

**THRESHOLD QUESTIONS  
FRAMED FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
ON APRIL 7, 1998**

1. Is there a level of viewing format that can be agreed to by the industry for Operationally Available Capacity?  
Support of question as a threshold question 4 out of 33
2. Without Standard 16, how can Standard 24 be done?  
Support of question as a threshold question 3 out of 33
3. Do we standardize the content area?  
Support of question as a threshold question 12 out of 33
4. Does the EC want a standard display format for Operationally Available Capacity available on the internet?  
Support of question as a threshold question 6 out of 33
5. Does the EC want a standard download format for operationally available capacity available on the internet?  
Support of question as a threshold question 8 out of 33
6. Do we know enough about internet technology to standardize the content area?  
Support of question as a threshold question 19 out of 33
7. Are GISB standards written solely for TSPs or are they written for all GISB players?  
Support of question as a threshold question 17 out of 33
8. Is there a level of viewing that can be agreed to by the industry for the nomenclature (FERC) currently used that would be acceptable for the affiliate discount information display?  
Support of question as a threshold question 8 out of 33
9. Does the EC want Look & Feel to re-vote Standard 24a in its present form?  
Support of question as a threshold question 6 out of 33
10. Does the EC want standard download formats for Operationally Available Capacity, Affiliated Discount Offers, Unsubscribed Capacity, Index of Customers?  
Support of question as a threshold question 10 out of 33
11. Without Standards 16,18, 21 and 22, how can a proposed Standard 24 (or its look alike) be done?  
Support of question as a threshold question 11 out of 33

12. Does the EC want standard viewing formats for Operationally Available Capacity, Unsubscribed Capacity?  
Support of question as a threshold question 8 out of 33
13. Does common look and feel apply to the display, navigation, naming conventions, and download capabilities only, or does it also apply to the internal content of the postings?  
Support of question as a threshold question 21 out of 33
14. Should GISB choose a level of viewing format for the postings from the following continuum: no standard (personalized) ---proposed Standards 16, 18, 21 and 22 --- existing EDI data sets only?  
Support of question as a threshold question 6 out of 33
15. Has the internet look and feel satisfied its charge of implementing the five postings from GISB Standard 4.3.6 in a consistent and user friendly manner including common web page structures, viewing formats etc., by proposing standards for two out of five of those postings?  
Support of question as a threshold question 8 out of 33
16. Are EDI customers who currently use the EDI documents for Operationally Available Capacity and Unsubscribed Capacity disadvantaged if there is no standardization of the title and column headings in the content area of the display screen?  
Support of question as a threshold question 6 out of 33

Of the 33 attendees, the segment affiliation was:

End Users	0
LDCs	0
Pipelines	21
Producers	5
Services	7