</td>
<td>2.4.7</td>
<td>Request for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G814RRIN</td>
<td>2.4.8</td>
<td>Response to Request for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G811TSIN</td>
<td>3.4.1</td>
<td>Transportation/Sales Invoice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G820PYRM</td>
<td>3.4.2</td>
<td>Payment Remittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G822STAC</td>
<td>3.4.3</td>
<td>Statement of Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G811SRCA</td>
<td>3.4.4</td>
<td>Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G840CROF</td>
<td>5.4.1</td>
<td>Offer Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843CRBR</td>
<td>5.4.2</td>
<td>Bid Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843CRAN</td>
<td>5.4.3</td>
<td>Award Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G832CRRC</td>
<td>5.4.4</td>
<td>Replacement Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843CRWD</td>
<td>5.4.5</td>
<td>Withdrawal Download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G840UPWD</td>
<td>5.4.6</td>
<td>Withdrawal Upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G840UDOF</td>
<td>5.4.7</td>
<td>Offer Upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843UDVL</td>
<td>5.4.8</td>
<td>Offer Upload Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G840UDRC</td>
<td>5.4.9</td>
<td>Offer Upload Notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843UDBC</td>
<td>5.4.10</td>
<td>Offer Upload Bidder Confirmation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G824UDCV</td>
<td>5.4.11</td>
<td>Offer Upload Bidder Confirmation Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G567UDFD</td>
<td>5.4.12</td>
<td>Offer Upload Final Disposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G840OAUC</td>
<td>5.4.13</td>
<td>Operationally Available and Unsubscribed Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP transaction-set Code Values</td>
<td>NAESB WGQ Standard Number</td>
<td>Transaction Set Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G846UPRD</td>
<td>5.4.14</td>
<td>Upload of Request for Download of Posted Datasets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G846RURD</td>
<td>5.4.15</td>
<td>Response to Upload of Request for Download of Posted Datasets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G864SWNT</td>
<td>5.4.16</td>
<td>System-Wide Notices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G864CRNS</td>
<td>5.4.17</td>
<td>Note/Special Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843BDUP</td>
<td>5.4.18</td>
<td>Bid Upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G843BDQR</td>
<td>5.4.19</td>
<td>Bid Upload Quick Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G997FNAK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Functional Acknowledgement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>