| **NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY STANDARDS BOARD** **2011 ANNUAL PLAN for the WHOLESALE ELECTRIC QUADRANT Approved by the Board of Directors on 12-8-11** | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Item Description** | | | | | **Completion[[1]](#endnote-1)** | **Assignment[[2]](#endnote-2)** |
| **1** | **Develop business practices standards as needed to complement reliability standards** | | | | | | |
|  | Develop business practice standards to support and complement NERC reliability standards, NERC policies and NERC standards authorization requests (SARs) using the NERC/NAESB Coordination Joint Standards Development Process as appropriate. Current NAESB activities underway to develop business practice standards that are supportive of this annual plan item are: | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Parallel Flow Visualization/Mitigation for Reliability Coordinators in the Eastern Interconnection – Permanent Solution  Note: Consideration should be given to provisional item 4. Work is being coordinated with the NERC IDC Working Group.  Status: Started | | | | 4th Q, 2011 | BPS |
|  | b) | Perform consistency review of WEQ-008 Transmission Loading Relief Business Practice Standards and develop recommendation.[[3]](#footnote-1) | | | | 2012 | BPS |
|  | c) | DCS and AGC (BAL-002 and BAL-005) Coordination with NERC  Status: Monitor. (Will require coordination with Balancing Authority Reliability Based Controls Group created in July 2010 NERC Project 2010-14) | | | | TBD2 | TIMTF |
|  | d) | Coordinate with NERC on the functional model glossary revisions [NERC Project 2010-08](http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Project2010-08_FM_Glossary_Revisions.html) | | | | TBD | SRS |
|  | e) | Coordinate with NERC on changes to the definition of Bulk Electric System [NERC Project 2010-17](http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Project2010-17_BES.html)  Status: Started (Initial review performed to determine whether NAESB should adopt revised NERC definition or maintain existing definition. Decision was to recommend adopting revised NERC definition.) | | | | 1st Q, 2012 | SRS |
| **2** | **Develop business practice standards in support of the FERC RM05-25-000 and RM05-17-000 (OATT Reform)[[4]](#footnote-2)** | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Develop version 2 business practice standards to better coordinate the use of the transmission system among neighboring transmission providers.  Status: Underway  Request R050004 was expanded to include the [Order No. 890 (Docket Nos.RM05-17-000 and RM02-25-000)](http://www.naesb.org/doc_view4.asp?doc=ferc041107.pdf), ([Order No. 890-A (Docket Nos. RM05-17-001, 002 and RM05-25-001, 002](http://www.naesb.org/doc_view2.asp?doc=ferc122807.pdf)), and [Order No. 890-B (Docket Nos. RM05-17-03 and RM05-25-03)](http://www.naesb.org/pdf3/ferc062308_order890b.doc) “Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Services” | | | | | |
|  |  | i) | | Group 3: Network Service On OASIS[[5]](#footnote-3) | | | |
|  |  |  | | 1. Use of OASIS to Make Electronic Requests to Designate and Terminate Network Resource   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Ability to Query Requests to Designate and Terminate Network Resources and Allow for Queries of All Information Provided with Designation Requests   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Masking of Designated Network Resource Operating Restrictions and Generating Cost Information   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Procedural Requirements for Submitting Designations over new OASIS Functionality   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Specify How Designated Network Service Informational Postings are Posted on OASIS   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Develop standards for the treatment of OASIS Requests when the Customer Fails to Provide the Necessary Attestation   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Procedural Requirements for Submitting Both Temporary and Indefinite Terminations of Network Resources   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Procedures for Submitting and Processing Requests for Concomitant Evaluations of Transmission Requests and Temporary Terminations   Status: Underway | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  | ii) | | Group 4: Pre-Emption; Request No. R05019 | | | |
|  |  |  | | 1. Pre-Emption   Status: Started | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Request No. R05019   Status: Started | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  | iii) | | Group 5: Paragraph 1377[[6]](#footnote-4) – Group 5 work should precede group 4 work3 | | | |
|  |  |  | | 1. Paragraph 1377-Coordination of Requests Across Multiple Transmission Systems   Status: Complete through the OASIS subcommittee, the WEQ EC approved July 8, 2011, ratification due August 11, 2011. | | 2nd Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Re-Bid Of Partial Service across Multiple Transmission Providers’ Systems   Status: Complete through the OASIS subcommittee, the WEQ EC approved July 8, 2011, ratification due August 11, 2011 | | 2nd Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Group DNR requests from a system with point-to-point requests on other systems for synchronization   Status: Complete through the OASIS subcommittee, the WEQ EC approved July 8, 2011, ratification due August 11, 2011 | | 2nd Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  | iv) | | Group 6: Miscellaneous (Paragraphs 1390[[7]](#footnote-5) and 1627[[8]](#footnote-6) of FERC Order No. 890) | | | |
