



# Gas Industry Standards Board

## Standards Language

Adopted By Executive Committee  
 July 10, August 13, September 12, 1997

R96120

Request:

Add 4 new code values to Charge Type on the Transportation/Sales Invoice. (Standard 3.4.1)

Proposed Standard:

Add four codes to the Charge Type data element for the Invoice transaction set only.

Miscellaneous Commodity Surcharges - A miscellaneous surcharge which is assessed based on the quantity of gas transported.

Miscellaneous Reservation Surcharges - A miscellaneous surcharge which is assessed based on the contract demand quantity.

Miscellaneous Commodity Cost Recovery Surcharges for Tracking - A miscellaneous surcharge which is utilized to track a commodity based cost recovery.

Miscellaneous Reservation Cost Recovery Surcharges for Tracking - A miscellaneous surcharge which is utilized to track a reservation based cost recovery.

R96125B

Request:

Add a data element to the PDA, PDA Quick Response and Allocation Statement data sets to identify the level of allocation. (Standard 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.2)

Proposed Standard:

Add data element statement type code to the Service Requester at a receipt or delivery location. Add code values to the statement type code. Both additions as shown in the tables below.

Business Name	Definition	Usage (E-2)	Condition
Statement Type Code	Element Identifies the level and type of allocation	M	

Data Element	Usage	Code Names	Code Values	Code Value Description
Statement Type Code	M	A1		Operator at a Receipt or Delivery location
		A2		Upstream/downstream party at a receipt or delivery location
		A3		Operator at a receipt location -- Summarized
		A4		Operator at a delivery location -- Summarized
		A5		Service Requester at a receipt or delivery location
		A6		Operator to service requester
		A7		Service Requester to Service Requester Contract
		A8		Service Requester to Upstream or Downstream



C97002A

Request:

Does this standard mean that a new scheduled quantity will be sent at the end of every gas day? Will the information contained in "End of Gas Day Scheduled Quantity" include only those transactions scheduled the previous day including intra-day nominations and scheduling changes regardless of when they were nominated and confirmed? Will an "End of Gas Day Scheduled Quantity" be sent when the only change is the date?

Proposed Standard:

GISB Standard No. 1.3.3 states that "In addition to making scheduled quantities information available by 4:30 p.m., at the end of each day transportation service providers should also make available to shippers information containing scheduled quantities, including scheduled intraday nominations and any other scheduling changes".

This means that a new scheduled quantity document would be made available at the end of each gas day, and would contain all of the transactions scheduled for the just completed gas day. The information concerning the prior (i.e., just completed) gas day would be made available to the service requesters regardless of when the nomination or activity giving rise to the scheduled quantity was initially submitted to or processed by the transportation service provider".

C97003

Request:

A- Do these standards taken together mean that all allocated quantities and imbalance statements will at least provide daily quantity detail, even when the quantities are estimates?

B - Do these standards taken together mean that all allocated quantities and imbalance statements will also provide monthly quantity detail even if the quantities are estimates?

Proposed Standard:

Standard 2.3.27 states: "Allocated quantities and imbalances should be expressed in the same units as the nominated quantities". Standard 2.3.15 states: "There are two types of allocations: daily and monthly". Standard 2.3.24 states "Delivery point allocations should be provided at the lowest level of detail provided by nominations". And in relevant part, standard 1.2.14 states: "The standard quantity for nominations, confirmations and scheduling is Dekatherms per gas day in the United States...."

That standard 2.3.15 refers to both daily and monthly allocations does not mean that transportation service providers must perform both daily and monthly allocations, but rather that there are both daily and monthly types of allocations. In essence this means that any given allocation is either daily or monthly. Just as there are three model types for transportation, but a transportation service provider may choose to support just one, so too are there two types (models) of allocations: daily and monthly and a transportation service provider may also choose to support just one. This means that it is possible to have an allocated quantity and an imbalance statement that does not provide daily quantity detail.



