

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any receipt point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	No
10A	If ____ may be provided by anyone, then it should be open to be provided by any party.	
11	<p>Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p> <p>Suggested revision: TSP should have primary responsibility to _____.</p>	Yes/No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	?
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	No
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	No
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
31	<p>Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p> <p>Suggested revision: Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p>	Yes
32	<p>All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.</p>	No
33	<p>The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.</p>	Yes
34	<p>Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.</p>	N/A
35	<p>No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.</p>	
36	<p>Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.</p>	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #2
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday

#	Proposal	Case #2
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any receipt point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	No
10A	If Title Transfer Tracking may be provided by anyone, then it should be open to be provided by any party.	
11	<p>Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: If Title Transfer Tracking is offered, the TSP should have primary responsibility to host the activity. It may be contracted out, but the TSP has ultimate responsibility.</p>	Yes/No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	?
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	No/ Mark Gracey had a different opinion.
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	No
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
31	<p>Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p>	Yes
32	<p>All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.</p>	No
33	<p>The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.</p>	Yes
34	<p>Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.</p>	N/A
35	<p>No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.</p>	
36	<p>Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.</p>	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #3
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any nominatable point.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10 10A	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	Yes
11	<p>Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.</p>	Ues

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	Yes, about timing model.
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	<p style="text-align: center;">a) operator model - "hasn't made up their minds yet."</p> <p>a) account model - yes</p>
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	Yes
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	a) ? b) ?
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	a) No b) Yes
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #12
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday

#	Proposal	Case #12
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any nominatable point.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	<p>Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: The actual performance of administration of Title Transfer Tracking should be open to any party, but the ultimate responsibility may vary between cases.</p>	Yes/No-by Jerry Hahn
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	See #10.

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	Yes/Jerry had a different opinion.
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	?/Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	?/Yes
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	Yes
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes/No

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	? - (don't know by some in the group)/No by others in the group/Yes by others
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	?
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	N/A
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #13
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	Yes
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	Yes
8	<p>Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Title Transfer Tracking may occur at any receipt point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.</p>	Yes
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	Yes
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	No
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	No - separate the buy sell
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	Yes
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	Yes
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	No
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	Yes

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
31	<p>Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p> <p>Suggested Revision: Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).</p>	Yes
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	Yes
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	?
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	No
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	Case #14
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
6	Title Transfers are transactions where a change in ownership in gas occurs without physical movement of gas.	
7	Title Transfer Tracking is the administrative tracking of title transfer transactions.	
8	Title Transfer Tracking occurs at any point nominatable under a transportation service agreement, except where tariff restrictions exist with respect to storage transfers.	
9	Regarding service class for title transfer transactions, no standard is needed.	
10	Title transfer tracking services should be open to be provided by any party.	
11	Any party may, but shall not be required to, provide title transfer tracking service.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
12	Title transfer tracking service shall be accomplished by using the nomination-related, flowing gas-related, and invoice-related GISB standards appropriate to title transfer tracking services. The Market Initiation, Execution and Settlement Task Forces should review the existing transactions sets for appropriateness to the title transfer tracking service.	
13	All title transfer tracking services should be performed under a contract.	
14	Upon reasonable request, transportation service providers should provide the title transfer tracking service provider with a point and/or an agreement to conduct title transfer tracking.	
26	All title transfer transactions should be nominated according to existing GISB standards.	
30	Transportation Service Providers should accept nominations, confirmations and PDAs from any title transfer tracking service provider with respect to services or activities on the transportation service provider and Transportation Service Providers should provide requests to confirm and allocations as applicable to title transfer tracking service providers. A Transportation Service Provider is only required to conduct business with Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers that perform in accordance with GISB standards and any applicable contract.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
31	Both the buyer and the seller in a title transfer transaction should nominate or confirm their title transfer transaction to the appropriate party(s).	
32	All title transfer tracking services and all transactions between title tracking service providers and transportation service providers should be performed under contracts.	
33	The standard nominations timeline (GISB Std. 1.3.2) should apply for title transfer transaction nominations.	
34	Transfers between Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers constitute an activity that needs to be nominated to the Transportation Service Provider.	
35	No standards should be supported on the following: for confirmation purposes, the interconnected operators should be provided quantity information that is delineated by the entity and/or contract as appropriate.	
36	Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes.	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
37	Data sets, roles, responsibilities and timing with respect to title transfer transactions must be defined before September 30, to be added on August 6 and August 7.	
89	By August 26, determine what additional standards need to be proposed for title transfer tracking and a laundry list of ways to do business, answer the questions about reliability and timing, develop a business model, and a pilot test group can work out fine tuning after that date.	
90	All Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers should offer their title transfer tracking services to any party on a not unduly discriminatory basis.	
91	<p>For roles within the context of title tracking: the title transfer tracking provider should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. receive nominations and confirmations from Service Requesters 2. provide nominations, requests for confirmations and/or confirmation responses to Service Provider(s) 3. receive PDAs from Service Requesters 4. provide scheduled quantities and allocations to service requesters 5. receive allocations from the SP 6. provide PDAs to the SP and/or Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers as appropriate 	

**Title Transfer Tracking Pilot Testing Task Force
10 June 1997 - Tuesday**

#	Proposal	New Case #
92	For roles within the context of title transfer tracking, the role of operator vs pooler confirmation is defined by a previously proposed standard: "Title Transfer Tracking Service Providers are considered operators for confirmation purposes."	