

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers. Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any nominatable point.	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10 10A	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party. If _____ may be provided by anyone, then it should be open to be provided by any party.	No
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service. Suggested Revision: TSP shall have primary responsibility to _____.	Yes/No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	?
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	No
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	No
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	Yes
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	No
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	Yes
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	N/A
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	Title Transfer Tracking <u>transactions should</u> occurs at <u>a any receipt</u> point nominatable under <u>any</u> transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.	Yes. Revised language.
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed. Transportation Service class is not applicable to Title Transfer Tracking and will not be tracked through the title tracking transactions.	Yes. Revised language.
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party provided by the Transportation Service Provider.	Yes. Revised language.
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	No standard required. Not applicable.
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	No standard required. The BPS/IR Subcommittees should review the existing transaction sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking services and request direction from the EC.
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	No standard required.

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	No standard required. Not applicable.
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated, according to existing GISB standards.	Replaced by #31.
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Not applicable.
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	Yes. Revised language. Same as #26.
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	No standard required. Not applicable. (See #13)
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	No standard required. Not applicable.
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	No standard required. Also see #10.
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	No standard required. Not applicable.
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	No standard required. Not applicable.
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	No standard required. Not applicable.
90	All Title Transfer Tracking <u>Transportation</u> Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	Yes. Revised language.

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should be the Transportation Service Provider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	<p>No standard required.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not applicable. See #31. 2. Not applicable. 3. Not applicable. 4. Yes. Already covered by existing standards. 5. Not applicable. 6. Not applicable.
92	<p>For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."</p>	<p>No standard required. Not applicable. Also see #36.</p>

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any nominatable point.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10 10A	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	Yes
11	<p>Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p>	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	Yes, about timing model.
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	a) account model - yes b) operator model - yes [Note: Where different answers are applicable, a refers to account model and b refers to operator model.]
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	Yes
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	<p>a) Independent TTTSP would need additional time. May not change existing timeline.</p> <p>b) Yes</p>
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	Yes
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	No standard required. Covered by existing standards.
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	<p>a) No</p> <p>b) Yes</p>

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	<p>a) May require separate timing for TTTSP (TTTSP must meet existing nomination timeline to transportation nominations). No need to establish new timeline for TTTSP. If a TTTSP timeline is applicable it should be developed as not to give an advantage to one type of TTTSP.</p> <p>b) No. Paul Love: Noted that if multiple DRNS are introduced this complicates an already complicated process.</p> <p>Ken Schubert handed out and explained case 12's explanations of the Operator Model and Account Model. (See attached 1) Paul noted that in the discussion of the Operator Model saying that the timeline may need to be changed due to the multiplication of confirmations-from 2 to 6. Mark S. noted that as a shipper he would have to go to two places to do nominations and have to start his process earlier. Bob W.: would the TTTSP require scheduled volumes at 4:30. Ken S.: said that he had not addressed timeline and confirmation rules in his example. Duke Energy stated that they would not be comfortable with trying to confirm with the TTTSP. Most of a: is applicable to b: and more work may be needed in this area.</p>
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	Yes, some standards are needed and timing issues need to be resolved.

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	Yes
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	<p>Yes a) Yes, they nominate. No, they don't confirm. b) No, the don't nominate. Yes, they do confirm. Yes Yes Yes a) No ,while a PDA may not be needed, nom priorities are needed. b) Yes</p>
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	<p>a) No b) Yes</p>

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any nominatable point.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	<p>Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: The actual performance of administration of Title Transfer Tracking should be open to any party, but the ultimate responsibility may vary between cases.</p>	Yes/No-by Jerry Hahn
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	See #10.

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	Yes/Jerry had a different opinion.
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	?/Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	?/Yes
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes/No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	? - (don't know by some in the group)/No by others in the group/Yes by others
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	?
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	N/A
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	N/A
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	? b) Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	Yes
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	Yes
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	Yes
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	<p>Yes ? Yes Yes Yes ? (depends on answer to 2)</p>

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	?

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking may occur at any receipt point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	No
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	No - separate the buy sell
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	Yes
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	No
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
31	<p>Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p>	Yes
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	N/A, Do not impact buy/sell transactions.
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	No
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	Yes
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	Yes
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	?
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	Yes
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	<p>Yes Yes, don't provide transportation nomination. Act as operator. Yes Yes Yes Yes</p>

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	
8	Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.	
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
July 22 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	