C97005

Request:

Is the definition of previously released indicator clear?

Proposed Standard:

This means that the Offer is comprised of capacity made up in whole or in part of capacity which was acquired by the releasing shipper on a non-permanent basis. A releasing shipper which acquires capacity through a permanent release is not considered to have acquired that capacity via a non-permanent release; and, any offers of that capacity (acquired via permanent release) by that shipper would not be considered a release to which the subject indicator would be applicable. This indicator does not communicate to parties employing the Capacity Release Offer dataset that this capacity may have been released by this releasing shipper before this time, but rather it is intended to communicate that the releasing shipper acquired the now offered capacity via a previous non-permanent release.

C97006

Request:

In the "Request for Confirmation" document, should the sender indicate the quantity that a shipper requested, or should the quantity indicate only the positive or negative change requested?

Proposed Standard:

For nominating and confirming purposes, the way to express quantities is as a zero or positive quantity. This applies to the request to confirm document and also to the confirmation response document.

It is difficult to understand what the expected business result would be as to the confirmed quantity the confirmation requester would receive in the confirmation response if a 'change only' quantity were to be sent and responded to. It would be ambiguous and misleading to receive back a confirmation for -20 units, rather than the 80 units that is going to flow. The reverse is also true. By operation of standard 1.3.22, where a service requester 'changed' its nomination from 100 units to 120 units, requesting a confirmation of 20, (the change) would result in the confirming party's overwriting of the original 100 units with a requested confirmation of 20 units. Changes should mean the resulting total quantity per gas day for the nominated period and not the numeric value of the change from a previously scheduled quantity. Furthermore, application of a change only or negative quantity to the portion of standard 1.3.22, relating to the 'lesser of' rule, is difficult at best if the confirmed quantity is the numeric value of the 'change'.

R97006

Request:

Modify the PDA dataset to indicate the swing contract

Proposed Standard:

Modify the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the Pre-determined Allocation (2.4.1). Add the following statements to both the technical implementation and the X12 implementation guide SI table for the PDA: "When using the allocation method swing on a PDA, only the PDA swing line item(s) has to be submitted." Append to the end of the current third paragraph.



C97007

Request:

It should be clarified that the "Nomination Quick Response" document due at noon as required by GISB standard 1.3.2 is used to validate the nomination request in implementing the X.12 nomination related data sets and is not required for the other forms of electronic delivery of Faxes and EBB on-line systems.

Proposed Standard:

As the references to datasets within the nominations process timelines portion of the GISB standards (specifically Standard 1.3.2) are references to the datasets in the 1.4.x series and not to non-standard formats (as would be the case with non-standard delivery mechanisms such as deliveries via faxes or deliveries via EBBs), it is not intended that the 'quick response' (a standard response to a standard request) be required as a response to a non-standard request.

In relevant portion, GISB Standard 1.3.2 references a 'quick response by noon'. This reference to the 'quick response' is specifically to the GISB EDI X12 Nomination Quick Response document. The Nomination Quick Response is a defined document within the 1.4.x portion of the nomination related standards and is used to validate the nomination (or provide relevant and applicable errors and warnings) in implementing the nomination process via the EDI X12 data sets (the GISB Standards contained in the 1.4.x series and the associated implementation guides).

R97009A

Request:

Add a comment segment to the Withdrawal Upload and Withdrawal Download datasets

Proposed Standard:

Add a data element to the Electronic Withdrawal Upload (5.4.6) and Firm Transportation and Storage - Withdrawal (5.4.5) data sets. Data element would be called 'Withdrawal Comments'. Data element would have usage codes of 'BC' on the Electronic Withdrawal Upload and 'SO' on the Firm Transportation and Storage - Withdrawal data sets.

Definition: Descriptive comments pertinent to the withdrawal: As part of this request, add a code to the data element 'Transaction Type' in the Notes/Special Instructions data set corresponding to these comments."

