RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requesters: El Paso Natural Gas Company   Request No: R00016

1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

___Accept as requested
_X_Accept as modified below
___Decline

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:
_X_Change to Existing Practice
___Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

___Initiation
_X_Modification
___Interpretation
___Withdrawal

___Principle (x.1.z)
___Definition (x.2.z)
___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
___Document (x.4.z)
___Data Element (x.4.z)
___Code Value (x.4.z)
___X12 Implementation Guide
___Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

___Initiation
_X_Modification
___Interpretation
___Withdrawal

___Principle (x.1.z)
___Definition (x.2.z)
___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
___Document (x.4.z)
___Data Element (x.4.z)
_X_Code Value (x.4.z)
___X12 Implementation Guide
___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:
- Add two code values to the Code Values Dictionary for the data element "Reduction Reason" in the following Data Dictionaries:
    - Confirmation Response (NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.4)
    - Scheduled Quantity (NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.5)

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.:   **Confirmation Response, NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.4**
                                Scheduled Quantity, NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.5

Reduction Reason

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Loop In	Pool loop in.	LPI
Loop Out	Pool loop out.	LPO

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:   **Confirmation Response, NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.3**
                                Scheduled Quantity, NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.5

Description of Change:
Confirmation Response
Transaction Set Tables

LQ Segments (Detail) table: For the Reduction Reason data element, add the reduction reason codes and their descriptions (in alphabetical order) in the LQ02 and LQ02 Description columns, respectively, as follows:
    LPI   Loop In
    LPO   Loop Out

Scheduled Quantity
Transaction Set Tables

LQ Segments (Sub-detail) table: For the Reduction Reason data element, add the reduction reason codes and their descriptions (in alphabetical order) in the LQ02 and LQ02 Description columns, respectively, as follows:
    LPI   Loop In
    LPO   Loop Out

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:
    Add the following 2 new transaction type code values in the Nominations, Scheduled Quantity, Pre-determined Allocation, Allocation, Shipper Imbalance and Transportation/Sales Invoice data sets:
        Imbalance Payback from Transportation Service Provider – Prior Business Period
        Imbalance Payback to Transportation Service Provider – Prior Business Period

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee
See minutes for the following NAESB WGQ Business Process Subcommittee meeting:
- April 12, 2001
- May 10, 2001

Motion: (motioned by Theresa Hess, seconded by Bill Hebenstreit)
The BPS sees no business practice work that should be done with this request and, therefore, transfers it to the Information Requirements Subcommittee to implement.

Procedural motion passes with no opposition

Information Requirements Subcommittee
See minutes for the following NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee meeting:
- January 14, 2003

Motion:
Add the following transaction types to the data sets listed below:
- 1.4.4   Confirmation Response
- 1.4.5   Scheduled Quantities

Reduction Reason:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Loop In	Pool loop in.	
Loop Out	Pool loop out.	

Balanced Vote: **January 14, 2003**   4.00 In Favor   0.00 Opposed

Vote	For	Against	Total	Balanced For	Balanced Against	Balanced Total
End Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
Pipelines	11	0	11	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
	13	0	13	4.00	0.00	4

Motion Passes

Technical Subcommittee

RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requesters: **El Paso Natural Gas Company**   **Request No: R00016**

Balanced Vote: August 4, 2003   3 In Favor   0 Opposed

Segments	Vote For	Balanced For	Vote Against	Balanced Against
End Users	0	0	0	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0
Services	1	1	0	0
Pipelines	4	2	0	0
Producers	0	0	0	0
Totals	**5**	**3**	**0**	**0**

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):