|  |  |  | | 1. Paragraph 1390 of Order 890 – Terminations related to: deficient requests, customer failure to pay required annual reservation fee, and customer modifications to applications which are meaningfully different.   Status: Completed | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Paragraphs 1627 of Order 890 – Posting of additional information on OASIS regarding firm transmission curtailments   Status: Not Started | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 1. Redispatch Cost Posting to allow for posting of third party offers of planning redispatch services.   Status: Not Started | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  | b) | Develop the needed business practices to support existing Request No. [R05004](http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/r05004.doc): The processing of transmission service requests, which use TTC/ATC/AFC, in coordination with NERC changes to MOD 001 where the allocation of flowgate capability based on historical Network Native Load impacts the evaluation of transmission service requests, requiring the posting of those allocation values in conjunction with queries of service offerings on OASIS  Status: Underway | | | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
| **3** | **Develop business practices standards to improve the current operation of the wholesale electric market and develop and maintain business practice and communication standards for OASIS and Electronic Scheduling** | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Develop and/or maintain business practice standards as needed for OASIS and electronic scheduling. Specific items to address include: | | | | | |
|  |  | i) | | Network Services: Determine and develop needed business practice standards or other support is needed to support use of OASIS for Network Service transactions ([R04006E](http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/r04006E.doc)). (Related to AP 2(a)(iii) and AP 2(a)(i))  Status: Underway. | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  |  | ii) | | Registry (TSIN): Determine and develop needed business practice standards to support the registry functions currently supported by NERC ([R04037](http://www.naesb.org/pdf/r04037.doc), [R06027](http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/r06027.doc)) and transition the TSIN Registry from NERC to NAESB as the enhanced Electric Industry Registry (EIR).  Status: Underway. | | 1st Q, 2012 | NAESB/NERC Administration, JESS |
|  |  | iii) | | Make remaining incremental enhancements to OASIS as an outgrowth of the NAESB March 29, 2005 conference on the future of OASIS ([R05026](http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/r05026.doc)).  Scoping [statement](http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/weq_srs112006a1.doc) completed by SRS. There were a number of assignments from the Standards Request. The outstanding items are included below: | | | |
|  |  |  | | 1) | Eliminate Masking of TSR tag source and sink when requested status is denied, withdrawn refused, displaced, invalid, declined, annulled or retracted  Status: Not Started | 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 2) | Initiate standard that eliminates the disparity of posting “sensitive” information. This standard should also include procedures of user certification that allows access to this class of information.  Status: Underway (upon further development of this item by NAESB, a completion date will be determined) | 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  |  | | 3) | Enhance the TSR result postings to allow showing of (i) limiting transmission elements and (ii) available generation dispatch options that would allow acceptance of reservation request.  Status: Not Started (upon initiation of this item by NAESB, a completion date will be determined) | 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  | iv) | | Review and correct WEQ-004 Coordinate Interchange Business Practice Standard as needed based on activities in NERC Project 2008-12, Coordinate Interchange Standards Revisions and supporting EOP-002-2 R4 and R6.  Status: Underway. Completion date dependent upon coordination activities with NERC, and Project 2008-12 is delayed by NERC due to other higher priority development | | 2012 | JESS |
|  | b) | Develop and/or maintain standard communication protocols and cyber-security business practices as needed. | | | | | |
|  |  | i) | | Develop PKI certification program for e-Tag and OASIS  Status: Underway | | 3rd Q, 2011 | Board Certification Program Committee |
|  |  | ii) | | Develop PKI standards for OASIS.  Status: Not Started (upon initiation of this item by NAESB, a completion date will be determined) | | 2012 | OASIS |
|  |  | iii) | | Develop Industry Implementation Plan for meeting PKI Standard requirements for e-tagging.  Status: Underway. Full e-Tag implementation (server & client side) is linked to the transition of the Registry from NERC to NAESB and NAESB implementation. | | TBD – dependent on item above (i) and EIR | JESS |
| **4** | **Review and develop business practices standards to Demand Response, Demand Side Management and Energy** Efficiency Programs | | | | | | |