**Document Name and No.:** Electronic Withdrawal Upload 5.4.6

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Withdrawal Comments	Descriptive comments pertinent to the withdrawal.	BC	Used by parties to convey withdrawal comments. These may be required by tariff.

\* Indicates Common Code

**Document Name and No.:** Firm Transportation and Storage - Withdrawal 5.4.5

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Withdrawal Comments	Descriptive comments pertinent to the withdrawal.	SO	

\* Indicates Common Code



C97010

Request:

Does the language of Standard 2.3.14, 2.3.26, 3.3.15 and 4.3.4 mean that contractual audit rights are excluded from the six-month time limitation and that no statement adjustments can be made after the six-month time limitation and that no statement adjustments can be made after the six-month period? In addition, is GISB recommending that audit rights be excluded from contracts or otherwise limited in contracts to a six-month period?

Proposed Standard:

Audit rights, to the extent they exist in a contract are contractual rights within the meaning of Standards 2.3.14, 2.3.26, 3.3.15, and 4.3.4. Further, the GISB standards make no finding or recommendation with respect to the advisability of including or excluding audit rights, specifying audit timing or specifying the timing of subsequent audit corrections in a contract.

C97011

Request:

From what date does the six-month period limitation on prior period adjustments begin? Is it from the date of adoption of GISB Standards? or is it with the Transportation invoice which is issued during the sixth month prior to adoption of the GISB Standards? Can a pipeline which became GISB compliant on April 1, 1997, include in its September 1997 transportation invoice a prior period adjustment for May 1996 production?

Proposed Standard:

GISB Standard 3.3.16 refers to the prior period adjustments being reported by production date. Prior to the date of adoption of the GISB Standards by the subject party(ies), whatever convention they followed previously would be and would continue to be in effect for the invoices related to production and transportation related transactions occurring up through the day prior to adoption of the GISB Standards.

R97029

Request:

Add transaction type to the invoice as a mandatory data element including a description the technical implementation and the addition of three codes for the data element--gathering, unauthorized overrun, and capacity release

Proposed Standard:

Add mandatory data element Transaction Type to the Invoice dataset.

R97039

Request:

Add two codes to the data element capacity type indicator in the nominations and the scheduled quantity data sets. -- tertiary to primary, tertiary to secondary

Proposed Standard:

Add two codes to the data element "Capacity Type Indicator" in the Nomination and Scheduled Quantity documents. These would be as follows:

Code:

Tertiary to Primary  
Tertiary to Secondary

Definition:

Specifies tertiary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.  
Specifies tertiary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.



- 
- R97044      Request:  
Modify the reduction reason codes of the Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity for consistency  
Proposed Standard:  
Modify/Add code values for the "Reduction Reason" data element in the Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity transactions. Confirmation Response document (Standard 1.4.4): For data element "Reduction Reason"  
Revise existing code value for "Capacity Constraint" to "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" and add the definition: "A constraint on the Confirming Party's system."  
Scheduled Quantity document (Standard 1.4.5): For data element "Reduction Reason", Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint" with the definition "A constraint on the Confirming Party's system".  
Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."  
Add code value "Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location with the following definition: "A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system."  
Add definition only to the existing code value "Pipeline Capacity Constraint" as follows: "A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's System."
- R97050      Request:  
Add all data elements in the Nominations transaction key to the data dictionary for the Invoice Data Set  
Proposed Standard:  
Add the following data elements to the Invoice Statement (Standard No. 3.4.1) with a usage of mutually agreeable (MA):  
Capacity Type Indicator  
Downstream Contract Identifier  
Downstream Identifier Code  
Upstream Contract Identifier  
Upstream Identifier Code  
For X12 implementation, the data elements are at sub-detail level.
- R97051      Request:  
Remove the data element "Service Provider's Activity Code" from the transaction key defined in GISB Standard 1.3.27  
Proposed Standard:  
The key should be composed of: service requester contract (Service Agreement), transaction type, upstream party, upstream contract (when applicable), receipt location (as applicable), downstream party (as applicable), downstream contract (when applicable), delivery location (as applicable), package ID, capacity type indicator (where mutually agreed). Upon receipt by a service provider from a service requester of a transaction whose key data elements match those previously received by the service provider from the service requester, the service provider should then process the begin date/time and end date/time consistent with the intentions of the standard 1.3.7 and then process the rest of the transaction's data elements consistent with the applicable standards to determine the business results. When data is not supplied (e.g. is not applicable, is not supported or is not mutually agreed upon) the pertinent portion of the key would be determined to be null.
-



