

## North American Energy Standards Board

### Redirect of Firm Point-to-Point Reservations

#### Standard X

Transmission Customers shall have the right to request an alternate Point of Receipt and/or Point of Delivery for any Confirmed Point-to-Point Firm Transmission Service reservation. This will be referred to as a Redirect. All redirect requests shall be submitted to the primary transmission provider for review and approval.

#### Standard X.1

Transmission Customer (TC) may request a Redirect on a Firm basis.

#### Standard X.1.1

Upon submittal, request, the TC redirect request is entered into the firm point-to-point service queue and evaluated in the same manner as any other new firm point-to-point request, at a curtailment priority of 7 (i.e., at the same priority of all other firm or network designated resource uses of the transmission system).

#### Standard X.1.1.1

Requests to redirect service on a firm basis will be treated by the transmission provider as a new transmission service request; however, -the TC is not required to submit an additional deposit.- Study procedures including any cost reimbursement for evaluating the Redirect request will apply per the appropriate provisions in the transmission provider's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

#### Standard X.1.2

The TC may request a redirect for a portion or all of the capacity of the original request

#### Standard X.1.3

The TC may request a redirect for a portion or all of the time period of the original request, so long as the redirect request represents an established increment a multiple of an offered service product (i.e. daily, weekly, etc.)

#### Standard X.1.4

The TC's rights on the original path shall remain unaffected during the evaluation of the Redirect request.

#### Standard X.1.4.1

If the redirect is denied for any reason, all rights shall remain per the original reservation

#### Standard X.1.4.2~~1~~

Upon confirmation, the TC's firm rights associated with the parent reservation shall be permanently modified to reflect a reduction in capacity equivalent to the

## Comments submitted by Southern Company Services

redirected capacity for a time period equivalent to that associated with the redirect.

### **Standard X.1.5**

Reductions in path capacity (either the parent or the redirect) that require curtailment of firm rights will be administered in a pro rata fashion.

#### **Standard X.1.5.1**

Any reduction in any remaining, unmodified portion of the original reservation capacity shall not impact the redirected capacity.

### **Standard X.1.6**

Redirect requests on a firm basis do not impact the TCs long term firm renewal rights (e.g., rollover or evergreen rights) on the original path, nor do they confer any renewal rights on the redirected path. Does this necessarily make sense for a long term redirect of multi-year contract? Suppose the transmission customer is in year 5 of a ten year contract and requests to redirect the remaining 5 years to a different path. Should the rollover rights still be applicable to the original path or would it make more sense to have the rollover rights transfer to the new path? In this example, it seems like the customer and provider should have the flexibility at the time of the redirect to agree which path the rollover or evergreen rights should- apply and then modify the service agreement accordingly. If rollover rights are transferred to the new path, they must not be granted at the expense of existing customers on that new path.

### **Standard X.1.7**

The customer may request a redirect on a firm basis for any confirmed firm point-to-point reservation regardless of the parent's Request Type (Original, Renewal, Redirect, etc.).

### **Standard X.2**

Transmission Customers may request a Redirect on a Non-firm basis.

#### **Standard X.2.1**

The TC's request is entered into the non-firm point-to-point service queue at a curtailment priority of 1 (i.e., at a lower priority than hourly non-firm point-to-point transmission service).

#### **Standard X.2.2**

Upon confirmation, the TC's firm scheduling rights associated with the parent reservation remain unchanged. However, the capacity on the original path should be made available to the market on a non-firm basis.

#### **Standard X.2.3**

In no event shall the TC's total schedules under either the parent reservation or ~~the~~ nonfirm any associated

## Comments submitted by Southern Company Services

redirected reservation exceed the amount of the parent's ~~Granted~~ Capacity Granted.

### **Standard X.2.4**

Reductions in path capacity of the parent reservation shall not impact the TC's ability to redirect on a non-firm basis nor reduce the amount of capacity of the redirected request. The ~~Granted~~ Capacity Granted of the firm parent reservation will be the basis for redirection.

### **Standard X.2.5**

The customer may request a redirect on a non-firm basis ~~from~~for any confirmed firm point-to-point reservation regardless of the parent's Request Type (Original, Renewal, Redirect, etc.). (i.e. a customer may redirect a previously redirected request.)

### **Standard X.2.6**

~~A reservation that is a redirect on a non-firm basis shall be a Class Secondary and have a non-firm secondary curtailment priority (NS-1), lower than firm and non-firm service. All non-firm redirected reservations shall be secondary point-to-point service, and shall have a curtailment priority of NS-1 (i.e., non-firm redirect reservations have a lower curtailment priority than all other firm or non-firm service).~~