|  | Review and develop needed model business practices for a standardized method for quantifying benefits, savings, cost avoidance and/or the reduction in energy demand and usage derived from the implementation of demand side management and energy efficiency programs. This effort will include demand side response, energy efficiency programs and metering, including the 'curtailment service provider' program. | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Review the NAESB Business Practices for Measurement and Verification of Wholesale Electricity Demand Response (WEQ-015) in conjunction with the IRC developed Demand Response Matrix and identify business practice requirements that could be improved or made clearer through the addition of specific technical detail. The wholesale and retail demand response work groups and the Smart Grid Standards Subcommittees should actively and timely communicate and coordinate work products to ensure consistency between the three work groups. Each work group should take into account the work products developed by the other.  Status: Completed | | | | Phase 2 – 4th Q 2010 | WEQ Section of the Joint WEQ/REQ DSM-EE Subcommittee |
|  | b) | For each performance evaluation type/service type combination identified in WEQ-015, using the IRC matrix as a starting point, assess and determine what standards or guidelines, if any, should be developed to aid all participants in the use of measurement and verification methods for demand response programs in organized wholesale electric markets. If the determination is made that standards or guidelines will be developed, those items will be added as sub-items to 4(b).  Status: Completed | | | | Phase 2 – 4th Q 2010 | WEQ Section of the Joint WEQ/REQ DSM-EE Subcommittee |
|  | c) | Coordinate glossary updates for business practice standards with the Retail Electric Quadrant  Status: Ongoing | | | | Ongoing | Joint WEQ/REQ DSM Subcommittee and WEQ SRS and Retail Glossary |
|  | d) | Develop business practice standards used to measure and verify reductions in energy and demand from energy efficiency in wholesale and retail markets.[[9]](#endnote-3) This includes developing business practice standards to measure and verify energy reductions that are made to comply with a Renewable Portfolio Standard that included energy efficiency or a stand-alone Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard  Status: The WEQ EE standards are completed. | | | | 4th Q, 2010  Retail Standards 4th Q 2011 | Joint WEQ/REQ DSM-EE Subcommittee |
| **5** | **Maintain existing body of Version 2.x standards** | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Make consistency changes to Version 2.2 standards as directed by the WEQ Leadership Committee on December 12, 2007 OASIS Consistency Changes (R08001, R08002, R08003, R08005) Status: Completed | | | | 3rd Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  | b) | Consistent with ¶51 of FERC Order No. 890-A, add AFC and TFC values to the “System\_Attribute” data element of the NAESB Standard WEQ-003: OASIS S&CP Data Dictionaries. ([R08011](http://www.naesb.org/pdf3/r08011.doc)) Status: Started | | | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  | c) | Correct WEQ 013-2.6.7.2. – Resale off OASIS ([R08027](http://www.naesb.org/../pdf4/r08027.doc)) Status: Not Started | | | | TBD | OASIS |
|  | d) | Add language to WEQ-001-4 Online Negotiation and Confirmation process to clarify Table 4-3 ([R09003](http://www.naesb.org/../pdf4/r09003.doc)) Status: Started | | | | 1st Q, 2012 | OASIS |
|  | e) | Create a new OASIS mechanism that allows for the merger of like reservations without the use of the resale mechanism ([R09015](http://www.naesb.org/pdf4/r09015.doc)) Status: Not Started | | | | TBD | OASIS |
| **6.** | **Develop Smart Grid Wholesale and Retail Electric Standards -** The wholesale and retail demand response work groups and the Smart Grid task force should actively and timely communicate and coordinate work products to ensure consistency between the three work groups. Each work group should take into account the work products developed by the other. | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Develop requirements and use cases for PAP 03 – Pricing Model Phase 2 Status: Completed | | | | 4th Q, 2010 | Joint WEQ/REQ SGS Subcommittee |
|  | b) | Develop requirements and use cases for PAP 04 – Scheduling Model Phase 2 Status: Completed | | | | 4th Q, 2010 | Joint WEQ/REQ SGS Subcommittee |
|  | c) | Develop requirements and use cases for PAP 09 – Demand Response/Distributed Energy Resources Phase 2 Status: Completed | | | | 4th Q, 2010 | WEQ Section of the Joint WEQ/REQ SGS Subcommittee |
|  | d) | Develop standards to support PAP 10 – Standards Energy Usage Information | | | |  |  |
|  |  | i) | Develop Information Model and related business practices  Status: Completed | | | 4th Q, 2010 | Joint WEQ/REQ PAP 10 SGS Subcommittee |
|  |  | ii) | Develop standards to support PAP 10 – Standards Energy Usage Information, Phase 2, Harmonization with CIM and SEP 2.0 Status: Not Started, pending discussions with CIM and SEP 2.0 | | | 1st Q, 2012 | Joint WEQ/REQ PAP 10 SGS Subcommittee |
| **7.** | **Develop or modify standards to Support** [**FERC Order No. 676-E**](http://www.naesb.org/pdf4/ferc112409_order_676E.doc)**, (Docket No. RM 05-5-013)** | | | | | | |
|  | a) | Review standards 001-14.1.3 and 001-15.1.2 based on FERC Order No. 676-E (See ¶ 39[[10]](#footnote-7)) Status: Completed | | | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  | b) | Develop standards to support the Transmission Provider right to reassess the availability of conditional firm (See ¶ 72[[11]](#footnote-8)) Status: Started | | | | 4th Q, 2011 | OASIS |
|  | c) | Prepare status reports every six months regarding the development of standards for the coordination of transmission service requests across multiple transmission systems (See ¶ 105[[12]](#footnote-9)). This annual plan item is tied to Annual Plan Item 2(a)(iii) Status: Completed. | | | | 4th Q, 2011 | NAESB Office |

