

Comment Log Report

Ballot Date: June 1999

Ballot Name: Commodity Movement Services Transaction Set (873)

DM or TS Number: 106199

Company: Johns Hopkins University/Applied Physics Lab

Voter: XVR: Karen T. Goldee

Vote: Approve w/ Comment

DM 106199

Development history indicates codes V2, V3, V4 to be added to DE 640, but these do not appear in the DM

Company: St. George Consulting

Voter: XVR: John H. St. George

Vote: Disapprove

DM 106199 Disapprove

In adding codes to DE 1270, some of those codes seem to have a related code source reference, because the Code Source added in this DM refer to those codes. However, the DM to DE1270 does not include the code source reference with the codes. Thus there is no way to associate those codes with the appropriate code source as seems to be intended.

Company: Telcordia

Voter: XVR: Linda J. Newerla

Vote: Disapprove

Transaction set 873 – Disapprove

While the proposed transaction set is probably technically correct, there seems to be much repetition of codes and reference numbers and segments N1, QTY and LCD that would make the HL structure a better solution. Having worked only a tiny bit with the submitters, it appeared that more varied data would need to be included in the transaction set that might be difficult to add at a later date without re-structuring. There are no transaction set notes so it is difficult to differentiate among the various codes and reference numbers and also for the uses of the N1, QTY and LCD – I would suggest adding notes. The example provided was technically correct but did not provide much insight into the type of example this was (considering that the 873 is bi-directional and covers several functions. In the example, the QTY, without the use of DE 355, does not imply decatherms – it could be anything.