

To: Information Requirements Subcommittee Chairs
From: Technical Subcommittee Chairs
Subject: Questions Regarding Shipper Imbalance and Capacity Release Requests
Date: May 19, 2000

During the processing of requests "Imbalance (R96124, R97020, R98064, R98069, R99005)" and "EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force Capacity Release data element review," the Technical Subcommittee raised the following questions/issues:

Imbalance (R96124, R97020, R98064, R98069, R99005):

Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)

1. We will send back the Sample Paper for "Pathed Non-threaded - Threaded and Unthreaded" to IR for them to reconsider the layout of the Sub-sub-detail section in the example. It appears that the Upstream Identifier Code and Downstream Identifier Code should be in separate Sub-detail sections with the corresponding Receipt Location and Delivery Location instead of in the Sub-sub-detail section.
2. We do not have an exact list of the Code Value Descriptions for Imbalance Reporting Type, so we will send this back to IR and ask them to provide us with the descriptions.

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force Capacity Release data element review

3. Gas Transaction Point 1 Code needs to be split out into separate Common Code and Proprietary code data elements for all the datasets where it exists [5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.4, 5.4.7, 5.4.8, 5.4.13, 5.4.17, 5.4.18].
4. In the Offer Upload Quick Response (5.4.8) and Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19), the Technical Subcommittee recommends that the Gas Transaction Point 1 Code and Gas Transaction Point 2 Code data elements be split out into separate Common Code and Proprietary code data elements. Even though these datasets are not used for EBB, there are two distinct data elements that can be sent to identify the location via EDI in response to an Offer Upload or Bid Upload. Since these data elements were split out in the Offer Upload and Bid Upload, and given distinct usages for EDI, these corresponding changes are needed for consistency. In addition, the data dictionary needs to have the ** footnote added.
5. In the Offer Upload (5.4.7), and Bid Upload (5.4.18), the data element "Detail Level Identifier" is marked as SO for EBB Usage. Technical believes this is incorrect and should be changed to "nu" for EBB Usage since this data element is used to tie the detail level to the corresponding errors in the Offer Upload Quick Response (5.4.8) or Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.18) and the Offer Upload Quick Response and Bid Upload Quick Response are not used on the EBB.