| **NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY STANDARDS BOARD 2011 ANNUAL PLAN for the WHOLESALE ELECTRIC QUADRANT Approved by the Board of Directors on 12-8-11** | |
| --- | --- |
| **PROVISIONAL ITEMS** | |
| 1 | Develop and or modify business practices related to support of NERC effort on the NERC Resources and Transmission Adequacy (Project 2009-05 Resource Adequacy Assessment). |
| 2 | Develop business practices for allocating capacity among requests received during a submittal window Order 890-A [(Docket Nos. RM05-17-001, 002 and RM05-25-001, 002](http://www.naesb.org/doc_view2.asp?doc=ferc122807.pdf) - Paragraph 805)[[13]](#footnote-10). |
| 3 | Determine any needed NAESB action in support of the Interchange Distribution Calculator (IDC) and develop any necessary standards. |
| 4 | Prepare recommendations for future path for TLR[[14]](#footnote-11) (Phase 2) in concert with NERC, which may include alternative congestion management procedures[[15]](#endnote-4). Work on this activity is dependent on completing 2010 WEQ Annual Plan 1.a (Parallel Flow Visualization/Mitigation for Reliability Coordinators in the Eastern Interconnection - Phase 1). |
| 5 | Develop complementary standards that align with NERC Project 2008-01 Voltage and Reactive Control, for which a white paper is expected after the SAR is authorized to proceed by the NERC Standards Committee. |
| 6 | Determine NAESB action needed to support FERC Action Plan for Smart Grid Technology. |
| 7 | Develop business practice standards for cap and trade programs for greenhouse gas |
| 8 | Conduct assessment to determine if Electric Industry Requirements documented in WEQ-011 Gas / Electric Coordination should be considered reliability requirements and transition to NERC. |
| 9 | Develop needed business practice standards for organization/company codes for NAESB standards – and address current issues on the use of DUNs numbers. Common code usage is linked to the transition of the Registry from NERC to NAESB. |
| 10 | Review the need for, and develop standards where appropriate, in response to issues raised by FERC’s National Action Plan on Demand Response. |
| 11 | Develop, modify or delete business practices to support Time Error and Inadvertent (BAL-004 and BAL-006) resulting from the NERC field test under NERC project (NERC Project 2010-14). |
| 12. | Coordinate standards development with the NERC Balancing Authority Reliability Based Controls Standards Drafting Team (BARCSDT- created in July 2010) regarding DCS and AGC (BAL-002 and BAL-005) which may require changes to NAESB WEQ standards. |

