RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: National Fuel Gas Distribution Request No.: R03002

1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Accept as requested

X Accept as modified below

Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request: Per Recommendation:

Initiation

X Modification

X Withdrawal

X Principle (x.1.z)

Definition (x.2.z)

Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)

Document (x.4.z)

Data Element (x.4.z)

Code Value (x.4.z)

X12 Implementation Guide

Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:

- Delete NAESB WGQ Standards 1.1.6, 1.1.8, 1.19, 1.3.78, and 4.1.14
- Modify NAESB WGQ Standards 1.3.32, 4.3.1, and 4.3.2

STANDARDS LANGUAGE:

4.1.6 Currently, fuel reimbursement is handled by percent in-kind or alternative methods such as cost of service, cashout and simplified in-kind methods mutually agreed upon. A GISB task force should recommend to the Executive Committee by 1/1/97 a range of fuel reimbursement options and standard definitions of those options, as well as implementation schedules of the standards applicable to each option.

4.1.8 The industry should use common codes for location points and legal entities. Those standards are currently under development by the GISB Common Codes Task Force (GISB Version 1.0).
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4.1.9 The GISB Market Execution Task Force is working on the development of meaningful error messages. Business practices should be sent to the Market Execution Task Force and meaningful error messages should only be developed for those practices.

1.3.32 For services that provide for intraday nominations and scheduling, there is no limitation as to the number of intraday nominations (line items as per NAESB WGQ Standard 1.2.1) which a service requester may submit at any one standard nomination cycle or in total across all standard nomination cycles. Transportation Service Providers may (for an interim period expiring on April 1, 1999) limit Service Requesters to one transmittal of nominations per standard intraday nomination cycle, (excluding corrections of errors identified in the Quick Response).

4.3.78 The effective date for implementation of the TTT standards is the later of June 2000 or eight months following the publication of the TTT standards within the applicable NAESB WGQ standards manual.

4.1.14 The industry should use standard policies and guidelines for testing new data sets. These guidelines are currently being developed using the NAESB WGQ guideline adoption procedures (GAP) (GISB Version 1.0).

4.3.1 By 4/1/97, all parties sending and receiving data should accept a TCP/IP connection. At a minimum, sending and receiving parties should designate an Internet address as a designated site for the receipt and delivery of NAESB WGQ standardized data sets, subject to the successful completion of pilot testing by 1/1/97 to ensure that security, performance (within NAESB WGQ standard data transmission time), and reliability are acceptable. The NAESB WGQ data file format should be utilized. The Future Technology Task Force should determine the direction of outstanding issues such as security, archiving, receipt notification, etc., by 7/1/96.

4.3.2 On time stamping, data leaves control of the originator by the same time (deadline), regardless of mechanism (3rd party service provider time stamp is acceptable) and 15 minutes of communication time should be available to allow accumulation of all transactions to the pipeline. A standard network protocol (TCP/IP) should be in service for direct connect to the pipeline designated site by 4/1/97.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Review NAESB WGQ Version 1.6 Standards Booklets and Implementation Guides to remove references to actions and dates that have already passed (e.g. 1.1.6, 1.1.8, 1.3.32, 1.3.78, 2.1.5, 4.3.1, 4.3.2 and 7.3.25). Modifications to standards should maintain the intent and usage of the standards, however, recommendations to delete Standards, where appropriate, are a potential outcome.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee
R03002 was discussed at the following BPS meetings:
07/14/2003
07/22/2003
RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: National Fuel Gas Distribution  Request No.: R03002

07/30/2003
See meeting minutes for discussion and voting record.

Motion (motioned by Dale Davis, seconded by Bill Griffith)  7/30/2003
Adopt the modifications and deletions for NAESB WGQ Standards as follows:

1.1.6  Currently, fuel reimbursement is handled by percent-in-kind or alternative methods such as cost of service, cashout and simplified in-kind methods mutually agreed upon. A GISB task force should recommend to the Executive Committee by 1/1/97 a range of fuel reimbursement options and standard definitions of those options, as well as implementation schedules of the standards applicable to each option.

1.1.8  The industry should use common codes for location points and legal entities. Those standards are currently under development by the GISB Common Codes Task Force (GISB Version 1.0).

1.1.9  The GISB Market Execution Task Force is working on the development of meaningful error messages. Business practices should be sent to the Market Execution Task Force and meaningful error messages should only be developed for those practices.

1.3.32  For services that provide for intraday nominations and scheduling, there is no limitation as to the number of intraday nominations (line items as per NAESB WGQ Standard 1.2.1) which a service requester may submit at any one standard nomination cycle or in total across all standard nomination cycles. Transportation Service Providers may (for an interim period expiring on April 1, 1999) limit Service Requesters to one transmittal of nominations per standard intraday nomination cycle, (excluding corrections of errors identified in the Quick Response).

1.3.78  The effective date for implementation of the TTT standards is the later of June 2000 or eight months following the publication of the TTT standards within the applicable NAESB WGQ standards manual.

4.1.14  The industry should use standard policies and guidelines for testing new data sets. These guidelines are currently being developed using the NAESB WGQ guideline adoption procedures (GAP) (GISB Version 1.0).

4.3.1  By 4/1/97, all parties sending and receiving data should accept a TCP/IP connection. At a minimum, sending and receiving parties should designate an Internet address as a designated site for the receipt and delivery of NAESB WGQ standardized data sets subject to the successful completion of pilot testing by 1/1/97 to ensure that security, performance (within NAESB WGQ standard data transmission time), and reliability are acceptable. The NAESB WGQ data file format should be utilized. The Future Technology Task Force should determine the direction of outstanding issues such as security, archiving, receipt notification, etc., by 7/1/96.

4.3.2  On time stamping, data leaves control of the originator by the same time (deadline), regardless of mechanism (3rd party service provider time stamp is acceptable) and 15 minutes of communication time should be available to allow accumulation of all transactions to the pipeline. A standard network protocol (TCP/IP) should be in service for direct connect to the pipeline designated site by 4/1/97.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>Vote For</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Vote Against</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion passes unanimously (see vote 1 on the attendee list)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

**MOTION:**
After reviewing the proposed deletions and modifications to the standards identified in the minutes for the July 30, 2003 Business Practices Subcommittee for request R03002, IR determined that no changes are necessary to the documentation for which IR is responsible.

Balanced Vote: 8/5/03  2 In Favor  0 Opposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion Passes

Technical Subcommittee

**Motion**
[no technical changes are needed]

Balanced Vote: date  2 In Favor  0 Opposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>Vote For</th>
<th>Balanced For</th>
<th>Vote Against</th>
<th>Balanced Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Users</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion passes
c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):