

THE CO-CHAIRS' SUMMARY OF
APRIL 11, 1997, INTRA-DAY
NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

1. Feedback in response to request by Chair for general comments indicates there is concern regarding:
 - whether we are/should be addressing the bumping issue head-on;
 - making sure we can coordinate intra-days across the grid;
 - timing of implementation of any new standards;
 - the Group's attempt to have a deliverable by June 1, recognizing that the majority of pipelines will not have implemented by June 1;
 - Pipes are physically different and therefore have different abilities to accommodate intra-day nominations.
 - Some pipes need to restrict intra-day activity due to processing.
 - Many shippers and TSP's want to be able to schedule flexibly if capacity is available.

2. Several questions were raised about basic concepts:
 - How/when to use intra-day noms?
 - How many is "one"? (per contract, per Shipper, per service, per transmittal)
 - What is meant by "nomination"? (Standard 1.2.1)
 - Comparability of released capacity and IT.
 - When does physical flow have to change with effective time.

3. - Possible new definition:

Transmittal. Transfer to TSP of one or more nominations(s) as defined in 1.2.1 version "1.0".

4. Relooked at and reviewed #74 (TYPE I) and #75 (TYPE II) recommendations from BPS to Executive Committee (9/12 - 9/13, 1996).
 - Not everyone agreed there should be timelines
 - Need to get definitions consistent (e.g., calendar day vs. gas day)

5. There is no longer a term called "late" nom. The deadline for nominations received after prescribed deadlines can be waived by pipeline(s).

6. For non-pathed nom. model, two line item changes are necessary to effect a change.

7. Strawman Proposals Submitted and Reviewed:
 - TransCapacity
 - PGT/PG&E

8. Other Strawman Proposals submitted for review:
 - Southern California
 - Southwest Gas