**Wholesale Electric Quadrant Executive committee and Subcommittee Structure**

Wholesale Electric Quadrant

Executive Committee (WEQ EC)

Standards Review Subcommittee (SRS)

Interpretations Subcommittee

OASIS Subcommittee

Joint Electric Scheduling Subcommittee (JESS)

Scoping

Task Forces & Working Groups

Development

E-Tariff Joint WEQ/WGQ Subcommittee

DSM-EE Retail/WEQ Subcommittee

Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS)

Smart Grid Standards Subcommittee (\*\*)

PAP 10 Smart Grid Standards Subcommittee (\*\*\*)

**NAESB WEQ EC and Subcommittee Leadership**:

Executive Committee (EC): Kathy York (Chair) and James Castle (Vice Chair)

Standards Review Subcommittee (SRS): Narinder Saini, Ed Skiba

Interpretations Subcommittee: Ed Skiba

Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) & Time and Inadvertent Management Task Force (TIMTF): Ed Skiba , Narinder Saini

Open Access Same Time Information System (OASIS) Subcommittee (OS): Paul Sorenson, J.T. Wood, Alan Pritchard

Joint Electric Scheduling Subcommittee (JESS): Bob Harshbarger (NAESB), Clint Aymond (NERC)

e-Tariff Joint WEQ/WGQ Subcommittee (e-Tariff): Jane Daly (WEQ), Keith Sappenfield (WGQ)

Demand Side Management-Energy Efficiency (DSM-EE) REQ/WEQ Subcommittee: Ruth Kiselewich (Retail), Roy True and Paul Wattles (WEQ)

(\*\*) The Smart Grid Standards Subcommittee is a joint group of the retail electric and wholesale electric quadrants with other standards development groups such as OASIS (Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards, not Open Access Same Time Information Systems related to NAESB standards and FERC actions), CalConnect, FIX and UCAIug, among others. Direction may be given from NIST, DoE or FERC and the group reports jointly to the NAESB Board Smart Grid Strategic Steering Committee and the WEQ and REQ ECs. The group is chaired by Joe Zhou, Wayne Longcore and Robert Burke.

