

**GISB ANSI Compliance Team
Final Meeting Minutes
September 10, 1999**

1. Welcome and Introductions

Kim Van Pelt called the meeting to order. Introductions were made.

2. Housekeeping

Reviewed by Kim Van Pelt.

3. Anti-Trust Warning

Reviewed by Kim Van Pelt.

4. Adoption of Agenda

Agenda adopted as modified to switch order of items 6 and 7.

5. Adoption of Draft Minutes

August 6, 1999 - adopted as modified (see GISB home page for final version)

August 20, 1999 - adopted as drafted

September 2, 1999 - adopted as modified (see GISB home page for final version)

6. Begin development of ANSI compliant transaction set for Transportation/Sales Invoice

We will begin with the GISB version 1.4 Transportation/Sales Invoice. There are still outstanding Invoice related requests currently being addressed by BPS. One of these requests addresses adding an additional level to the Invoice. Another request asks for an accounting date to be added to the header level. We will need to keep these in mind as we map the Invoice.

X12 Documents to consider:

- 810 - Invoice
- 811 - Consolidated Service Invoice/Statement
- 210 - Motor Carrier Freight Details and Invoice
- 223 - Consolidator's Freight Billing Invoice
- 310 - Freight Receipt Invoice (Ocean)
- 410 - Rail Carrier Freight Details and Invoice
- 859 - Freight Invoice
- 880 - Grocery Products Invoice

810 - Invoice

It appears the Heading and 1st Detail Level will fit into the 810, but all the elements in the Sub-detail loop will not fit into a loop within the Detail level IT1 loop in the 810. The only loop available within the IT1 loop which looks like it might be able to serve as a sub-detail level loop is the SLN, but it does not have segments for all of the elements we need such as code values, amounts, quantities, prices, locations, parties, etc. Also, there is no room for expansion if we needed to add another level. Therefore, the 810 will not work.

210 - Motor Carrier Freight Details and Invoice

The description of the transaction set does not fit our needs.

In the Header level:

- * there is no PER segment for the Contact Person
- * there is no CUR segment for the Currency, and although there is a C3 for Currency, it does not include an Effective Exchange Date

Sub-detail level:

* there appears to be no place to put all our SI data elements in the sub-detail level

There is no room for expansion since the last loop must start with an N1

Therefore, the 210 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice

223 - Consolidators Freight Bill and Invoice

The description of the transaction set does not fit our needs.

The transaction is way too small for all the segments/data elements we have in the Transportation/Sales Invoice

There is no Currency segment or dates in the header, no PER segment for the Contact person

There is no place for the data elements in our SI to go in the sub-detail level

The sub-detail level starts with an N1, which would not work for us to accommodate the elements in the SI segment and multiple locations, zones and parties.

Therefore, the 223 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

310 - Freight Receipt Invoice (Ocean)

The title and description do not fit our needs since it is sent by Ocean carriers

There is a mandatory R4 (Port or Terminal) loop in the Header that does not work for us.

The C3 Currency segment is used instead of the CUR (no Effective Exchange Date)

There is no PER for the Contact Person, but there is a G61 which would work.

The only dates in the header are within the R4 loop.

There is a mandatory V1 (Vessel Identification) segment in the header.

Therefore, the 310 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

410 - Rail Carrier Freight Details and Invoice

The title and description do not fit our needs.

There are some mandatory elements in the B3B (Beginning Segment for Carrier's Invoice) that we cannot use.

There are mandatory segments in the header that we cannot use (N7 loop - Equipment Details, N8 - Waybill Reference, F9 - Origin Station, D9 - Destination Station)

There is no Table 2 for the Detail and Sub-detail levels.

Therefore, the 410 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

859 - Freight Invoice

The description seems to be okay with perhaps a few minor modifications if the rest of the document is okay.

The B311 (Standard Carrier Alpha Code) is mandatory, so we would probably need to associate the GISB code source 293 with this element and come up with codes for the pipelines. This does not really fit our needs.

Otherwise, the header looks like it will work.

There are no dates in the detail level for the Beginning/Ending Transaction Dates/Times.

There is no effective sub-detail level to contain the elements in the SI segment and multiple locations, zones and parties.

There is also no room for expansion if we need to add another level.

Therefore, the 859 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

880 - Grocery Products Invoice

The title and description do not fit our needs.

The G61 could be used for the Contact Person since there is no PER.

There is no CUR/C3 segments in the header for Currency.

There is no way to accommodate to the Electronic Funds Transfer address.

There is no place for dates in the Detail level except in the G23, but these will not accommodate the Beginning/Ending Transaction Dates/Times.

There is no effective sub-detail level to contain the elements in the SI segment and multiple locations, zones and parties.

There is also no room for expansion if we need to add another level.

Therefore, the 880 will not work for the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

811 - Consolidated Service Invoice/Statement

The title and description seem to fit our needs just fine.

The structure of the header looks like it will work fine.

The structure of the Table 2 detail looks like it will work for the 1st Detail Level.

The 2nd Detail Level looks like it will work in Table 2 or perhaps in Table 3.

The structure of Table 2 looks like it may fit in the SLN loop structure, but the LX structure we have it mapped to in version 1.4 is not quite right since there are several independent loops that shouldn't be independent.

At this point, we will begin the detailed analysis of the 811 and note any changes we need to it for ANSI Compliance of the Transportation/Sales Invoice. If we have too many changes that we need or if we are met with much resistance for changes from the DISA X12 Subcommittees, at that point we will consider designing our own Invoice transaction set.

ST:

ST01: "811"

ST02: transaction set control number

(we will continue the detailed analysis of the mapping at the next meeting)

7. Complete Appropriate Documentation for Transaction Set 873 Ballot Response

Transmittal Form: There is nothing we need to complete on this form today. We will need to get Mary Kay's signature and bring to the next X12 meeting.

Ballot Change Form - we called Mr. St. George and explained to him the problems with the electronic ballots sent out for vote. He agreed to change his vote to approve our DM, so we completed a Ballot Change Form (see attachment to the minutes) and emailed it to him with the PDF of DM 106199.

Ballot Comment Response Letter - we filled this out according to the instructions provided with it and using the comments we crafted at our last meeting (see attachment to the minutes). We still have a few questions that Sarita was not able to answer, so we will ask Yvonne Meding the following:

1. Please send us letterhead so that we may come to the meeting with our Ballot Comment Response letter complete

2. Do we need a new Document Number to go in the heading of the letter, or do we use X12S/99-163 from the Ballot Report?
3. We heard that DSTU was being changed to some other name. What is the new name and should we include it in our Ballot Comment Response letter?
4. We need Mary K. Blantz's phone number to include on the Ballot Comment Response Letter since she is the chair for X12H which is sponsoring this DM.
5. Can we include Kim's name and phone number at the top of the letter in addition to Mary Kay's?

8. Other Business

none

9. Next Meeting Date and Location

Technical Subcommittee Meeting

September 21-22, 1999

CMS Energy

Houston, TX

Joint Technical/ANSI Compliance Team Meeting

October 25-27, 1999

Sonat

Birmingham, Alabama

10. Adjourn

Attendees:

Kim Van Pelt	CMS - Trunkline Gas Company
Denise Breeden	Tennessee Gas Pipeline
Jim Keisler	Williams Gas Pipeline
Andy Sicignano	ECT