- R97054      Request:  
Add a new data element "Detail Code" to the Request for Confirmation and Confirmation Response transactions.  
Proposed Standard:  
For request to confirm and confirmation response processes, all parties will seek to confirm by means of communicating at the applicable detail / summary level all transactions with respect to a location.
- R97055      Request:  
Rename the data element "Nominator's Tracking Number" to "Nominator's Tracking ID" for the Nomination, Nomination Quick Response and Scheduled Quantity, transactions and clarify that the data element value contains alpha-numeric data  
Proposed Standard:  
Globally replace "Nominator's Tracking Number" with "Nominator's Tracking ID" and change the word "number" to "identifier" when referring to "Nominator's Tracking ID".
- R97056      Request:  
Rename the data element "confirmation requester's tracking number" to "confirmation requester's tracking number" in the Request For Confirmation and Confirmation Response Transactions and clarify that the data element contains alphanumeric data  
Proposed Standard:  
Change data element name 'Confirmation Requester's Tracking Number' to 'Confirmation Requester's Tracking ID' in the Request For Confirmation, Confirmation Response and Scheduled Quantity for Operators data dictionaries, including where mentioned in the Condition of any other data elements. Add the following language to the end of the definition: "This data element contains alpha-numeric data.'
- R97057A      Request:  
Add a data element, Validation Code, to the Confirmation Response.  
Proposed Standard:  
Add the following codes for consistency with other code values, to the Confirmation Response. These code values would be as follows:  
Invalid Beginning/Ending Date/Time  
Invalid Location  
Invalid Contractual Flow Indicator  
Downstream Contract Identifier Not Processed  
Upstream Contract Identifier Not Processed  
Confirmation Service Contract Not Processed  
Invalid Service Requester  
Invalid Upstream Identifier Code  
Invalid Downstream Identifier Code  
Invalid Upstream Contract Identifier  
Invalid Downstream Contract Identifier  
Invalid Service Requester Contract  
Invalid Confirmation Service Identifier Code  
Invalid Associated Contract
-



# Gas Industry Standards Board

## Standards Language

Adopted By Executive Committee  
July 10, August 13, September 12, 1997

R96083

Request:

Modify GISB Standard 1.3.1 from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. central clock time to 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. central clock time for gas day

Proposed Standard:

For the Statement of Account, maintain the current mapping of the Invoice Date data element to the ANSI mandatory field ADJ05. The explanatory Text for the invoice date data element is modified to: "For GISB, this element is Sender's Option. The value is Invoice Date, if applicable, or if not applicable, repeat the value of data element Due Date."

Proposed Mapping -- ADJ Segment

<u>GISB Usage</u>	<u>ANSI Field</u>	<u>GISB Field or Value</u>	<u>ANSI Usage</u>
Must Use	ADJ01	'L'	Mandatory
Must Use	ADJ02	Amount Due	Mandatory
Must Use	ADJ03	Invoiced Amount - For GISB, this element is Sender's Option. Send zero if not applicable.	Mandatory
Must Use	ADJ04	Due Date	Mandatory
Must Use	ADJ05	Invoice Date - For GISB, this element is Sender's Option. The value is Invoice Date, if applicable, or if not applicable, repeat the value of the data element Due Date.	Mandatory
	ADJ10	Paid Amount - For GISB, this element Optional: Sender's Option.	