(\*\*\*) The PAP 10 Smart Grid Standards Subcommittee is a joint group of the retail electric and wholesale electric quadrants with other standards development groups such as OASIS, UCAIug, OpenADE, ZigBee, ASHRAE, EIS Alliance, NARUC and includes other groups. Direction may be given from NIST, DoE or FERC and the group reports jointly to the NAESB Board Smart Grid Strategic Steering Committee and the WEQ and REQECs. The group is chaired by Phil Precht, Cathy Wesley, Sharon Dinges, David Kaufman, Brad Ramsay, Tobin Richardson and Ed Koch.

1. **End Notes WEQ 2011 Annual Plan:**

   Dates in the completion column are by end of the quarter for completion by the assigned committee, sub-committee or task force. The dates do not necessarily mean that the standards are fully staffed to be implementable by the industry, and/or ratified by membership. If one item is completed earlier than planned, another item can begin earlier and possibly complete earlier than planned. There are no begin dates on the plan. [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
2. The assignments are abbreviated. The abbreviations and sub-committee structure can be found at the end of the annual plan document. [↑](#endnote-ref-2)
3. In some sections of WEQ 008 it appears that the standards are applicable to all of the Interconnections and other it appears that the standards are only applicable to the Eastern Interconnection. The title indicates the standards are applicable to the Eastern Interconnection. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
4. FERC Order No. 890, issued February 16, 2007 can be accessed from the following link - http://www.naesb.org/doc\_view4.asp?doc=ferc021607.doc [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
5. Several group 3 items may be removed from this plan if the 4th quarter completion dates are met. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
6. Paragraph 1377 of FERC Order No. 890, issued February 16, 2007: The Commission agrees that transmission requests across multiple transmission systems should be coordinated by the relevant transmission providers. We will not, however, amend the pro forma OATT to require such coordination. Rather, we require transmission providers working through NAESB to develop business practice standards related to coordination of requests across multiple transmission systems. In order to provide guidance to NAESB, we will articulate the principles that should govern processing across multiple systems. All the transmission providers involved in a request across multiple systems should consider a request that requires studies across multiple systems to be a single application for purposes of establishing the deadlines for rendering an agreement for service, revising queue status, eliciting deposits and commencing service. In order to preserve the rights of other transmission customers with studies in the queue, the priority for the single application should be based on the latest priority across the transmission providers involved in the multiple system request. We note that regional entities like wesTTrans are already coordinating requests across multiple transmission systems and we believe such coordination is an acceptable solution to this issue. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
7. Paragraph 1390 of FERC Order No. 890, issued February 16, 2007: We will not modify the pro forma OATT to address requests to allow the transmission provider to terminate idle transmission service requests. NAESB’s business practice 001-4.11 allows the transmission provider to retract a request if the transmission customer does not respond to an acceptance within the time established in NAESB business practice standard 001-4.13. Therefore, we interpret TDU Systems comments to refer to circumstances when a transmission customer fails to respond to the transmission provider’s request for additional information during the course of a request study. As discussed above, by the time the transmission provider offers a system impact study agreement, it should have all of the information that it needs to complete the study. Pursuant to section 17.4 of the pro forma OATT, the transmission provider can deem a transmission service request deficient if the transmission customer does not provide all of the information the transmission provider needs to evaluate the request for service. We will revise section 17.7 of the pro forma OATT so that the transmission provider is able to terminate a request for transmission service if a transmission customer that is extending the commencement of service does not pay the required annual reservation fee within 15 days of notifying the transmission provider that it would like to extend the commencement of service. We will not change the pro forma OATT to allow the transmission provider to terminate a transmission service request if the transmission customer changes its application for service. We believe the existing pro forma OATT is sufficient to allow a transmission provider to manage situations where the transmission customer modifies its application for service to the point that the customer is requesting transmission service that is meaningfully different than its initial request. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
