

**GISB Technical Subcommittee
ANSI Compliance Team Conference Call
Final Minutes
March 26, 1998**

1. Welcome and Introductions

Kim Van Pelt called the meeting to order. Introductions were made.

2. Anti-Trust Warning

Reviewed by Kim Van Pelt.

3. Adoption of Agenda

Agenda adopted as drafted.

4. Adoption of Meeting Minutes

March 13, 1998 - adopted as amended (see home page for final version)

5. Revision of X12 Migration Plan Report based on March EC Meeting

Kim gave a brief explanation of why we did not present our migration plan and report at the March EC Meeting (ran out of time for sufficient discussion). She also gave a brief overview of the revisions we want to make to the report and our migration plan since we were unable to get approval from the EC for our scheduled March activities.

Changes to report (see handout posted on home page with minutes from 3/13/98 meeting):

1. Strike March 1998 line
2. Change "Invoice" to "Transportation/Sales Invoice"
3. Add "Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice" also in April/May 1999 (directly after Transportation/Sales Invoice)

We need to tell the EC about the benefit we derived when mapping the Statement of Account and Payment Remittance in our feasibility study. We mapped them to the latest X12 version (4010) because of problems with ANSI X12 compliance in the current version, and we found that they were now Y2K compliant. We felt that Y2K compliance was an added benefit gained from remapping these in the latest version of X12.

We will not add this language to the report, but will discuss it during the Migration Plan discussion in the presentation of the report.

When discussing the Migration Plan (after the first review of the documents and dates on the Migration Plan), we will mention that the Invoice is the only GISB document that will not be Y2K compliant once the Statement of Account and Payment Remittance get updated. So, we would like to see if we can make the Invoice Y2K compliant also with little or no mapping changes other than moving it to the latest version of X12 (even though we won't be making any structural changes until the Invoice goes through the BPS round). But, since Y2K compliance is outside of the scope of what we were asked to do with the ANSI X12 compliance task, we want the EC's permission before we

begin.

The point to make is that the handout we will distribute during the meeting is our timeline, but if the EC would like us to do a little more, we'd like to take care of some Y2K issues and make some changes to our April/May/June timeline items to fit this in. Here's what we have found: (etc....)

Mention that if they approve the Y2K analysis/changes for the Invoice, we could insert it into the timeline and squeeze the other April - October items together to still accomplish these in the same timeframe.

Using this schedule, the Invoice could go out for comment in May for June EC to be published in July.

In addition, we recommend the Statement of Account and Payment Remittance be published in January 1999 once DISA approves the X12 changes we have requested.

We won't push for publication of Y2K for Statement of Account and Payment Remittance if the EC doesn't approve us mapping the Invoice to Y2K in version 4010.

4. From our report, we will remove Y2K line from Migration Plan Key Points, and remove July 98 Publish Y2K line, Jan 99 interim guide line.

5. Change last bullet under the Migration Timeline Key Points assumptions to : "- the order in the timeline is influenced by:

- priority specified in the 1998 GISB Maintenance Plan
- deadlines imposed by the current X12 schedule for DMR submission"

6. Other Business

Discussion of Requests to be sent to GISB from ACT

We (as ACT) had previously generated some requests to be submitted to the GISB office to add data elements to the Statement of Account and Payment Remittance.

The Process Subcommittee has ruled that Subcommittees cannot submit requests to GISB. Requests must be submitted from individuals that represent specific companies. If the request was generated by a subcommittee, this could be submitted from the chair (as a member of his/her company), the chairs (as members of their companies), or all members of the subcommittee (with their respective companies listed). Therefore, Bob Wallenhorst has volunteered to allow us to submit our requests with his name and company name on them. Kim will make the appropriate changes and submit these to the GISB office.

No opposition to making this change.

7. Next Meeting Date and Location

April 21 - 22, 1998

8:30 - 5:00 (can go later at chairs' discretion on first day)

Enron, Houston, TX

8. Adjourn

Attendees:

Denise Breeden	El Paso Energy
Kim Van Pelt	Duke Energy
Bob Wallenhorst	Exxon
Jim Keisler	Transco
Sandra Barnett	Mobile Bay
Mary Draemer	Enron Transportation and Storage
Tammy Lee-Jaquet	Enron Transportation and Storage