8. Paragraph 1627 of FERC Order No. 890, issued February 16, 2007: We agree with suggestions for the posting of additional curtailment information on OASIS and, therefore, require transmission providers, working through NAESB, to develop a detailed template for the posting of additional information on OASIS regarding firm transmission curtailments. Transmission providers need not implement this new OASIS functionality and any related business practices until NAESB develops appropriate standards. These postings must include all circumstances and events contributing to the need for a firm service curtailment, specific services and customers curtailed (including the transmission provider’s own retail loads), and the duration of the curtailment. This information is in addition to the Commission’s existing requirements: (1) when any transmission is curtailed or interrupted, the transmission provider must post notice of the curtailment or interruption on OASIS, and the transmission provider must state on OASIS the reason why the transaction could not be continued or completed; (2) information to support any such curtailment or interruption, including the operating status of facilities involved in the constraint or interruption, must be maintained for three years and made available upon request to the curtailed or interrupted customer, the Commission’s Staff, and any other person who requests it; and, (3) any offer to adjust the operation of the transmission provider’s system to restore a curtailed or interrupted transaction must be posted and made available to all curtailed and interrupted transmission customers at the same time. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
9. Energy efficiency may be a wholesale product, such as capacity. Energy efficiency in retail markets may be from individual energy efficiency measures at the project level or a portfolio of projects that make up an energy efficiency program. [↑](#endnote-ref-3)
10. 39. In regards to Entergy’s question of whether the transmission provider’s calculated and posted available flowgate capability values should be used to fulfill the posting requirements set forth in Standard 001-14 and 001-15 in instances where there is no requirement to convert this calculation to available transfer capability values, we agree with Entergy that this requirement can be met by the transmission provider posting its available flowgate capability values. As to EPSA’s argument that Standard 001-15 falls short of the goals of Order No. 890, we find that, with the exception of Standard 001-15.1.2, compliance with Standard 001-15 provides all of the information required by Order No. 890. However, Standards 001-14.1.3 and 001-15.1.2 permit transmission providers to post an available transfer capability change narrative within five business days of meeting the criteria under which a narrative is required to be posted. In Order No. 890, the Commission rejected calls for delays prior to posting data and required posting as soon as possible. We do not find the NAESB standard meets this criterion and therefore decline to incorporate Standards 001-14.1.3 and 001-15.1.2 by reference. Transmission providers must post their narratives as soon as feasibly possible. Posting within one day would appear in most cases to be reasonable. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
11. 72. However, we reiterate here the Commission’s finding in Order No. 890 that a transmission provider is permitted to extend its right to reassess the availability of conditional firm service. Since the Version 002.1 Standards do not specifically address this issue, we would ask the industry, working through NAESB, to continue to look at additional business practice standards facilitating a transmission provider’s extension of its right to perform a reassessment [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
12. 105. We agree that insufficient progress has been made on this issue. While we acknowledge that development of standards addressing this issue is included in NAESB’s 2009 WEQ Annual Plan, we nevertheless urge NAESB to address this issue as soon as possible. Accordingly, we request that NAESB provide the Commission with a status report concerning its progress on this issue every six months, counting from the date this final rule is published in the Federal Register, until NAESB’s adoption of the applicable standard(s). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
13. 805. The Commission recognizes that developing methods to allocate capacity among requests received during a submittal window may require detailed procedures, particularly when transmission requests received simultaneously exceed available capacity. As the Commission explained in Order No. 890, however, we believe that each transmission provider is in the best position to develop allocation procedures that are suitable for its system. This does not preclude transmission providers from working through NAESB to develop standardized practices, as suggested by Southern. For example, as we pointed out in Order No. 890, allocation methods such as that used by PJM to allocate monthly firm point-to-point transmission service could provide useful guidance in developing general allocation procedures. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
14. Phase 2 of the Parallel Flow Visualization looks at developing options for and reporting of the most cost effective alternatives to achieve curtail obligations assigned during Phase 1.” [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
15. For additional information, please see comments submitted by PJM and Midwest ISO for this Annual Plan Item: <http://www.naesb.org/pdf3/weq_aplan102907w1.pdf>. [↑](#endnote-ref-4)